

City Research Online

City, University of London Institutional Repository

Citation: Ctori, I., Gruppetta, S. & Huntjens, B. (2015). The effects of ocular magnification on Spectralis spectral domain optical coherence tomography scan length. Graefe's Archive for Clinical and Experimental Ophthalmology, 253(5), pp. 733-738. doi: 10.1007/s00417-014-2915-9

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/5850/

Link to published version: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00417-014-2915-9

Copyright: City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

Reuse: Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online: http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/ publications@city.ac.uk/

- 1 Title: The effects of ocular magnification on Spectralis spectral domain
- 2 optical coherence tomography scan length
- 4 Irene Ctori (1), Stephen Gruppetta (1) and Byki Huntjens (1*),
- 5 1. Applied Vision Research Centre, The Henry Wellcome Laboratories for
- Vision Sciences, City University London, Northampton Square, London,
- 7 EC1V 0HB, UK
- 9 E-Mail:

8

13

- 10 Irene.Ctori.2@city.ac.uk
- 11 Steve.Gruppetta.1@city.ac.uk
- 12 Byki.Huntjens.1@city.ac.uk;
- 14 * Corresponding author:
- 15 Byki.Huntjens.1@city.ac.uk
- 16 Tel.: +44-20-7040-0145
- 17 Fax: +44-20-7040-8355 (not private)
- 19 The final publication is available at
- 20 <u>http://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s00417-014-2915-9</u>

Abstract (180 words)

22

- 23 **Purpose:** To assess the effects of incorporating individual ocular biometry
- 24 measures of corneal curvature, refractive error and axial length on scan
- 25 length obtained using Spectralis spectral domain optical coherence
- tomography (SD-OCT).
- 27 **Methods:** Two SD-OCT scans were acquired for 50 eyes of 50 healthy
- 28 participants, first using the Spectralis default keratometry (K) setting, then
- 29 incorporating individual mean-K values. Resulting scan lengths were
- 30 compared to predicted scan lengths produced by image simulation software
- 31 based on individual ocular biometry measures including axial length.
- Results: Axial length varied from 21.41 to 29.04mm. Spectralis SD-OCT scan
- lengths obtained with default-K ranged from 5.7 to 7.3mm and with mean-K
- 34 5.6 to 7.6mm. We report a stronger correlation of simulated scan lengths
- incorporating the subject's mean-K value ($\rho = 0.926$, P < 0.0005) compared to
- 36 Spectralis default settings ($\rho = 0.663$, P < 0.0005).
- 37 **Conclusions:** Ocular magnification appears to be better accounted for when
- 38 individual mean-K values are incorporated into Spectralis SD-OCT scan
- 39 acquisition compared to using the device's default-K setting. This must be
- 40 considered when taking area measurements and lateral measurements
- 41 parallel to the retinal surface.
- 43 **Key Words:** Optical Coherence Tomography; axial length; scan length;
- 44 Spectralis; keratometry.

Introduction

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

Optical Coherence Tomography (OCT) allows a direct cross-sectional view of the human retina [1] correlating well with retinal histology [2]. SD-OCT provides increased acquisition speed and higher image resolution compared to older time-domain OCT techniques [3,4]. OCT technology is increasingly employed in the clinical diagnosis of ocular pathology such as age-related macular degeneration [5], macular holes [6], vitreo-macular traction [7], and glaucoma [8]. Quantitative evaluation of retinal thickness using both automatic and manual measuring techniques is used to aid clinical diagnosis and design treatment protocols [9-11]. It is known that segmentation algorithms employed by individual OCT instruments result in variability in retinal thickness measurement complicating comparison across different platforms [12,13]. In addition, ocular magnification of retinal images is affected by refractive error, corneal curvature, refractive index, axial length and anterior chamber depth [14,15]. The distance of the eye to the measuring device can also influence the magnification effect [16]. In the case of OCT scan images, ocular magnification may affect lateral measurements i.e. those made parallel to the retinal plane [17]. The optical set-up of the OCT instrument as well as the software program for calculating image size will govern image size calculation in computerized fundus imaging [18]. If lateral measurements such as drusen diameter, geographical atrophy area in dry age-related macular degeneration or foveal width measurements for example are to be used for establishing diagnosis and treatment protocols, the potential impact of ocular magnification on lateral measurements must be considered.

