

## City Research Online

### City, University of London Institutional Repository

**Citation:** Rowlands, I. (1998). Mapping the knowledge base of information policy: clusters of documents, people and ideas. (Unpublished Doctoral thesis, City University London)

This is the accepted version of the paper.

This version of the publication may differ from the final published version.

Permanent repository link: https://openaccess.city.ac.uk/id/eprint/7467/

Link to published version:

**Copyright:** City Research Online aims to make research outputs of City, University of London available to a wider audience. Copyright and Moral Rights remain with the author(s) and/or copyright holders. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to.

**Reuse:** Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

City Research Online:

http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/

publications@city.ac.uk

# Mapping the knowledge base of information policy: clusters of documents, people and ideas

# Volume 2: Appendices

Ian Rowlands
Information Policy Unit
Department of Information Science
City University

Submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy (Information Science)

**April 1998** 

# Appendix A Copy of downloading agreement with ISI



European Branch: Brunel Science Park Brunel University Uxbridge UB8 3PQ, United Kingdom = +44-1895-270016 = Fax+44-1895-256710

Our Ref RK/ab

17th June 1996

Mr Ian Rowlands
Lecturer in Information Science
Department of Information Science
City University
Northampton Square
London EC1V 0HB

Dear Ian

Thank you for your letter of 12th June This is to confirm that we agree to the downloading within the parameters you specify

With this letter, I am sending details of some of our bibliometric services available on diskette, with Windows-based software Please get in touch if you require any additional information.

Good luck with you research I look forward to learning more about your findings.

With best regards

Yours sincerely

Robert Kimberley
Managing Director

c c K MacGregor

Abbott-Huduski, BE, Democracy in America is best served by a multiformat Federal depository library program, Journal of Government Information 23(3) (1996) 241-252.

Abell, R, The West German information policy: results of the first and plans for the second governmental program, Aslib Proceedings 38(6/7) (1986) 193-198.

Aderibigbe, MR, A review of the effects of government policies on information dissemination in Nigeria, Government Publications Review 18(3) (1991) 245-252.

Adler, JB, Publishers, libraries and the future use of government information, Government Publications Review 9 2) (1982) 87 90.

Akhavanmajid, R, DBS policy making in Japan: an interpretive history, *Telecommunications Policy 13 4* (1989 363 370.

Akhavanmajid, R, Telecommunications policy-making in Japan: the 1980s and beyond, Telecommunications Policy 14 2) (1990 159-168.

Akobo, DI, The effect of government policies on the acquisition of library resources in Nigeria, Library A quisitions: Practice and Theory 16(3) (1992) 313-320.

Akwule, RU, Telecommunications in Kenya: development and policy issues, *Telecommunications Policy 16(7* (1992 603-611.

Alikhan, S, Intellectual property rights: the Paris Convention and developing countries, Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research 52 4) (1993) 219-224.

Allaham, G, Libraries and information infrastructure in Syria, Journal of Information S vence 18 6 1992 497 504

Allen, KB, Access to g vernment information, Government Information Quarterly 9(1) (1992) 67-80

Alley, D, Heyworth, J, Wolters, P and Crawford, H, Information policy and technological sovereignty, Canadian Journal of Information Science 3, (1978) 80-100.

Alston, R, National security controls on information and communication in Australia, Government Information Quarterly 4 1 (1987) 29-41.

Altman, E and Brown, S, From retrieval to robotics: the National Science Foundation's support of information research, Government Information Quarterly 8 4) (1991) 359-373.

Amirahmadi, H and Wallace, C, Information technology, the organization of production and regional development, *Environment and Planning 27(11)* (1995) 1745-1775.

Anderson, RK, Haddix, A; McCray, JC and Wunz, TP, Developing a health information infrastructure for Arizona, Bulletin of the Medical Library Association 82(4) (1994) 396-400.

Andes, N, The commodification of government information: a summary and analysis of the Reagan administration's restrictions on Federal information, Government Publications Review 15 5 (1988) 451-461.

Andrussier, SE, The Freedom of Information Act in 1990: more freedom for the government, less information for the public, *Duke Law Journal 3*, (1991) 753-801.

Anthony, LJ, A national information policy for the United Kingdom, Aslib Proceedings 33(3) (1981) 73 82.

Anthony, LJ, National information policy, Aslib Proceedings 34(6/7) (1982) 310-316.

Anthony, LJ and Deunette, J, Online services, information technology and the information industry, Online 10(1) (1986) 87-93.

Appleyard, RK, Information industry: what it contributes, where it is going, its impact on information provision in the public sector: general and official view from the standpoint of the operator and producer, Aslib Proceedings 31(2) (1979) 64-73.

Appleyard, RK, The role of the European Commission in the information environment, Journal of Information Science 3(6) (1981) 257-260.

Archibald, S, The early years of the Freedom of Information Act: 1955-1974, Political Science and Politics 26 4 1993 726-731.

Archibald, SJ, Freedom of Information Act revisited, Public Administration Review 39(4) 1979 311 318

Arlandis, J, Trading telecommunications: challenges to European regulation policies, Telecommunications Policy 17 3 (1993) 171-185.

Armstrong, RD, The matter of printing: public printing in the Western territories of the United States, Journal of Government Information 21(1) (1994) 37-47.

Athreya, MB, India telecommunications policy: a paradigm shift, Telecommunications Policy 20(1 1996 11-22

Atwool, M, You can't eat information: government information and the information policy role of the National Library of New Zealand, Government Information Quarterly 13(3) (1996) 311 322

Aubrac, R, French development co-operation: a scientific and technological information policy, UNESCO Journal of Information Science, Librarianship and Archives Administration 3 4 1981 217 221

Axelrad, J, Freedom of Information Act's application, or, more accurately, lack of application to classified government information and especially to reports of military accident investigation reports, Forum of the American Bar Association 8(2) (1972) 282-285.

Bainbridge, WS, The social information infrastructure, Social Science Computer Review 13(2) 1995) 171 182.

Bair, RR and Torrey, BB, The challenge of census taking in developing countries, Government Information Quarterly 2(4) (1985) 433-452.

