

#### **City Research Online**

#### City, University of London Institutional Repository

**Citation:** Haber, N., Parmar, D., Pitchforth, E., Thomson, S., Ghosh, S. & Mladovsky, P. Private health insurance market in India: current trends and policy implications. Paper presented at the Health Systems in Asia 2013, 13-12-2013 - 16-12-2013, Singapore.

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/5401/

Link to published version:

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

 City Research Online:
 http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/
 publications@city.ac.uk

### THE PRIVATE HEALTH INSURANCE MARKET IN INDIA *Current evidence and policy implications*

Noah Haber, **Divya Parmar**, Emma Pitchforth, Sarah Thomson, Soumitra Ghosh, Philipa Mlaovsky



THE LONDON SCHOOL OF ECONOMICS AND POLITICAL SCIENCE



### BACKGROUND

- Out-of-pocket payments: 86% of private health expenditure
- Prior 1999: nationalised insurance market (life and non-life)
  - Mediclaim (hospitalisations): started in 1986 and 3.5 million covered by 1998/99
- Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (IRDA) Act 1999 opened the insurance market to the pvt. and foreign firms.
- Health insurance market in India is rapidly increasing
  - Growing at a rate of 25% per year (USAID 2010)
- Government sponsored PHI
  - Rashtriya Swathya Bima Yojana (RSBY), Rajiv Aarogyasro (AP),
     Kalaignar (TN)...

# METHODOLOGY

#### Literature review

- PubMed, Web of Science
- Economic & Political Weekly (EPW) journal
- Grey literature: regulatory agencies, PHI firms, international organisations
- Search terms
  - Private\*, voluntary, insur\*, Region (India, all States), Language (English), date (March 2012)

INCLUSION	EXCLUSION
<ul> <li>Private health insurance (for profit)</li> <li>India</li> <li>March 2012</li> <li>English</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Disease-specific</li> <li>Not-for profit voluntary health insurance (CHI)</li> </ul>

#### LITERATURE REVIEW



# RESULTS

- Regulation
- Benefit packages
- PHI market
- Premiums
- Profits

## REGULATION

- Insurance Regulatory and Development Authority (IRDA)
  - Regulation
  - Development
- Third Party Administrators (TPAs)
  - Emerged in 1996 and since 2002 come under the IRDA
  - Back office services like claims management and enrolment
    - ... benefit package designs, pricing, provider network management
  - 29 IRDA-licensed TPAs
- Portability and standardisation of insurance packages

## **BENEFIT PACKAGE**

- Mostly based on Mediclaim
  - Hospitalisations
  - Excluding pre-existing diseases
  - Preventive treatments e.g. vaccinations
  - AIDS
  - Maternity
  - 5-85 years (after 45 years need a medical check-up)
- Coverage for critical illnesses e.g. cancer, diabetes
- RSBY
  - Hospitalisations up to Rs 30,000 (\$ 483)
  - Cover pre-existing diseases
  - No age limit

### **PHI MARKET**

#### Ownership, 2010-11



- 21 firms offering PHI
  - 3 privately owned provide only health insurance
- Market share
  - Privately owned: 2% to 24% from 2001/2 to 2005/6
  - 2002 tax subsidy
  - 219 million persons enrolled in 2010-11

## PREMIUMS

- \$2.5 billion in premium revenue in 2010/11
- Average premium \$11 per person per year



#### Premium revenue 2006-2011, million

# PROFITS

- Overall, health insurance is provided at a loss
  - Publicly owned: loss
  - Privately owned: profit



# CONCLUSIONS

- PHI publicly owned market is large but making an loss
- Historical pricing, cross-subsidisation and poor management seem to contribute to insurers absorbing losses (public-owned)
- Poor incentives to improve quality of care
- No evidence on impact on financial protection
  - Limited coverage
     OOP drugs 45% and out-of-patient care 30%
- Further evidence / quality of data

# Thank you

Divya Parmar London School of Economics D.Parmar1@lse.ac.uk