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

An inverse correlation between retinal nerve fibre layer thickness, optic nerve head parameters and axial length has been reported [19-22]. However, these correlations became negligible when corrections accounting for axial length were applied to the measured values [19,22,23]. This suggests that axial length should be taken into account when assessing the reliability of OCT data [24]. However, not all OCT platforms account for axial length induced ocular magnification, and various attempts have been made to correct for the magnification of an individual nominal scan length produced by the OCT instrument [22]. In a study by Wagner-Schuman et al., a ratio of the individual's axial length to that assumed by the instrument was applied to lateral measurements [25]. Others have addressed the issue of lateral scaling by applying a correction based on the SD-OCT instrument manufacturer's formula using modified Littman's method [14], which incorporates individual refractive error, corneal radius and axial length [22,26]. An alternative approach used in studies of retinal morphology has been to exclude subjects with refractive error greater than ±5.00 or ±6.00DS to minimize potential errors [27,28]. In contrast to these other SD-OCT platforms, the Spectralis (Heidelberg Engineering, Heidelberg, Germany) applies an automatic modification process to cancel out the effect of ocular magnification, generating individual scan lengths based on three parameters. It assumes a non-modifiable pre-set axial length of 24.385mm based on the Gullstrand schematic eye [29] (personal communication with Heidelberg Engineering, Germany; July 2013). Secondly, by allowing the operator to focus the retinal image, the subject's refractive error is taken into account. Thirdly, a default corneal curvature i.e. keratometry (K) setting of 7.70mm equal to the K-value

of Gullstrand's model eye [29] is assumed by the device, as described in its technical specifications. Alternatively, an option to use the subject's actual mean-K is provided. The present study was carried out to investigate the effect of individual mean spherical error (MSE), mean-K and axial length on B-scan length obtained using the Spectralis SD-OCT.

Methods

Study protocol

The study was conducted from October to December 2013 at the Division of Optometry and Visual Science, City University London. A total of 50 volunteers took part; all presented Log MAR visual acuity better than 0.3 log units in the eye being tested. Exclusion criteria were: ocular pathology, medication that may affect retinal function and previous laser eye surgery. By default, measurements were taken for the right eye unless it did not meet the inclusion criteria, in which case the left eye was used. Each participant had measures of MSE (based on an average of five autorefractor readings) and mean-K (average of three horizontal and vertical K readings) taken with the Auto Kerato-Refracto-Tonometer TRK-1P instrument (Topcon, Tokyo, Japan).

The Spectralis SD-OCT was used to scan the undilated test eye of each participant in a dark room [30,31]. Two high resolution 20° x 10° volume scans (97 B-scans 30 microns apart, ART 16 frames including 1024 A scans) were acquired for each participant. The first scan was obtained using the default corneal curvature setting of 7.70mm; while the second had the subject's mean-K entered into the software prior to scan acquisition. The participant was instructed to look at the central fixation target while the

infrared fundus image was focused with a dial corresponding to their MSE. During scan acquisition, the investigator independently monitored the participant's fixation via the live fundus image. All scans had a minimum quality level of 25 decibels, as recommended by the manufacturer guidelines. The resulting "default-K" and "mean-K" scan length was recorded from the Spectralis mapping software, Heidelberg Eye Explorer (Version 1.7.0.0 © 2011). Axial length was measured using the IOLMaster (Carl Zeiss Meditec, Dublin, CA, USA). This is a well-known non-contact device based on partial coherence interferometry shown to have good axial length measurement repeatability [32,33]. Zemax optical design software (Zemax, LLC, Redmond, WA, USA) was used for simulation of an image from a 20° SD-OCT incorporating individual subject's MSE, mean-K and axial length data. The Gullstrand's exact model eye [29] was applied to the simulation since Spectralis software image size calculations are based on this model. Within the Zemax model, mean-K values and axial length were modified for each subject by changing the radius of curvature of the anterior corneal surface and the axial distance between posterior lens surface and retinal plane respectively. MSE was modelled as a paraxial lens immediately before the model eye. An object with a field of 10° (with respect to the optical axis, resulting in 20° overall field) was set and the size of the image at the retinal plane calculated by the software was used to represent the simulated scan length. This was compared to the default-K and mean-K scan lengths.

