



## City Research Online

### City, University of London Institutional Repository

---

**Citation:** Fring, A. (2015). A new non-Hermitian E2-quasi-exactly solvable model. *Physics Letters A: General, Atomic and Solid State Physics*, 379(10-11), pp. 873-876. doi: 10.1016/j.physleta.2015.01.008

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

---

**Permanent repository link:** <https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/6379/>

**Link to published version:** <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physleta.2015.01.008>

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

---

---

---

City Research Online:

<http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/>

[publications@city.ac.uk](mailto:publications@city.ac.uk)

---

---

# A new non-Hermitian $E_2$ -quasi-exactly solvable model

---

**Andreas Fring**

*Department of Mathematics, City University London,  
Northampton Square, London EC1V 0HB, UK  
E-mail: a.fring@city.ac.uk*

**ABSTRACT:** We construct a previously unknown  $E_2$ -quasi-exactly solvable non-Hermitian model whose eigenfunctions involve weakly orthogonal polynomials obeying three-term recurrence relations that factorize beyond the quantization level. The model becomes Hermitian when one of its two parameters is fixed to a specific value. We analyze the double scaling limit of this model leading to the complex Mathieu equation. The norms, Stieltjes measures and moment functionals are evaluated for some concrete values of one of the two parameters.

---

In [1] we introduced  $E_2$ -quasi-exactly solvable models in analogy to the notion of  $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ -quasi-exactly solvability originally proposed by Turbiner [2, 3]. The different setting is motivated mathematically by the fact that solutions for  $E_2$ -quasi-exactly solvable models do not belong to the general class of hypergeometric functions which emerge as solutions from an  $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ -setting. The physical motivation results from the current interest in extending the study of solvable models [4, 5, 6, 7, 1] to non-Hermitian quantum mechanical systems [8, 9, 10, 11]. The  $E_2$ -quasi-exactly solvable models are especially interesting in optical settings [12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20] where the fact is exploited that the Helmholtz equation results as a reduction from the Schrödinger equation. Solvable models are rare exceptions in the study of quantum mechanical systems and the model presented here should be added to that list.

The starting point for the construction of  $E_2$ -quasi-exactly systems consists of expressing the Hamiltonian operator  $\mathcal{H}$  of the model in terms of the  $E_2$ -basis operators  $u$ ,  $v$  and  $J$  obeying the commutation relations

$$[u, J] = iv, \quad [v, J] = -iu, \quad [u, v] = 0. \quad (1)$$

instead of the standard  $sl_2(\mathbb{C})$ -generators. We now use the particular realization [21]

$$J := -i\partial_\theta, \quad u := \sin \theta, \quad v := \cos \theta, \quad (2)$$

and demand a specific anti-linear symmetry [22],  $\mathcal{PT}_3 : J \rightarrow J, u \rightarrow v, v \rightarrow u, i \rightarrow -i$ , as defined in [18], which for (2) becomes  $\mathcal{PT}_3 : \theta \rightarrow \pi/2 - \theta, i \rightarrow -i$ . The operators in (2)

act on the  $\mathcal{PT}_3$ -invariant vector spaces over  $\mathbb{R}$

$$V_n^s(\phi_0) := \text{span} \{ \phi_0 [\sin(2\theta), i \sin(4\theta), \dots, i^{n+1} \sin(2n\theta)] \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{PT}_3(\phi_0) = \phi_0 \in L \}, \quad (3)$$

$$V_n^c(\phi_0) := \text{span} \{ \phi_0 [1, i \cos(2\theta), \dots, i^n \cos(2n\theta)] \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{PT}_3(\phi_0) = \phi_0 \in L \}. \quad (4)$$

Taking the groundstate eigenfunction to be  $\phi_0^c = e^{i\kappa \cos 2\theta}$  with  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$  we identified in [1] the following actions of combinations of the basis operators  $J : V_n^{s,c}(\phi_0^c) \mapsto V_{n+1}^{c,s}(\phi_0^c)$ ,  $uv : V_n^{s,c}(\phi_0^c) \mapsto V_{n+1}^{c,s}(\phi_0^c)$  and  $i(u^2 - v^2) : V_n^{s,c}(\phi_0^c) \mapsto V_{n+1}^{s,c}(\phi_0^c)$ . Quasi-exact solvability is achieved if we are able to impose suitable constraints such that  $\mathcal{H}_N : V_{\tilde{n}}^{s,c}(\phi_0^c) \mapsto V_{\tilde{n}}^{s,c}(\phi_0^c)$  for specific values  $\tilde{n}$ . It remains a challenge to construct new models of  $E_2$ -type that satisfy the latter condition.