Baldwin, G, Expanding public use of GPO access: the Government Printing Office perspective on the Model Gateways Program, Government Information Quarterly 12(4) (1995) 461-471

Baldwin, JN and Siminoski, D, Perceptions of the Freedom of Information Act (FoIA) and proposed amendments by the FoIA administrators, Government Information Quarterly 2(2) (1985) 131 142.

Barker, C, Freedom of Information Act and its declassification procedures, Government Publications Review 1(2) (1973) 147-150.

Barrett, J, Technology and United States government information policies: catalysts for new partnerships, Government Information Quarterly 5(3) (1988) 267-278.

Barton, AB, United States v Nixon and Freedom of Information Act: new impetus for agency disclosure, Emory Law Journal 24(2) (1975) 405-424.

Barton, J, Local government information, Aslib Proceedings 43(6) (1991) 213-219.

Barwise, TP, Possible means of stimulating investment in and improving the climate for the information industry strategies for EEC intervention in the European information industry and market, 1981 85, Aslib Proceedings 32(1) (1980) 41-55.

Bass, GD and Plochard, D, Finding government information: the Federal Information Locator System FILS Government Information Quarterly 81(1) (1991) 11-32.

Bastos, MI, How international sanctions worked: domestic and foreign political constraints on the Brazilian informatics policy, *Journal of Development Studies 30 2*) (1994) 380-404.

Bates, BJ, Information systems and society: potential impacts of alternative structures, Telecommunications Policy 14(2 (1990) 151-158.

Bauer, J, Braun, E, Hartmann, B; Latzer, M; Pointner, P; Sint, PP and Bonek, E, New telecommunications services in Austria: a technology assessment, *Telecommunications Policy* 11 4 (1987 334-346

Beachboard, JC and McClure, CR, Managing Federal information technology: conflicting policies and competing philosophies, Government Information Quarterly 13 1) (1996) 15-33.

Bearman, TC, National information policy: an insider's view, Library Trends 35(1) (1986) 105-118

Becker, J, Transborder data flow between East and West: the situation of the Federal Republic of Germany, Nachrichten für Dokumentation 38 1) (1987) 1-5.

Becker, J, Information for all or knowledge for the elite: the contours of a dissimilar European information policy, International Forum on Information and Documentation 18(1) (1993) 3 10

Beesley, SL and Glover, TAN, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act, 1986, Duke Law Journal 3, (1987) 521-567.

Bell, R and Watchirs, H, Freedom of information: the Commonwealth experience, Australian Journal of Public Administration 47(4) (1988) 296-311.

Bellamy, C and Taylor, JA, Reinventing government in the information age, *Public Money Management 14 3* (1994) 59-62.

Bender, DR, Transborder data flow: an historical review and considerations for the future, Special Libraries 79(3) (1988) 230-235.

Bender, DR, A strategy for international information policy, Libri 43(3) (1993) 210-231.

Benecki, MH, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act: 1987, Duke Law Journal 2(3) (1988) 566 607

Benjamin, R and Wigand, R, Electronic markets and virtual value chains on the information superhighway, Sloan Management Review 26(2) (1995) 62-72.

Benko, RP, Intellectual property rights and the Uruguay Round, World Economy 11(2) (1988) 217 231

Beresford, JC and Pomerance, DS, Federal policy about machine-readable statistical documents, Government Publications Review 8(3) (1981) 221-229.

Bergmann, M, Transborder data protection, Nachrichten fur Dokumentation 38(5) (1987) 293 296

Beringer, BC, The role of the Department of Commerce in the acquisition and dissemination of foreign information, Government Information Quarterly 6(1) (1989) 39-42.

Berner, RO, Effect of 1976 Amendment to Exemption 3 of Freedom of Information Act, Columbia Law Review 76 6 (1976) 1029-1047.

Berninger, DE, Some reflections on Federal policy for secondary information systems and services, 1945-1981, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 33(3) (1982) 162 167

Bibas, SA, A contractual approach to data privacy, Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy 17(2 1994 591-611

Bigelow, JA, Meeting the agency burden under the confidential source exemption to the Freedom of Inf rmati n Act, Washington Law Review 60 4 (1985) 873-887.

Bing, J, Beyond 1984 the law and information technology in tomorrow's society: data protection and social policy, Information Age 8(2) (1986) 85-94.

Bishop, A, Doty, P and McClure, CR, Federal information resources management (IRM): a policy review and assessment, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 26, (1989) 40-47.

Bisogno, P and Paci, AM, Information roles and the European Community: aims, research programs and activities in the period 1994-1998, International Forum on Information and Documentation 19(2) (1994) 11-19.

Blanchard, MA, The crusade for worldwide freedom of information: American attempts to shape World War II peace treaties, *Journalism Quarterly* 60 4) (1983) 583-588.

Blasingame, R, Public library and information policy, Library Journal 104 16) (1979) 1818-1822.

Blum, LA; Bowman, JE and Sullivan, JM, Conducting the economic censuses, Government Information Quarterly 4(3) (1987) 283-302.

Boehlert, S, Federal information policy, Government Information Quarterly 6(1) (1989) 25-29.

Bohmuller, L, Cultural and library policy, 1933-1945: from the archives of the Jena University Library, Zentralblatt fur Bibliothekswesen 103(5) (1989) 205-211.

Boisard, B, Public access to government information: the position in France, Government Publications Review 9(3) (1982) 205-219.

Bonine, JE, Public interest fee waivers under the Freedom of Information Act, Duke Law Journal 2, (1981) 213 278.

Bortnick, J, National and international information policy, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 36 3) (1985) 164-168.

Bortnick, J, Support for information technology in science: the Federal role, Government Information Quarterly 3 3 (1986) 233-250.

Bounpane, PA, Plans and issues facing the 1990 decennial census, Government Information Quarterly 2 4 (1985 369-387.

B wonder, B, Miyqake, T and Singh TM, Emerging trends in information technology: implications for devel ping countries, *International Journal of Information Management* 13 3 1993 183-204

Bowyer, TH, National library co-ordination and the art of the possible: political and administrative factors affecting planning and policy in the United Kingdom, *Libri 31(1)* 1981 82 92

Bradley, JA, Pro-SE complainants and the Freedom of Information Act: neglected not rejected, Administrative Law Review 37 1) (1985) 15-36.