142

143

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

Ethical approval and consent

The study was approved by Optometry Research & Ethics Committee

City University London. Written informed consent was obtained from all subjects conforming to the tenets of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 21.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, USA). Values in the text and tables are presented as the mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Preliminary analyses were performed to ensure no violation of the assumptions of normality, linearity and homoscedasticity. Since Kolmogorov-Smirnov test revealed a significant deviation from a normal distribution for scan length and MSE, Spearman's Rank Correlation Coefficient ρ was calculated to explore the correlation between default-K and mean-K with simulated scan lengths. Statistical significance was accepted at P < 0.05.

Results

A total of 22 males and 28 females were included in the study. The mean age was 21 \pm 2.9 years. Mean, minimum and maximum values of mean-K, MSE, axial length, and scan lengths are summarised in Table 1.

[insert Table 1 approximately here]

A Wilcoxon Signed Rank Test revealed a statistically significant difference in scan lengths obtained using default-K, mean-K and from simulations (Figure 1). There was a significant correlation between mean-K (ρ = 0.926, P < 0.0005) and default-K scan length with the simulated scan length (ρ = 0.663, P < 0.0005), shown in Figure 2. We explored the effect of axial length and

MSE on these relationships and found that the correlation between mean-K and simulated scan length remained strong and significant when controlling for axial length (ρ = 0.822, P < 0.0005) and for MSE (ρ = 0.875, P < 0.0005). The correlation was weakened for default-K measurements when controlling for axial length (ρ = 0.473, P < 0.001) and became non-significant when controlling for MSE (ρ = 0.221, P = 0.128).

[insert Figure 1 and Figure 2 approximately here]

Discussion

The Spectralis SD-OCT generates individual scan lengths based on refractive error, corneal curvature and a non-modifiable pre-set axial length of 24.385mm according to the Gullstrand schematic eye. We explored the correlation of Spectralis SD-OCT scan length acquired using the instrument's default-K setting of 7.70mm versus using the subject's mean-K, when compared to Zemax software simulated scan length. The aim was to ascertain whether the effect of ocular magnification on SD-OCT scan length was represented more accurately using an individual's mean-K value as opposed to the Spectralis default-K setting in comparison to simulated output based on Gullstrand exact eye model [20]. We included individuals with axial length of 21.41mm to 29.04mm resulting in mean-K scan lengths ranging from 5.6 to 7.7mm (Figure 1). Whilst direct comparisons cannot be drawn from other studies with different subject demographics, individual scan lengths ranging from 5.3 to 7.0mm have been reported whereby the nominal 6mm scan was corrected using each subject's axial length (varying from 21.56 to 28.36mm)

based on the Cirrus eye model [20]. Of note, the most accurate model eye to calculate ocular magnification has yet to be determined [18], although differences between modified Littman's technique [14] and the Gullstrand eye model are less than 2% for axial lengths from 22 to 26.5mm [34].