We introduce here the  $\mathcal{PT}_3$ -symmetric Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_N = J^2 + \zeta uv J + 2i\zeta N(u^2 - v^2), \quad \zeta, N \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5)$$

and demonstrate explicitly that it is  $E_2$ -quasi-exactly solvable. We notice from the above that  $\mathcal{H}_N : V_n^{s,c}(\phi_0^c) \mapsto V_{n+2}^{s,c}(\phi_0^c) \oplus \zeta V_{n+2}^{s,c}(\phi_0^c) \oplus V_{n+1}^{s,c}(\phi_0^c)$ , such that it appears to be possible to reduce the order of the target space by imposing two additional constraints. In general, the Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}_N$  is non-Hermitian except for  $N = 1/4$ , with free  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ , which we deduce from the fact that  $\mathcal{H}_N^\dagger = \mathcal{H}_{1/2-N}$ . A further interesting feature of this Hamiltonian is that it reduces to the complex Mathieu Hamiltonian in the double scaling limit  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty, \zeta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_N = \mathcal{H}_{\text{Mat}} = J^2 + 2ig(u^2 - v^2)$  for  $g := N\zeta < \infty$  similarly as the Hamiltonian discussed in [1, 7].

According to (3) and (4) we make the Ansatz

$$\psi_N^c(\theta) = e^{\frac{i}{4}\zeta \cos(2\theta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} i^n \frac{P_n}{\zeta^n N(1+2N)_{n-1}} \cos(2n\theta), \quad (6)$$

$$\psi_N^s(\theta) = ie^{\frac{i}{4}\zeta \cos(2\theta)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i^n \frac{Q_n}{\zeta^n N(1+2N)_{n-1}} \sin(2n\theta), \quad (7)$$

for our eigenfunctions with  $P_n, Q_n$  being polynomials to be determined and  $(a)_n := \Gamma(a+n)/\Gamma(a)$  denoting the Pochhammer symbol. The denominators have been extracted in such a way that  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$  become  $n$ -th order polynomials in  $E$  when  $\psi_N$  is substituted into Schrödinger equation  $\mathcal{H}_N \psi_N = E \psi_N$ . In this way we obtain the three-term recurrence relations

$$P_1 = EP_0, \quad (8)$$

$$P_{n+1} = 2(E - 4n^2)P_n + \zeta^2 [4N^2 - 2N - n(n-1)] P_{n-1}, \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (9)$$

$$Q_2 = 2(E - 4)Q_1, \quad (10)$$

$$Q_{n+1} = 2(E - 4n^2)Q_n + \zeta^2 [4N^2 - 2N - n(n-1)] Q_{n-1}, \quad \text{for } n = 2, 3, 4, \dots \quad (11)$$

These equations may be solved in general as outlined in [1]. Taking  $P_0 = 1$  we obtain for

the lowest orders

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_1 &= E, \\
 P_2 &= 2E^2 - 8E + 2\zeta^2 N(2N - 1), \\
 P_3 &= 4E^3 - 80E^2 + E(2\zeta^2(6N^2 - 3N - 1) + 256) + 64\zeta^2(1 - 2N)N, \\
 P_4 &= 8E^4 - 448E^3 + E^2[16\zeta^2(N - 1)(2N + 1) + 6272] - 192E[\zeta^2(6N^2 - 3N - 1) + 96] \\
 &\quad + 4\zeta^2 N(2N - 1)[\zeta^2(N + 1)(2N - 3) + 1152].
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Likewise with  $Q_1 = 1$  we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q_2 &= 2E - 8, \\
 Q_3 &= 4E^2 - 80E + 2\zeta^2(N - 1)(2N + 1) + 256, \\
 Q_4 &= 8E^3 - 448E^2 + 8E[\zeta^2(2N^2 - N - 2) + 784] - 32[\zeta^2(10N^2 - 5N - 6) + 576], \\
 Q_5 &= 16E^4 - 1920E^3 + 8E^2[\zeta^2(6N^2 - 3N - 10) + 8736] \\
 &\quad - 32E[\zeta^2(94N^2 - 47N - 106) + 26240] \\
 &\quad + 4[\zeta^4(4N^4 - 4N^3 - 13N^2 + 7N + 6) + 128\zeta^2(82N^2 - 41N - 54) + 589824].
 \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