Bradsher, JG, A brief history of the growth of Federal government records, archives and information, 1789-1985, Government Publications Review 13(4 (1986) 491-505.

Braman, S, Defining information: an approach for policymakers, Telecommunications Policy 13 3 (1989 233-242

Braman, S. Trade and information policy, Media, Culture and Society 12(3) (1990) 361-385.

Braman, S, Harmonization of systems: the third stage of the information society, Journal of Communications 43(3 (1993) 133-140.

Braman, S, The autopoietic State: communication and democratic potential in the Net, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 45(6) (1994) 358-368.

Braman, S, Horizons of the State: information policy and power, Journal of Communication 45 4 (1995) 4-24.

Branscomb, AW, Global governance of global networks: a survey of transborder data flow in transition, Vanderbilt Law Review 36(4) (1983) 985-1043.

Branscomb, LM, United States scientific and technical information policy in the context of a diffusion oriented national technology policy, Government Publications Review 19(5) (1992) 469 482.

Brennan, TJ, Divestiture policy considerations in an information services world, *Telecommunications Policy 13(3)* (1989) 243-254.

Brimmer, KW, Telecommunications regulation and policy, Online Review 5(6) (1981) 481-486.

Brimmer, KW, United States telecommunications common carrier policy, Annual Review of Information Science and Technology 17, (1982) 33-82.

Brinberg, HR, Realities and opportunities in the global information economy, Government Information Quarterly 6 1) (1989) 59-65.

Brisfjord, ILS, The Institute for International Information Programs: policy and development, *Proceedings of American Society for Information Science 22*, (1985) 202-206.

Broady, J and Usherwood, B, Public expenditure policies and the public library: changing the rules of the revenue game, *Journal of Librarianship 17(1)* (1985) 17-30.

Brotman, SN, United States communications policy-making: returning power to the Presidency, *Telecommunications Policy* 13 4 (1989) 302-308.

Brown, GE, Federal inf rmation policy: protecting the free-flow of information, Government Information Quarterly 4 4 (1987) 349-358.

Brown, KW and McDuffie, RS, Access to local government financial reports, Government Information Quarterly 13(2) (1996) 133-141.

Brown, R, T wards a national information policy, Aslib Proceedings 34 6/7) (1982) 317-324.

Buchwald, C, Canada in context an overview of information policies in four industrialised countries, Canadian Journal of Information and Library Science 20(3/4) (1995) 5-33.

Bunker, MD, Taking care of business: confidentiality under the business exemption of the FolA, Public Relations Review 21(2 (1995) 137-149.

Burch, K, Intellectual property rights and the culture of global liberalism, Science Communication 17(2) (1995) 214-232.

Burger, RH, The analysis of information policy, Library Trends 35(1) (1986) 171-182.

Burkert, H, The general right of access to government information: a regulatory task, Nachrichten fur Dokumentation 41(2) (1990) 103-108.

Burkert, H, The legal framework of public sector information: recent legal policy developments in the EC, Government Publications Review 19 5 (1992) 483-496.

Bushkin, AA and Yurow, JH, Developing national information policies, Library Journal 104 16) (1979) 1752-1756.

Busowietz, M and Schmidtreindl, KM, New trends in French information policy, Nachrichten fur Dokumentation 35(1) (1984) 7-14.

Buss, MJD, Legislative threat to transborder data flow, Harvard Business Review 62(3) (1984) 111 118.

Byrd, TA; Sambamurthy, V; Zmud, RW and Hale, WM, Experiences with information technology planning in state government: a multiple-site based assessment, Government Information Quarterly 9 2) (1992) 135-150.

Campbell, B, Forming and implementing a national information policy, Canadian Library Journal 49 1 (1992) 13-27.

Campbell, ND, Reverse Freedom of Information Act litigation: need for Congressional action, Georgetown Law Review 67(1) (1978) 103-205.

Canisius, P, Information policies in Western Europe: some remarks about the present situation, Aslib Proceedings 34 1) (1982) 13-24.

Caponio, JF and Geffner, J, Does privatization affect access to government information?, Government Information Quarterly 5(2) (1988) 147-154.

Case, DO, An example of the social construction of information technologies: videotex in the United States and Europe, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 28, 1991) 139-149

Case, DO, The social shaping of videotex: how information services for the public have evolved, J urnal of the American Society for Information Science 45(7) (1994) 483-497.

Case, DO, Rhetoric of the ren' the myths of the information superhighway, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 32, (1995) 137-143.

Casey, M, The electronic information industry in Europe, Journal of Librarianship and Information Science 23 1) 1991) 21-36.

Castano, E, Disclosure of Federal officials' documents under the Freedom of Information Act: a limited application, *Houston Law Review 18 3* (1981) 641-654.

Cate, FH, Fields, DA and McBain, JK, The right to privacy and the public's right to know: the central purpose of the Freedom of Information Act, Administrative Law Review 46(1) (1994) 41 74

Catsbaril, WL and Jelassi, T, The French videotex system, Minitel: a successful implementation of a national information technology infrastructure, MIS Quarterly 18(1) (1994 1 20.

Caudle, SL, Managing information resources in state government, *Public Administration Review 50 5* (1990) 515-524.

Cawkell, AE, Privacy, security and freedom in the information society, Journal of Information Science 4 1 (1982) 3-8.

Chandler, J, Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Journal of Government Information 21(6), (1994) 599-603.

Chandran, R; Phatak, A and Sambharya, R, Transborder data flows: implications for multinational corporations, Business Horizons 30(6) (1987) 74-82.

Chartrand, RL, The role of government in the information society, Journal of Information Science 5(4) (1982) 137-142.

Chartrand, RL, Computer technology on Capitol Hill: origins and opportunities, *Proceedings* of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 23, (1986) 48-55.

Cheh, MM, The progressive case and the Atomic Energy Act: waking to the dangers of government information controls, George Washington Law Review 48(2) (1980) 163-210.

Cheh, MM, Judicial supervision of executive secrecy: rethinking freedom of information expression for government employees and the public right of access to government information, Cornell Law Review 69(4) (1984) 690-734.

Cheney, D, Seeds of destruction: Penn State's participation in the GPO Access Model Gateway Program, Government Information Quarterly 12(4) (1995) 487-494.