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

While there was significant correlation of mean-K (ρ = 0.926, P < 0.0005) and default-K scan length with the simulated scan length ($\rho = 0.663$, P < 0.0005), the correlation was much stronger for mean-K scan length. The within-subject SD of K measurements have been shown to range from 0.05mm to 0.18mm depending on the instrument used [35]. According to the Spectralis technical guidelines, a 0.1mm error in K will result in an error in lateral measurement of 0.8%. This translates to a 0.1mm change in scan length for every 0.2mm deviation from the individual's mean-K. The TRK-1P gives repeated measurements within ±0.12DS on test eyes (personal communication with Topcon; June 2014) that may explain the lack of perfect agreement between the mean-K and simulated scan lengths in the current study. Another consideration is that subjective refraction was not carried out to estimate MSE. However, it has been shown that using an autorefractor is an accepted method to approximate refractive error [36]. Nonetheless, accuracy of ocular biometry measurements is potentially a limitation of the study. We incorporated individual's mean-K and MSE values into Spectralis scan acquisition as well as the Zemax simulation. Any error in these values would therefore have the same effect on both occasions. We postulate that the discrepancy from perfect correlation is more likely to be caused by some other assumption built into the OCT software. Furthermore, Tan et al. explored the effect of different lens powers and varying eye-scanner distance

on image magnification while maintaining a constant axial length [37]. This was repeated keeping a constant lens power while varying eye-scanner and axial length. The results showed that even with accurate axial length measurement, in eyes not complying with standard assumptions (for example cataract) or in eyes that over-accommodate during imaging, the magnification is still not sufficiently corrected. In addition, there was no option to include separate horizontal and vertical K values in the Spectralis software. The mean-K value underestimates or overestimates the horizontal K value depending on whether the individual has with- or against-the-rule astigmatism. The latter may explain the lack of perfect agreement between the mean-K and simulated scan lengths in the current study. However, as the mean-K value has to be inserted prior to scan acquisition and cannot be changed retrospectively, using mean-K allows subsequent analyses of vertical frames or measurements of area.

There was a strong and significant correlation between scans taken with mean-K and the simulated scan length when controlling for the effect of MSE (ρ = 0.875, P < 0.0005) and axial length (ρ = 0.822, P < 0.0005). This was not the case for scans taken using the default setting of K = 7.70mm. A recent study aimed to address the issue of the influence of axial length on OCT data acquired from Spectralis SD-OCT scans [38]. The study involved a novel method of measuring the known distance of a sub-retinal visual implant *in vivo*. The results confirmed the accuracy of lateral measurements taken from Spectralis SD-OCT measurements of emmetropic medium (22.51 to 25.5mm) length eyes. The authors did recommend that caution should be exercised when comparing measurements obtained from very short (<

22.5mm) or very long (> 25.51mm) eyes. Contrary to this, when the data was examined in the current study, the largest deviation of either mean- or default-K scan length from the simulated scan length did not belong to those with the higher MSE or those with axial length that deviated most from the Gullstrand exact eye model value of 24.385mm (Figure 2). Moreover, optic nerve head area measurement from Spectralis SD-OCT scans has been found to be independent of axial length when transverse scaling is applied using measures of ocular biometry including K and axial length [39]. It therefore does not seem to be necessary to measure axial length to minimise potential lateral measurement errors resulting from not correcting for ocular magnification [20].

The simulated scan length consistently overestimated the mean-K and default-K scan length output. Nonetheless, we observed a stronger correlation between scan length obtained with mean-K compared to default-K. Scan lengths above 5.9mm produced by the default-K setting were increasingly under-estimated compared to those obtained with mean-K (Figure 2). This implies that lateral measurements of drusen size and foveal width for example are likely to be underestimated if SD-OCT scans larger than 5.9mm are obtained with the default-K setting. We recommend incorporating the individual's mean-K and MSE during lateral retinal measurements when using the Spectralis SD-OCT. In addition, it is important to consistently use the individual's mean-K value for subsequent scans of the same patient for long-term monitoring in a clinical setting, for example measuring progression of non-exudative pigment epithelial atrophy.

CONCLUSION

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

This study provides useful information on the effect of ocular biometry measures on Spectralis SD-OCT scan length. The effect of ocular magnification on scan length appears to be better accounted for when an individual's mean corneal curvature value is incorporated into Spectralis SD-OCT scan acquisition as opposed to using the device's default We recommend performing setting. scan acquisition incorporating a measured mean keratometry value, with the fundus image focussed according to the individual's refractive error. This should be considered when taking area measurements and lateral measurements parallel to the retinal surface. These results may be of interest for clinical trials using SD-OCT for area or lateral measurements.