We observe the typical feature for quasi-exactly solvable systems that the three term relation can be reset to a two-term relation at a certain level. This is due to the fact that in (9) and (11) the last term vanishes when  $n = 2N$ . Thus when taking  $N$  to be a half-integer,  $N \in \mathbb{N}/2$ , we find the typical factorization

$$P_{2N+n} = R_n P_{2N} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_{2N+n} = R_n Q_{2N}. \tag{14}$$

The first solutions for the factor  $R_n$  are

$$R_1 = 2E - 32N^2, \tag{15}$$

$$R_2 = 4E^2 - 16E(8N^2 + 4N + 1) + 4N[64N(2N + 1)^2 - \zeta^2]. \tag{16}$$

Thus our polynomials  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$  possess the standard properties of Bender-Dunne polynomials [23].

Let us now determine the energy eigenvalues  $E_{2N}$  from the conditions  $P_{2N}(E) = 0$  and  $Q_{2N}(E) = 0$  for the lowest values of  $N$ . For the solutions related to (6) we compute

$$E_1^c = 0, \tag{17}$$

$$E_2^{c,\pm} = 2 \pm \sqrt{4 - \zeta^2}, \tag{18}$$

$$E_3^{c,\ell} = \frac{20}{3} + \frac{2\hat{\Omega}}{3} e^{\frac{i\pi\ell}{3}} - \frac{2}{3}(3\zeta^2 - 52) e^{-\frac{i\pi\ell}{3}} \hat{\Omega}^{-1}, \tag{19}$$

with  $\ell = 0, \pm 2$  and  $\hat{\Omega} := [280 + 36\zeta^2 + 3^{3/2}\sqrt{\zeta^6 - 4\zeta^4 + 1648\zeta^2 - 2304}]^{1/3}$  etc. and for the solutions related to (7) we obtain

$$E_2^s = 4, \tag{20}$$

$$E_3^{s,\pm} = 10 \pm \sqrt{36 - \zeta^2}, \tag{21}$$

$$E_4^{s,\ell} = \frac{56}{3} + \frac{2}{3} e^{\frac{i\pi\ell}{3}} \Omega - \frac{2}{3} e^{-\frac{i\pi\ell}{3}} \Omega^{-1} (3\zeta^2 - 196), \tag{22}$$

with  $\ell = 0, \pm 2$  and  $\Omega := \left[ 1144 + 36\zeta^2 + 3^{3/2}\sqrt{\zeta^6 - 148\zeta^4 + 15856\zeta^2 - 230400} \right]^{1/3}$  etc.

The exceptional points are computed from the real zeros of the discriminants  $\Delta_{2N}^c$  and  $\Delta_{2N}^s$  for the polynomials  $P_{2N}(E)$  and  $Q_{2N}(E)$ , respectively,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2^c &= \zeta^2 - 4, \\ \Delta_3^s &= \zeta^2 - 36, \\ \Delta_3^c &= \zeta^6 - 4\zeta^4 + 1648\zeta^2 - 2304, \\ \Delta_4^s &= \zeta^6 - 148\zeta^4 + 15856\zeta^2 - 230400, \\ \Delta_4^c &= \zeta^{12} + 8\zeta^{10} + 6160\zeta^8 - 2119680\zeta^6 + 4128768\zeta^4 - 749850624\zeta^2 + 530841600, \\ \Delta_5^s &= \zeta^{12} - 376\zeta^{10} + 2^4 7041\zeta^8 - 2^{11} 11925\zeta^6 + 2^{13} 207675\zeta^4 - 2^{12} 19579725\zeta^2 + 2^{18} 2480625. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

We have suppressed here overall constant factors that do not contribute to the values of the zeros. Our numerical for the solutions of these equations multiplied by  $N$  are presented in table 1.

$N$	$\zeta_0^c N$	$\zeta_0^s N$	$\zeta_0^c N$	$\zeta_0^s N$	$\zeta_0^c N$
1	2.00000				
3/2	1.77556	9.00000			
2	1.68457	8.21937	21.0567		
5/2	1.63564	7.8691	19.4554	38.2224	
3	1.6047	7.6688	18.6864	35.5683	60.535
	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$\infty$	1.46877	6.92895	16.4711	30.0967	47.806

**Table 1:** Values of  $\zeta_0 N$  computed from the positive real zeros  $\zeta_0$  of the discriminant polynomials  $\Delta_{2N}^{c,s}$  approaching the critical values of the complex Mathieu equation.