Chiang, JT, Technology policy paradigms and intellectual property strategies (3): national models. Technological Forecasting and Social Change 49(1) (1995) 35-48.

Choo, CW, National computer policy management in Singapore: planning an intelligent island, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 32, (1995) 152-156.

Christian, EJ, Helping the public find information: the United States Government Information Locator Service (GILS) Journal of Government Information 21(4) (1994) 305-314.

Clark, E, Holding government information accountable: amended Freedom of Information Act. article in honour of Rodell, F, Yale Law Journal 84(4) (1975) 741-769.

Clark, JE, Brown, GE; Sprehe, JT; Weingarten, FW; McClure, CR; Bement, AL and Lahoud, JJA, Federal information: foundation for national competitiveness, *Government Information Quarterly 5 4*) (1988 353-368.

Clemence, TG, Historical perspectives on the decennial census, Government Information Quarterly 2 4) (1985 355-368.

Clement, DG, Tights of submitters to prevent agency disclosure of confidential business information. reverse Freedom of Information Act lawsuit, *Texas Law Review 55(4)* (1977) 587-644

Cleveland, H, Government is information (but not vice versa) Public Administration Review 46 6 (1986) 605-607.

Clower, TL, A review of regulatory barriers: is the information superhighway really imminent?, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for |information Science 3 3-7) (1994) 3-7.

Cole, PE, The Freedom of Information Act and the Central Intelligence Agency's paper chase: a need for Congressional action to maintain essential secrecy for intelligence files while preserving the public's right to know, *Notre Dame Law Review 58(2)* (1982) 350-381.

Cole, ST, European information technology policy, Aslib Proceedings 43(2/3) (1991) 37-41.

Collingridge, D and Margetts, H, Can government information systems be inflexible technology? The operational strategy revisited, *Public Administration* 72(1) (1994) 55-72.

Comeau, PA and Ouimet, A, Freedom of information and privacy: Quebec innovative role in North America, *Iowa Law Review 80(3)* (1995) 651-668.

Connolly, WB and Fox, JC, Employer rights and access to documents under Freedom of Information Act, Fordham Law Review 46(2) (1977) 203-240.

Coombe, GW and Kirk, SL, Privacy, data protection and transborder data flow: a corporate response to international expectations, Business Lawyer 39(1) (1983) 33-66.

Cooper, MD, The structure and future of the information economy, Information Processing & Management 19(1) (1983) 9-26.

Cooper, PJ, The Supreme Court, the First Amendment and freedom of information, Public Administration Review 46 6) (1986) 62-628.

Cornish, GP, Copyright issues in legal deposit and preservation, IFLA Journal 20(3) (1994) 341 349

Cornwell, G, Kessler, RR; Aldrich, D; Andersen, TK; Hayes, SM; Sulzer, J and Tulis, S, Problems and issues affecting the United States Depository Library Program and the GPO: the librarian's manifesto, Government Publications Review 20(2) (1993) 121-140.

Cornwell, GT, The dissemination of Federal government information: prospects for the immediate future, Journal of Government Information 23 3) (1996) 299-306.

Cotter, GA, NASA scientific and technical information for the 1990s, Government Information Quarterly 7(2 (1990) 169-173.

Courtland, S, Census confidentiality: then and now, Government Information Quarterly 2(4) 1985 407-418

Coxon, H, The freedom of information debate in Australia, Government Publications Review 8 5 (1981) 373-379

Coyne, JG, Hughes, TE and Winsbro, BC, Sharing results of Federal R&D: a look at the Department of Energy's system for managing scientific and technical information, Government Information Quarterly 3 4 (1986) 363-380.

Craft, AM, National Environmental Policy Act, Freedom of Information Act and Atomic Energy Commission need for environmental information, *Indiana Law Journal* 47(4) (1972) 755-770

Crawford, JC, Policy formulation for public library provision in the Highlands of Scotland, Journal of Librarianship 16(2) (1984) 94-117.

Crawford, MH, House hearings on transborder data flows: a reflection, Information Privacy 2 4) (1980) 165-169.

Crawford, MH, Technology transfer and the computerization of South Korea and Taiwan: the public sector and high technology policy, *Information Age* 9(2) (1987) 67-73.

Crews, KD, Legal deposit in four countries: laws and library services, Law Library Journal 80(4) (1988) 551-576.

Crews, KD, Copyright law and information policy planning: public rights of use in the 1990s and beyond, *Journal of Government Information 22(2)* (1995) 87-99.

Crist, PI, Administrative law: Freedom of Information Act and equitable discretion 5 USC 552, 1970, Denver Law Journal 51(2) (1974) 263-274.

Cronin, B, Transatlantic perspectives on information policy: the search for regulatory realism, Journal of Information Science 13(3) (1987) 129-138.

Cummings, MM, The effect of United States policies on the economics of libraries, Bulletin of the Medical Library Association 73(1) (1985) 1-8.

Curtis, L, Who owns government information?, Australian Journal of Public Administration 38 1 (1979 34-41

Curwen, P, Telecommunications policy in the European Union: developing the information superhighway, *Journal of Common Market Studies 33(3)* (1995) 331-360.

Dahl, M, Scandinavian countries database policy and its implementation, International Forum on Information and Documentation 4 4) (1979) 13-15.

Dannenberg, JC, New York's Freedom of Information Law, disclosure under the CPLR, and the common law privilege for official information: conflict and confusion over the people's right to know, Syracuse Law Journal 33(2 (1982) 615-655.

Davenport, L and Cronin, B, Vertical integration: corporate strategy in the information industry, Online Review 10 4 (1986 237-247.

Davenport, L and Cronin, B, Government policies and the information industry: the balance of interests, Aslib Proceedings 39 5) (1987) 159-167.

Davidson, WH, Japanese telecommunications policy: new direction and old dilemmas, *Telecommunications Policy* 11(2) (1987) 147-160.

Davies, G, Carter, S, McIntosh, S and Stefanescu, D, Technology and policy options for the telecommunications sector: the situation in Central and Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union, *Telecommunications Policy* 20(2) (1996) 101-123.

Davies, NE, The health sciences information struggle: the private information industry versus the National Library of Medicine, New England Journal of Medicine 307(3) (1982) 201 204

Davies, R, Non price competition and the structure of the online information industry: Q-analysis of medical databases and hosts, *Journal of Documentation* 43(3) (1987) 236-260.