281

282

283

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Carl Zeiss Meditec for use of the IOLMaster.

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

Conflict of Interest Statement

All authors certify that they have NO affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest (such as honoraria; educational grants; participation in speakers' bureaus; membership, employment, consultancies, stock ownership, or other equity interest; and expert testimony or patent-licensing arrangements), or non-financial interest

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

(such as personal or professional relationships, affiliations, knowledge or beliefs) in the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript. Legends **Table 1** Summary of variations in mean keratometry, axial length and mean spherical error within the study sample Figure 1 Box and whisker plot to show scan lengths obtained from SD-OCT scans obtained with default-K settings; mean-K values; and from software simulations incorporating axial length values. The length of each box is the interguartile range and the band inside the box represents the median. The whiskers show the smallest and largest values, with outliers indicated by the circles and extreme outliers by the asterisks. The mean and median scan length for scans using the default-K was 6.04 ± 0.28mm, Md = 5.95mm; for the mean-K group 6.10 ± 0.33 mm, Md = 6.00; and for the simulated-K group was 6.23 ± 0.38 mm, Md = 6.21mm Figure 2 Scatterplot of mean-K (black squares) and default-K (grey triangles) scan lengths against Zemax simulated scan length (x-axis). There is a statistically significant correlation of mean-K (p = 0.926, P < 0.0005) and default-K (ρ = 0.663, P < 0.0005) with the simulated scan length. Dashed grey line represents perfect agreement, r = 1.00

References

- 1. Huang D, Swanson EA, Lin CP, Schuman JS, Stinson WG, Chang W, Hee
- 316 MR, Flotte T, Gregory K, Puliafito CA (1991) Optical coherence tomography.
- 317 Science 254:1178-1181
- 2. Anger EM, Unterhuber A, Hermann B, Sattmann H, Schubert C, Morgan
- 319 JE, Cowey A, Ahnelt PK, Drexler W (2004) Ultrahigh resolution optical
- 320 coherence tomography of the monkey fovea. Identification of retinal sublayers
- 321 by correlation with semithin histology sections. Exp Eye Res 78:1117-1125
- 322 3. Leung CK, Cheung CY, Weinreb RN, Lee G, Lin D, Pang CP, Lam DSC
- 323 (2008) Comparison of macular thickness measurements between time
- 324 domain and spectral domain optical coherence tomography. Invest
- 325 Ophthalmol Vis Sci 49:4893-4897
- 4. Nassif N, Cense B, Hyle Park B, Yun SH, Chen TC, Bouma BE, Tearney
- 327 GJ, Boer JFd (2004) In vivo human retinal imaging by ultrahigh-speed
- 328 spectral domain optical coherence tomography. Optics Letters 29:480-482
- 5. Regatieri CV, Branchini L, Duker JS (2011) The role of spectral-domain
- 330 OCT in the diagnosis and management of neovascular age-related macular
- degeneration. Ophthal Surg Las Im 42:S56
- 332 6. Oh J, Smiddy WE, Flynn HW, Gregori G, Lujan B (2010) Photoreceptor
- inner/outer segment defect imaging by spectral domain OCT and visual
- 334 prognosis after macular hole surgery. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 51:1651-
- 335 1658