We observe that in the double scaling limit the critical values for the Mathieu equation seem to be approached from above, albeit from further away than in [1]. As also noted in [1] a much better convergence can be obtained when instead of computing successively the exceptional points for each level one takes the limit directly for the three-term recurrence relation. Thus carrying out the limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\zeta \rightarrow 0$  with  $g := N\zeta < \infty$  on (8)-(11) with the additional assumption that the coefficient functions remain finite, i.e.  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty, \zeta \rightarrow 0} P_n =: P_n^M$  and  $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty, \zeta \rightarrow 0} Q_n =: Q_n^M$  we obtain

$$P_1^M = EP_0^M \tag{24}$$

$$-2gP_{n-1}^M + 4n^2P_n^M + \frac{1}{2}P_{n+1}^M = EP_n^M, \tag{25}$$

$$-2gQ_{n-1}^M + 4n^2Q_n^M + \frac{1}{2}P_{n+1}^M = EQ_n^M. \tag{26}$$

The recurrence relations may be viewed as two eigenvalue equations for the infinite matrices

$\Xi$  and  $\Theta$  with entries

$$\Xi_{i,j} = 4i^2\delta_{i,j} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{j,i+1} - 2g^2\delta_{i,j+1}, \quad \text{for } i, j \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (27)$$

$$\Theta_{i,j} = 4i^2\delta_{i,j} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{j,i+1} - 2g^2\delta_{i,j+1} + \frac{1}{2}\delta_{i,0}\delta_{j,1}, \quad \text{for } i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0, \quad (28)$$

acting on the vectors  $(Q_1^M, Q_2^M, Q_3^M, \dots)$  and  $(P_0^M, P_1^M, P_1^M, \dots)$ , respectively. The real zeros  $g_0$  of the discriminants  $\Delta^\Xi(g)$  and  $\Delta^\Theta(g)$  of the characteristic polynomials  $\det(\Xi^\ell - E\mathbb{I})$  and  $\det(\Theta^\ell - E\mathbb{I})$  for the truncated matrices correspond to the exceptional points. The matrices differ from those reported in [1], denoted here  $\Xi^{[1]}$  and  $\Theta^{[1]}$ , obtained from the double scaling limit analyzed therein. However, as the difference is simply  $2g\Xi_{i,i+1} = \Xi_{i,i+1}^{[1]}$ ,  $\Xi_{i+1,i} = \Xi_{i+1,i}^{[1]}2g$  the products  $\Xi_{i,i+1}\Xi_{i+1,i} = \Xi_{i,i+1}^{[1]}\Xi_{i+1,i}^{[1]}$  remain invariant and thus the matrices possess the same eigenvalues. We may argue in a similar way for  $\Theta$ . Thus the critical values are identical to those reported in tables 2 and 3 of [1].

Using the linear functional  $\mathcal{L}$  [24, 25] acting on arbitrary polynomials  $p$  as

$$\mathcal{L}(p) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p(E)\omega(E)dE, \quad \mathcal{L}(1) = 1, \quad (29)$$

the standard norm  $N_n^\Phi$  for the orthogonal polynomials  $\Phi_n(E)$  is defined via

$$\mathcal{L}(\Phi_n\Phi_m) = N_n^\Phi\delta_{nm}. \quad (30)$$

The normalization in (29) implies  $N_0^P = 1$  and  $N_1^Q = 1$ . The three-term recurrence relations (8)-(11) together with (30) lead to

$$N_n^P = \mathcal{L}(P_n^2) = \mathcal{L}(EP_{n-1}P_n) = \prod_{k=1}^n b_k = \frac{\zeta^{2n}}{2}(1-2N)_n(2N)_n, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \quad (31)$$

$$N_n^Q = \mathcal{L}(Q_n^2) = \mathcal{L}(EQ_{n-1}Q_n) = \prod_{k=2}^n b_k = \frac{\zeta^{2n-2}}{2N(1-2N)}(1-2N)_n(2N)_n, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \quad (32)$$

with  $b_1 = (N - 2N^2)\zeta^2$  and  $b_n = [n(n-1) + 2N - 4N^2]\zeta^2$  for  $n = 2, 3, \dots$ . Due to the fact that  $\mathcal{H}_N$  is non-Hermitian when  $N \neq 1/4$  these norms are not positive definite even for non-vanishing polynomials. However, for  $N = 1/4$  the expressions reduce to the positive definite norms

$$N_n^P = \frac{\zeta^{2n}}{2\pi}\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2} + n\right), \quad \text{and} \quad N_n^Q = 4\frac{\zeta^{2n-2}}{\pi}\Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{2} + n\right). \quad (33)$$