Day, MS, National information policy: the broader context, Government Information Quarterly 6(2) (1989) 159-163.

de Bruine, RF, European Commission policy in the framework of the information services market, Journal of Government Information 21(1) (1994) 15-24.

De Sola Pool, I and Solomon, RJ, Intellectual property and transborder data flows, Stanford Journal of International Law 16, (1980) 113-139.

Demetriades, PB, Administrative secrecy and data privacy legislation, Journal of Systems Management 27(10) (1976) 24-29.

Desanti, V, On public access to electronic information: will the public step forward, please?, Government Information Quarterly 8(1) (1991) 101-104.

Desanti, VM, A policy framework on the dissemination of government electronic information: some remarks, Government Information Quarterly 10(2) (1993) 255-260.

Devilliers, IFA, Government publishing in South Africa today, Government Information Quarterly 3 4) (1986) 419-423.

Dholakia, RR and Dholakia, N, Deregulating markets and fast-changing technology: public policy towards telecommunications in a turbulent setting, *Telecommunications Policy* 18(1) 1994 21 31

Diesbach, V, Concept of Freedom of Information in international guarantees of human rights, Law and State 19, (1979) 91-105.

Divorski, S, Gordon, A and Heinz, JP, Public access to government information: field experiment, Northwestern University Law Review 68 2) (1973) 240-274.

Doamarl, SA, Library services for social development, IFLA Journal 21(1) (1995) 19-25.

Doctor, RD, Seeking equity in the National Information Infrastructure, Internet Research, Electronic Networking Applications and Policy 4 3) (1994) 9-22.

Doherty, LA, The Freedom of Information Act, George Washington Law Review 56(4-5) (1988) 880-899

Dordick, HS, The origins of universal service: history as a determinant of telecommunications policy, *Telecommunications Policy 14(3)* (1990) 223-231.

Dosa, M and Genova, BK, Policy implications of health information sharing, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 17, (1980) 109-111.

Doty, P; Bishop, AP and McClure, CR, The National Research and Education Network (NREN): an empirical study of social and behavioural issues, *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science* 27, (1990) 284-299.

Dougherty, RM, Research libraries and a national information policy, College and Research Libraries 40 1 (1979) 8-10.

Dowling, SA, Information access: public goods or private goods?, Social Science Computer Review 12 3) (1994) 333-350.

Drachsler, DA, Freedom of Information Act and right of non-disclosure, Administrative Law Review 28(1) (1976) 1-11.

Drake, WJ, The Internet religious war, Telecommunications Policy 17(9) (1993) 643-649.

Drewry, G, Official Secrets Acts, Political Quarterly 44(1) (1973) 88-93.

Ducatel, K and Miles, I, Internationalization of information technology services and public policy implications, World Development 20(12) (1992) 1843-1857.

Dugan, RE and Cheverie, JF, Electronic government information and the Depository Library Program: paradise found?, Government Information Quarterly 9(3) (1992) 269-289.

Duncan, JW; Beresford, JC; Chartrand, RL; Day, MS and Kasputys, JE, Private versus public sector responsibility for the collection, distribution and analysis of statistical data, Review of Public Data Use 8(4) (1980) 307-325.

Dupagne, M, French and US videotex: prospects for the electronic directory, Telecommunications Policy 14(6) (1990) 489-504.

Durrance, JC, A model for the selection of factors which affect the public policy information needs of citizen groups, Library Research 4 1 (1982) 23-49.

Durrance, JC, Spanning the local government information gap, Reference Quarterly 25(1) 1985) 100-109

Durrance, JC, Providing access to local government information: the nature of public library activity, Government Information Quarterly 5(2) (1988) 155-167.

Durrance, JC, The White House Conference and democracy: a missed opportunity?, Government Information Quarterly 9 3 (1992) 341-346.

Duvall, J. Local government information services, Aslib Proceedings 40 2) (1988) 33-42.

Dwyer, KC and Rush, PG, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act, 1983, Duke Law Journal 2, (1984) 377-421.

Easterbrook, FH, Privacy and the optimal extent of disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, Journal of Legal Studies 9 4 (1980) 755-800.

Ebeling, T and Staber, P, Education, libraries and democracy after the boom: a comment on some consequences of the increasing crisis of social policy in the FRG for the libraries, Zentralblatt fur Bibliothekswesen 99(1) (1985) 17-21.

Ebersole, JL, Competition, jobs and information policy: the case for private sector information services, Journal of Government Information 21(2) (1994) 83-104.

Egan, BL, Building value through telecommunications: regulatory roadblocks on the information superhighway, *Telecommunications Policy* 18(8) (1994) 573-587.

Egan, BL, Public policy for fiber to the home, Telecommunications Policy 14 6) (19990) 457-460.

Eger, J, Brussels mandate: alliance for the future of world communications and information policy, Computer Networks and ISDN Systems 3(2) (1979) 79-86.

Ehlke, RC and Relyea, HC, The Reagan administration order on security classification: a critical assessment, Federal Bar News and Journal 30(2) (1983) 91-97.

Eisenbeis, K, United States government information policy, Journal of Education for Library and Information Science 29(2) (1988) 92-98.

Eisenbeis, K, Technology assessment and federal information policy, Special Libraries 79(3) (1988) 200 206.

Eisenbeis, K, Federal information policy: the changing environment, Reference Quarterly 28(3) (1989) 295-300.

Elkinkoren, N, The challenges of technological change to copyright law: copyright reform and social change in cyberspace, Science Communication 17(2) (1995) 186-200.

Emerson, TI, Public's right to know: why we don't need an Official Secrets Act, Nation 228(9) (1979) 263 266.

English, G, Congressional oversight of security classification policy, Government Information Quarterly 1 2 (1984) 165-176.

English, G, Electronic filing of documents with the government: new technology presents new problems, Government Information Quarterly 2(2) 183-186, (1985) 183-186.

Erdelez, S, Computer law, informatics law or information law?, Information Age 12(4) (1990) 223-229

Evensen, RH, Levering, MB, Equalizing information access by handicapped persons, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 16, (1979) 140-147

Ewing, KP, Innovation and antitrust: some thoughts on government patent policy, Journal of the Patent Office Society 60(12 (1978) 736-744.