- 7. Mojana F, Cheng L, Bartsch D-UG, Silva GA, Kozak I, Nigam N, Freeman
- 337 WR (2008) The role of abnormal vitreomacular adhesion in age-related
- 338 macular degeneration: spectral optical coherence tomography and surgical
- 339 results. Am J Ophthalmol 146:218-227
- 340 8. Moreno-Montañés J, Olmo N, Alvarez A, García N, Zarranz-Ventura J
- 341 (2010) Cirrus high-definition optical coherence tomography compared with
- 342 stratus optical coherence tomography in glaucoma diagnosis. Invest
- 343 Ophthalmol Vis Sci 51:335-343
- 9. Chakravarthy U, Williams M (2013) The Royal College of Ophthalmologists
- 345 guidelines on AMD: Executive Summary. Eye 27:1429-1431
- 10. Lee S, Fallah N, Forooghian F, Ko A, Pakzad-Vaezi K, Merkur AB, Kirker
- 347 AW, Albiani DA, Young M, Sarunic MV, Beg MF (2013) Comparative analysis
- of repeatability of manual and automated choroidal thickness measurements
- in nonneovascular age-related macular degeneration. Invest Ophthalmol Vis
- 350 Sci 54:2864-2871
- 11. Chiu SJ, Izatt JA, O'Connell RV, Winter KP, Toth CA, Farsiu S (2012)
- 352 Validated automatic segmentation of AMD pathology including drusen and
- 353 geographic atrophy in SD-OCT images. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 53:53-61
- 354 12. Carpineto P, Nubile M, Toto L, Aharrh Gnama A, Marcucci L,
- 355 Mastropasqua L, Ciancaglini M (2009) Correlation in foveal thickness
- measurements between spectral-domain and time-domain optical coherence
- tomography in normal individuals. Eye 24:251-258

- 358 13. Folgar FA, Yuan EL, Farsiu S, Toth CA (2014) Lateral and axial
- 359 measurement differences between spectral-domain optical coherence
- tomography systems. J Biomed Opt 19:16014
- 14. Bennett AG, Rudnicka AR, Edgar DF (1994) Improvements on Littmann's
- method of determining the size of retinal features by fundus photography.
- 363 Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 232:361-367
- 15. Rudnicka AR, Burk ROW, Edgar DF, Fitzke FW (1998) Magnification
- characteristics of fundus imaging systems. Ophthalmology 105:2186-2192
- 16. Garway-Heath DF, Rudnicka AR, Lowe T, Foster PJ, Fitzke FW, Hitchings
- 367 RA (1998) Measurement of optic disc size: equivalence of methods to correct
- for ocular magnification. Br J Ophthalmol 82:643-649
- 369 17. Sanchez-Cano A, Baraibar B, Pablo LE, Honrubia FM (2008)
- 370 Magnification characteristics of the optical coherence tomograph Stratus OCT
- 371 3000. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt 28:21-28
- 372 18. Almeida MS, Carvalho LA (2007) Different schematic eyes and their
- accuracy to the in vivo eye: a quantitative comparison study. Braz J Phys
- 374 37:378-387
- 19. Leung CK, Cheng ACK, Chong KKL, Leung KS, Mohamed S, Lau CSL,
- 376 Cheung CYL, Chu GC, Lai RYK, Pang CCP, Lam DSC (2007) Optic disc
- 377 measurements in myopia with optical coherence tomography and confocal
- 378 scanning laser ophthalmoscopy. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 48:3178-3183

- 20. Odell D, Dubis AM, Lever JF, Stepien KE, Carroll J (2011) Assessing
- 380 errors inherent in OCT-derived macular thickness maps. J Ophthalmol.
- 381 doi:10.1155/2011/692574
- 382 21. Rauscher FM, Sekhon N, Feuer WJ, Budenz DL (2009) Myopia affects
- retinal nerve fiber layer measurements as determined by optical coherence
- 384 tomography. J Glaucoma 18:501-505
- 385 22. Savini G, Barboni P, Parisi V, Carbonelli M (2012) The influence of axial
- length on retinal nerve fibre layer thickness and optic-disc size measurements
- 387 by spectral-domain OCT. Br J Ophthalmol 96:57-61
- 388 23. Bayraktar S, Bayraktar Z, Yilmaz ÃâF (2001) Influence of scan radius
- 389 correction for ocular magnification and relationship between scan radius with
- 390 retinal nerve fiber layer thickness measured by optical coherence
- 391 tomography. J Glaucoma 10:163-169
- 392 24. Wakitani Y, Sasoh M, Sugimoto M, Ito Y, Ido M, Uji Y (2003) Macular
- thickness measurements in healthy subjects with different axial lengths using
- 394 optical coherence tomography. Retina 23:177-182
- 395 25. Wagner-Schuman M, Dubis AM, Nordgren RN, Lei Y, Odell D, Chiao H,
- Weh E, Fischer W, Sulai Y, Dubra A, Carroll J (2011) Race- and sex-related
- 397 differences in retinal thickness and foveal pit morphology. Invest Ophthalmol
- 398 Vis Sci 52:625-634
- 399 26. Ooto S, Hangai M, Tomidokoro A, Saito H, Araie M, Otani T, Kishi S,
- 400 Matsushita K, Maeda N, Shirakashi M, Abe Hi, Ohkubo S, Sugiyama K, Iwase
- 401 A, Yoshimura N (2011) Effects of age, sex, and axial length on the three-