So far we did not require the explicit expressions for the measure, but as argued in [26] the concrete formulae for  $\omega(E)$  may be computed from

$$\omega(E) = \sum_{k=1}^L \omega_k \delta(E - E_k), \quad (34)$$

where the energies  $E_k$  are the  $L$  roots of the polynomial  $\Phi$  and the  $L$  constants  $\omega_k$  are determined by the  $L$  equations

$$\sum_{k=1}^L \omega_k \Phi_n(E_k) = \delta_{n0}, \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{N}_0. \quad (35)$$

When  $\Phi = P$  we have  $L = 2N$  and for  $\Phi = Q$  the upper limit is  $L = 2N - 1$ .

As examples, we solve these equations for the even solutions with  $N = 1$  to

$$\omega_{\pm}^c = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{4 - \zeta^2}}, \quad (36)$$

such that

$$N_1^P = \mathcal{L}(P_1^2) = \omega_+^c \left(E_2^{c,-}\right)^2 + \omega_-^c \left(E_2^{c,+}\right)^2 = b_1 = -\zeta^2. \quad (37)$$

Similarly we find for the odd solutions with  $N = 3/2$

$$\omega_{\pm}^s = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{3}{\sqrt{36 - \zeta^2}}, \quad (38)$$

such that

$$N_2^Q = \mathcal{L}(Q_2^2) = \omega_+^s \left(E_3^{s,-}\right)^2 + \omega_-^s \left(E_3^{s,+}\right)^2 = b_2 = -4\zeta^2. \quad (39)$$

We also compute the moment functionals defined in [24, 25] as

$$\mu_n := \mathcal{L}(E^n) = \sum_{k=1}^L \omega_k E_k^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \nu_k^{(n)} \mu_k, \quad (40)$$

Once again also these quantities can be obtained in two alternative ways, that is either from the computation of the integrals or directly from the original polynomials  $P_n$  and  $Q_n$  without the knowledge of the constants  $\omega_k$ . In the last equation the coefficients  $\nu_k^{(n)}$  are defined through the expansion  $P_n(E) = 2^{n-1} E^n - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \nu_k^{(n)} E^k$  and  $Q_n(E) = 2^{n-1} E^{n-1} - \sum_{k=0}^{n-2} \nu_k^{(n)} E^k$  for the even and odd solutions, respectively. For the above even solutions with  $N = 1$  we obtain

$$\mu_0^P = 1, \quad (41)$$

$$\mu_1^P = 0 = \nu_0^{(1)} \mu_0^P, \quad (42)$$

$$\mu_2^P = -\zeta^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left( \nu_0^{(2)} \mu_0^P + \nu_1^{(2)} \mu_1^P \right) = -\frac{1}{2} 2\zeta^2, \quad (43)$$

$$\mu_3^P = -4\zeta^2 = \frac{1}{4} (64\zeta^2 - 80\zeta^2), \quad (44)$$

$$\mu_4^P = -16\zeta^2 + \zeta^4 = \frac{1}{8} (-4608\zeta^2 + 8\zeta^4 + 6272\zeta^2 - 448 \times 4\zeta^2), \quad (45)$$

$$\mu_5^P = -64\zeta^2 + 8\zeta^4, \quad (46)$$

and similarly for the odd solutions with  $N = 3/2$  we compute

$$\mu_0^Q = 1, \quad (47)$$

$$\mu_1^Q = 4, \quad (48)$$

$$\mu_2^Q = 16 - \zeta^2, \quad (49)$$

$$\mu_3^Q = 64 - 24\zeta^2, \quad (50)$$

$$\mu_4^Q = 256 - 432\zeta^2 + \zeta^4, \quad (51)$$

$$\mu_5^Q = 1024 - 7168\zeta^2 + 44\zeta^4. \quad (52)$$



Thus we have demonstrated here that the model  $\mathcal{H}_N$ , as defined in (5), does indeed constitute a quasi-exactly solvable model of  $E_2$ -type.