Fajans, AE, Media use of the Freedom of Information Act, Government Information Quarterly 1 4 (1984) 351-364.

Feather, J, National and international policies for preservation, *International Library Review* 22 4) (1990) 315-237.

Feeley, J, Freedom of information: attitudes, rights, laws and policy, Canadian Journal of Information Science 5, (1980) 1-9.

Feinberg, LE, Managing the Freedom of Information Act and Federal information policy, Public Administration Review 46 6) (1986) 615-621.

Feinberg, LE, Managing the Freedom of Information Act and Federal information policy: the Reagan years, Government Information Quarterly 6 4) (1989) 345-363.

Feinberg, LE and Relyea, HC, The Freedom of Information Act: a collage, Public Administration Review 46 6) (1986) 608-614.

Feldman, SW, The government's commercial data privilege under Exemption 5 of the Freedom of Information Act, Military Law Review 105, (1984) 125-143.

Ferris, C, Freedom of information in Atlantic Canada, Government Information Quarterly 5(4) (1988) 341-351.

Fisher, L, Congressional access to executive branch information: lessons from Iran-Contra, Government Information Quarterly 6(4) (1989) 383-394.

Fishman, WL, Introduction to transborder data flows, Stanford Journal of International Law 16, (1980) 1 26.

Flaherty, DH, The need for an American Privacy Protection Commission, Government Information Quarterly 1(3) (1984) 235-258.

Flaherty, DH, The emergence of surveillance societies in the Western World: toward the year 2000, Government Information Quarterly 5(4) (1988) 377-387.

Fletcher, PT and Bertot, JC, The central information services function in county governments from controller to enabler, Government Information Quarterly 11(1) (1994) 73-88.

Florance, V, Presidential policy and information dissemination: an analysis of the Reagan moratorium on government publishing, Government Information Quarterly 1(3) (1984) 273-284

Fong, C, Government policy guidelines in Australia, Government Publications Review 9(5) 1982 401-406.

Fortner, RS, Excommunications in the information society, Critical Studies in Mass Communication 12(2 (1995) 133-154.

Foss, SM, Improving the dissemination of United States government information: the report of the Public Printers Sales Publications Pricing Panel, Government Publications Review 18 5 1991 435-450

Freese, J. Data policy in Sweden, Information Privacy 1(5) (1979) 226-229.

Freides, T, Inspector General reports as instruments of accountability, Government Information Quarterly 9 1 (1992) 53-64.

Furby, TE, Freedom of Information Act: survey of litigation under exemptions, Mississippi Law Journal 48 4) (1977) 784-817.

Gandy, OH, Toward a political economy of personal information, Critical Studies in Mass Communication 10 1) (1993) 70-97.

Garfinkel, S, Executive co-ordination and oversight of security classification administration, Government Information Quarterly 1(2) (1984) 157-164.

Garner, D, The information policy of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Government Publications Review 15 5) (1988) 421-437.

Gassmann, HP, Information technology developments and implications for national policies, Futures 23(10) (1991) 1019-1031.

Gegner, KE and Veeder, SB, Standards setting and Federal information policy: the escrowed encryption standard (EES) Government Information Quarterly 11(4) (1994) 403-422.

Geipel, GL, The failure and future of information technology policies in Eastern Europe, Technology in Society 13(1/2) (1991) 207-228.

Geipel, GL; Jarmoszko, AT and Goodman, SE, The information technologies and East European societies, East European Politics and Societies 5(3) (1991) 394-438.

Gellman, RM, Authorizing EDGAR: information policy in theory and practice, Government Information Quarterly 5(3) (1988) 199-211.

Gellman, RM, Who should publish the law?, Journal of Government Information 23(3) (1996) 253 263.

Gershon, RA, Telephone / cable cross-ownership: a study in policy alternatives, Telecommunications Policy 16(2) (1992) 110-121.

Gey, FC, Information distribution practices of Federal statistical agencies: the Census Bureau example, Government Information Quarterly 10(3) (1993) 319-330.

Ghosh, PK, Impact of industrial policy and trade-related intellectual property rights on biotech industries in India, Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research 54(4) (1995) 217-230

Giappiconi, T, Library evaluation and public policy: a French view, Journal of Librarianship and Information Science 27(2) (1995) 99-108.

Gibbs, D, Telematics and urban economic development policies: time for caution, Telecommunications Policy 17 4) (1993) 250-256.

Gibbs, DN, Secrecy and international relations, Journal of Peace Research 32(2) (1995) 213-228

Gillham, V, Availability of Canadian government information, Government Publications Review 8 4 (1981 337-341.

Gillham, V, Canada, Journal of Government Information 22(6) (1995) 559-587.

Gillick, D, Telecommunications policies and regulatory structures: new issues and trends, Telecommunications Policy 16 9) (1992) 726-731.

Gilson, RP, Administrative disclosure of private business records under Freedom of Information Act: analysis of alternative methods of review, Syracuse Law Journal 28(4) (1977) 923 980.

Ginsburg, JC, Global use territorial rights: private international law questions of the global information infrastructure, *Journal of the Copyright Society of the USA 42(4)* (1995) 318-338.

Giroud, G, The European Patent Office and its patent information policy, *Electronic Library* 9 6) (1991) 329 332.

Glascock, J and Larose, R, A content analysis of 900 numbers: implications for industry regulation and self-regulation, *Telecommunications Policy* 16(2) (1992) 147-155.

Gleditsch, NP and Hogetveit, E, Freedom of information in national security affairs: a comparative study of Norway and the United States, *Journal of Peace Research 21(1)* (1984) 17 45.

Globerman, S, Foreign ownership in telecommunications: a policy perspective, *Telecommunications Policy* 19(1) (1995) 21-28.

Goehlert, R, Information, persuasion and freedom: implications of communications technology, Information Processing & Management 16(2) (1980) 109-114.

Goetz, AM, Information capacity and power in North-South relations: transborder data flow and the case of Brazil, Millennium Journal of International Studies 15(1) (1986) 48-72.

Gold, D, Improving the impact of Federal scientific and technical information: a call for action, Government Information Quarterly 10(2) (1993) 221-234.