- 402 dimensional profile of normal macular layer structures. Invest Ophthalmol Vis
- 403 Sci 52:8769-8779
- 404 27. Kirby ML, Galea M, Loane E, Stack J, Beatty S, Nolan JM (2009) Foveal
- anatomic associations with the secondary peak and the slope of the macular
- 406 pigment spatial profile. Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 50:1383-1391
- 407 28. Menke MN, Dabov S, Knecht P, Sturm V (2009) Reproducibility of retinal
- 408 thickness measurements in healthy subjects using spectralis optical
- 409 coherence tomography. Am J Ophthalmol 147:467-472
- 410 29. Atchinson DA, Smith G (2000) Schematic eyes. In: Optics of the human
- 411 eye. Butterworth Heinemann, Oxford:, pp 250-251
- 412 30. Paunescu LA, Schuman JS, Price LL, Stark PC, Beaton S, Ishikawa H,
- Wollstein G, Fujimoto JG (2004) Reproducibility of nerve fiber thickness,
- 414 macular thickness, and optic nerve head measurements using Stratus OCT.
- 415 Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci 45:1716-1724
- 416 31. Nolan JM, Stringham JM, Beatty S, Snodderly DM (2008) Spatial profile of
- 417 macular pigment and its relationship to foveal architecture. Invest Ophthalmol
- 418 Vis Sci 49:2134-2142
- 419 32. Verkicharla PK, Mallen EAH, Atchison DA (2013) Repeatability and
- 420 comparison of peripheral eye lengths with two instruments. Optom Vis Sci
- 421 90:215-222

- 422 33. Lam AKC, Chan R, Pang PCK (2001) The repeatability and accuracy of
- 423 axial length and anterior chamber depth measurements from the
- 424 IOLMaster™. Ophthalmic Physiol Opt 21:477-483
- 425 34. Song H, Chui TYP, Zhong Z, Elsner AE, Burns SA (2011) Variation of
- 426 cone photoreceptor packing density with retinal eccentricity and age. Invest
- 427 Ophthalmol Vis Sci 52:7376-7384
- 428 35. Visser N, Berendschot T, Verbakel F, de Brabander J, Nuijts R (2012)
- 429 Comparability and repeatability of corneal astigmatism measurements using
- different measurement technologies. J Cataract Refract Surg 38:1764-1770
- 431 36. Pesudovs K, Weisinger HS (2004) A comparison of autorefractor
- 432 performance. Optom Vis Sci 81:554-558
- 433 37. Tan JC, Poinoosawmy D, Fitzke FW, Hitchings RA (2004) Magnification
- changes in scanning laser tomography. J Glaucoma 13:137-141
- 435 38. Röck T, Wilhelm B, Bartz-Schmidt KU, Röck D (2014) The influence of
- 436 axial length on confocal scanning laser ophthalmoscopy and spectral-domain
- 437 optical coherence tomography size measurements: A pilot study. Graefes
- 438 Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol 252:589-593
- 439 39. Patel NB, Wheat JL, Rodriguez A, Tran V, Harwerth RS (2012)
- 440 Agreement between retinal nerve fiber layer measures from Spectralis and
- 441 Cirrus spectral domain OCT. Optom Vis Sci 89:E652-666