## References

- [1] A. Fring, E2-quasi-exact solvability for non-Hermitian models, arXiv:1411.4300.
- [2] A. V. Turbiner, Quasi-Exactly-Solvable problems and  $sl(2)$  Algebra, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **118**, 467–474 (1988).
- [3] A. Turbiner, Lie algebras and linear operators with invariant subspaces, *Lie Algebras, Cohomologies and New Findings in Quantum Mechanics*, *Contemp. Math. AMS*, (eds N. Kamran and P.J. Olver) **160**, 263–310 (1994).
- [4] A. Khare and B. P. Mandal, A PT-invariant potential with complex QES eigenvalues, *Phys. Lett. A* **272**, 53–56 (2000).
- [5] B. Bagchi, S. Mallik, C. Quesne, and R. Roychoudhury, A PT-symmetric QES partner to the Khare–Mandal potential with real eigenvalues, *Phys. Lett. A* **289**, 34–38 (2001).
- [6] C. M. Bender and M. Monou, New quasi-exactly solvable sextic polynomial potentials, *J. Phys. A* **38**, 2179–2187 (2005).
- [7] B. Bagchi, C. Quesne, and R. Roychoudhury, A complex periodic QES potential and exceptional points, *J. Phys. A* **41**, 022001 (2008).
- [8] F. G. Scholtz, H. B. Geyer, and F. Hahne, Quasi-Hermitian Operators in Quantum Mechanics and the Variational Principle, *Ann. Phys.* **213**, 74–101 (1992).
- [9] C. M. Bender and S. Boettcher, Real Spectra in Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians Having PT Symmetry, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **80**, 5243–5246 (1998).
- [10] C. M. Bender, Making sense of non-Hermitian Hamiltonians, *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **70**, 947–1018 (2007).
- [11] A. Mostafazadeh, Pseudo-Hermitian Representation of Quantum Mechanics, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **7**, 1191–1306 (2010).
- [12] Z. H. Musslimani, K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, and D. N. Christodoulides, Optical Solitons in PT Periodic Potentials, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 030402 (2008).
- [13] K. G. Makris, R. El-Ganainy, D. N. Christodoulides, and Z. H. Musslimani, PT-symmetric optical lattices, *Phys. Rev.* **A81**, 063807(10) (2010).
- [14] A. Guo, G. J. Salamo, D. Duchesne, R. Morandotti, M. Volatier-Ravat, V. Aimez, G. A. Siviloglou, and D. Christodoulides, Observation of PT-Symmetry Breaking in Complex Optical Potentials, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103**, 093902(4) (2009).
- [15] B. Midya, B. Roy, and R. Roychoudhury, A note on the PT invariant potential  $4\cos^2x + 4iV_0\sin 2x$ , *Phys. Lett.* **A374**, 2605–2607 (2010).
- [16] H. Jones, Use of equivalent Hermitian Hamiltonian for PT-symmetric sinusoidal optical lattices, *J. Phys.* **A44**, 345302 (2011).
- [17] E. Graefe and H. Jones, PT-symmetric sinusoidal optical lattices at the symmetry-breaking threshold, *Phys. Rev.* **A84**, 013818(8) (2011).

- [18] S. Dey, A. Fring, and T. Mathanaranjan, Non-Hermitian systems of Euclidean Lie algebraic type with real eigenvalue spectra, *Annals of Physics* **346**, 28–41 (2014).
- [19] S. Dey, A. Fring, and T. Mathanaranjan, Spontaneous PT-symmetry breaking for systems of noncommutative Euclidean Lie algebraic type, arXiv:1407.8097, to appear in *Int. J. Theor. Phys.*
- [20] S. Longhi and G. Della Valle, Invisible defects in complex crystals, *Annals of Physics* **334**, 35–46 (2013).
- [21] C. J. Isham and N. Linden, Group theoretic quantisation of strings on tori, *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **5**, 71–93 (1988).
- [22] E. Wigner, Normal form of antiunitary operators, *J. Math. Phys.* **1**, 409–413 (1960).
- [23] C. M. Bender and G. V. Dunne, Quasiexactly solvable systems and orthogonal polynomials, *J.Math.Phys.* **37**, 6–11 (1996).
- [24] J. Favard, Sur les polynomes de Tchebicheff., *C. R. Acad. Sci., Paris* **200**, 2052–2053 (1935).
- [25] F. Finkel, A. Gonzalez-Lopez, and M. A. Rodriguez, Quasiexactly solvable potentials on the line and orthogonal polynomials, *J. Math. Phys.* **37**, 3954–3972 (1996).
- [26] A. Krajewska, A. Ushveridze, and Z. Walczak, Bender–Dunne Orthogonal Polynomials General Theory, *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **12**, 1131–1144 (1997).