Goldberg, D, Executive secrecy, national security and freedom of information in the United Kingdom, Government Information Quarterly 4 1) (1987) 43-61.

Goldman, PA, The Freedom of Information Act needs no amendment to ensure access to electronic records, Government Information Quarterly 7(4) (1990) 389-402.

Goldstone, DJ, The public f rum doctrine in the age of the information superhighway: where are the public forums on the information superhighway?, *Hastings Law Journal 46(2)* (1995) 3 5-402

Goodale, JC, Senate Bill No 1 and Freedom of Information Act: do they conflict?, Administrative Law Review 28 3 1976) 347-362.

Goodman, SE, The inf rmation technologies and Soviet society: problems and prospects, *IEEE Transactions on Systems Management and Cybernetics* 17(4) (1987) 529-552.

Goodwin, C, Privacy recognition of a consumer right?, Journal of Public Policy Marketing 10(1 1991) 149-166

Gordon, MD, Deficiencies of scientific information access and output in less developed countries, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 30 6) (1979) 340-342.

Gore, AA, The United States government and the information society: a viewpoint, Government Publications Review 14 5 (1987) 491-494.

Gould, SB, Secrecy its role in national scientific and technical information policy, *Library Trends* 35 1 (1986 61-81.

Gray, J, National policies for scientific and technical information (1): United Kingdom, Journal of Information Science 1(1) (1979) 43-47.

Greenstein, S, Markets, standards and the information infrastructure, *IEEE Micro 13(6)* (1993) 36-51.

Greenwood, TE, US government information and the Nicaraguan revolution, 1979-1989: an assessment of bias, *Journal of Government Information 22(3)* (1995) 237-252.

Grewlich, KW, Transborder data flows. a field of action for foreign trade, Information Age 11(2) (1989) 67-71.

Griffith, J. The Official Secrets Act 1989, Journal of Law and Society 16 3) 1989 273-290.

Griffith, JC, The development of information technology in the Congressional research service of the Library of Congress, Government Information Quarterly 8(3) 1991 293-307.

Griffith, JC, The use of information technology in the Secretariat of the Supreme Soviet of the USSR, Government Information Quarterly 8 3 (1991) 313-321.

Griffith, JC and Smith, MS, The information superhighway and the National Information Infrastructure (NII) Journal of Academic Librarianship 20(2) (1994) 93-95.

Grunewald, MH, Freedom of Information Act dispute resolution, Administrative Law Review 40(1 (1988) 1-65

Grupe, FH, Commercialising public information: a critical issue for government IS professionals, Information Management 28 4 1995 229-241.

Guptill, SC, Spatial data standards and information policy, Government Information Quarterly 11 4) (1994 387-401

Haag, M and Schoof, H, Telecommunications regulation and cable TV infrastructures in the European Union current policies and future issues, *Telecommunications Policy 18 5*) (1994) 367-377

Hagins, J, The inconvenient public interest: policy challenges in the age of information, Journal of Applied Communication Research 24(2) (1996) 83-92.

Halal, WE, Beyond left and right: evolution of political economy in an information age, Futures 17(3) (1985) 202-213

Hallewell, L, Government publishing in Brazil, Government Information Quarterly 1(3) (1984 259-271.

Halpenny, FG, Government policy: impact on libraries, Canadian Library Journal 36 5) (1979 237 239

Halperin, MH, Security classification and the secrecy system, Government Information Quarterly 1(2) (1984 117-125.

Hamid, OMB, Formulating a national policy on library and information services in Malaysia, Libra 41(2) (1991) 132-144.

Hannigan, MJ and Nealon, FJ, Freedom of Information Act: parameters of exemptions, Georgetown Law Journal 62 1 (1973) 177-207.

Hannum, M and MacLennan, B, Issues in information policy and access, Serials Librarian 25(3/4) (1995) 5-9.

Hansen, HR, Conceptual framework and guidelines for the implementation of mass information systems, Information Management 28(2) (1995) 125-142.

Hansen, IB; Bennum, M and Korsby, PR, Planning and development of a Danish I&D policy, Journal of Information Science 7(2) (1983) 59-65.

Hanson, B, Can Congress take the necessary steps to ensure an informed nation?, Government Information Quarterly 6(2) (1989) 153-157.

Harley, B, Environmental policy research and government publications, Reference Quarterly 31 4) (1992) 533 541.

Harris, K, Who pays the piper: alternatives to the state and community models of information policy, International Forum on Information and Communication 17(1) (1992) 3-7

Harris, RG, Divestiture and regulatory policies: implications for research, development and innovation in the United States telecommunications industry, *Telecommunications Policy* 14(2) (1990) 105-124

Harris, RW, Policy-oriented view of computer technology, Information Age 11(1) (1989) 25-36.

Hartle, TW and Chitwood, SR, Increasing public access to government: the implementation and impact of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Government Publications Review 10(3) 1983 269-282

Harvey, K, Online f r the ancestors: the importance of an anthropological sensibility in information superhighway policy design, Social Science Computer Review 14(1) (1996) 65-68.

Hawker, G, Administrative implications of freedom of information legislation, Australian Journal of Public Administration 36(2 (1977) 168-185.

Hawkins, DT, Whither a National Information Infrastructure?, Online 15(5) (1991) 84-86.

Hazell, R, Freedom of information in Australia, Canada and New Zealand, Public Administration 67(2 1989 189-210.

Hazell, R, Freedom of information: the implications for the ombudsman, Public Administration 73(2) (1995) 263-270.

Heim, KM, National information policy and a mandate for oversight by the information professions, Government Publications Review 13 1) (1986) 21-37.

Hendrick, R, An information infrastructure for innovative management of government, Public Administration Review 54 6 (1994) 543-550.

Hernon, P, The management of United States government information resources: an assessment of OMB Circular A 130, Government Information Quarterly 3 3) (1986) 279-290.

Hernon, P, The role of United States libraries and information centres in fostering competitiveness, Government Information Quarterly 6 1) (1989) 47-58.

Hernon, P, Discussion forum: national information policy, Government Information Quarterly 6 3 (1989) 229-236.

Hernon, P, Government publications and publishing during the Reagan years, Government Information Quarterly 6 4) (1989) 395-410.

Hernon, P, Discussion forum: government information safety nets, Government Information Quarterly 7(3) (1990) 249 257.

Hernon, P, Government information policy principles, Government Information Quarterly 8(4) (1991) 393 399.

Hernon, P, Information life-cycle: its place in the management of United States government information resources, Government Information Quarterly 11(2) (1994) 143-170.

Hernon, P and Dugan, RE, Information policy, Journal of Academic Librarianship 21(1) (1995 47 50.

Hernon, P and McClure, CR, Impact from United States government printing on public access to information, *Drexel Library Quarterly 20 3)* (1984) 42-62.

Hernon, P and McClure, CR, GPO's Depository Library Program: building for the future, Library Journal 113 6 (1988 52 56

Hern n, P and McClure, CR, Electronic census products and the Depository Library Program future issues and trends, Government Information Quarterly 8(1) (1991) 59-76.

Hernon, P and Relyea, HC, Government publishing: past to present, Government Information Quarterly 12 3 1995 309-330

Hill, CT, Federal technical information and United States competitiveness: needs, opportunities and issues, Government Information Quarterly 6(1) (1989) 31-38.

Hill, MW, Informati n policies premonitions and prospects, Journal of Information Science 21 4 1995 273 282

Hills, J, Back to the future Britain's 19th century telecommunications policy, *Telecommunications Policy* 17(3 (1993) 186-199.

Hlava, MMK, Birthing the information industry in the former Soviet Union, Online and CD-ROM Review 17 1 (1993 25-28

H hns, WA, GPO 2001 vision for a new millennium: brief observations on, an reprint of, the Strategic Planning Vision for the United States Government Printing Office, Government Publications Review 19 4 (1992) 333-383.

Holden, SH, Managing information technology in the Federal government: assessing the development and application of agency-wide policies, Government Information Quarterly 13 1) 1996) 65 82

Hollifield, A and McCain, TA, National network in the global village: US policy goals for an international network, Social Science Computer Review 13(2) (1995) 183-206.

Hong, JH, The evolution of China satellite policy, Telecommunications Policy 19(2) (1995) 117 133

Horowitz, IL, Publishing, property, and the national information infrastructure, *Publishing Research Quarterly* 11(1) (1995) 40-45.

Horton, FW, The Freedom of Information Act and the problem of access, Review of Public Data Use 8(2) (1980) 117 120.

Howe, E, The United Kingdom Data Protection Act, Government Information Quarterly 8(4) (1991) 345 357.

Hrescak, M, Telecommunication aspects of the information infrastructure development, Ekonomicky Casopis 39 12 (1991) 862-876.

Hubbertz, A, Freedom of information and Canadian Crown corporations, Government Information Quarterly 3 1 (1986 63 71.

Hubbertz, A, Crown Copyright and the privatization of government information in Canada, with comparisons to the United States experience, Government Publications Review 17(2) 1990 159-165

Huber, W, The policy of the European Commission within the framework of the information market, International Forum on Information and Documentation 18 1) (1993) 11-13.

Hudson, HE and Parker, EB, Information gaps in rural America: telecommunications policies f r rural development, *Telecommunications Policy* 14 3 (1990) 193-205.

Hugenh ltz, PB, Copyright and electronic document delivery systems, Interlending & Document Supply 22 3 1994 8-14

Hughes CB, Government policy impact on publishers, Canadian Library Journal 36(5) 1979 241 244

Hulett, M, Privacy and Freed m of Information Act, Administrative Law Review 27(3) (1975) 275-294

Hwang, HYR, Science and technology information policy in Korea, Government Information Quarterly 7 1 1990 9-24

Irwin, MR, National security and information technology: the new regulatory option, Government Information Quarterly 4 4 (1987 359-369.

Irwin, MR, United States telecommunications: searching for the optimum policy, *Telecommunications Policy 12 1* (1988 13-15.

Irwin, MR and Johnson, SC, Information economy and public policy, Science 195 4283) (1977) 1170-1174

Jehoram, HC, From copyright law to information law, Journal of the Copyright Society of the USA 34 4 (1987 380-388

Johnson, IY, Dissemination of research results and a national information policy, College and Research Libraries 40 1 (1979) 11-16.

Jones, DL, Florida's response to serving citizens in the information age, Journal of Government Information 22 1) (1995) 13-22.

Jones, R and Kinney, T, Government information in machine-readable data files: implications for libraries and librarians, Government Publications Review 15(1) (1988) 25-32.

Jones, T, Collective bargaining in the Federal public sector: disclosing employee names under Exemption 6 of the Freedom of Information Act, Michigan Law Review 89(4) (1991) 980-1007.

Joseph, PA, Academic freedom vs national security: legal issues and implications for scientific research, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 24, (1987) 119-126.

Jussawalla, M and Ogden, MR, The Pacific Islands: policy options for telecommunications investment, *Telecommunications Policy* 13(1) (1989) 40-50.

Kaba, RA, Threshold requirements for the FBI under Exemption 7 of the Freedom of Information Act, Michigan Law Review 86(3) (1987) 620-645.

Kahin, B, Information policy and the Internet. toward a public information infrastructure in the United States, Government Publications Review 18(5) (1991 451-472.

Kaiser, FM, The amount of classified information: causes, consequences and correctives of a growing concern, Government Information Quarterly 6(3) (1989) 247-266.

Kajberg, L and Kristiansson, M, An overview of the field of information policy, International Forum on Information and Documentation 21 1 1996) 5-9.

Kalo, JJ, Deterring misuse of confidential government information: proposed citizens' action, Michigan Law Review 72(8 1974 1577-1610.

Kane, MJ and Ricks, DA, The impact of transborder data flow regulation on large United States-based corporations, Columbia Journal of World Business 24(2) (1989) 23-29.

Kangulu, CM and Wood, FE, An evaluation of the Pan-African Development Information System PADIS with particular reference to Zambia, Journal of Information Science 21(5) 1995 343-357

Kapor, M and Weitzner, DJ, Developing the national communications and information infrastructure, Internet Research, Electronic Networking Applications and Policy 3(2) (1993 21-30

Karani, P and Ojwang, JB, Intellectual property in international relations: technology transfer, Science Communication 17(3) 1996–326-337.

Katz, AM, Government information leaks and First Amendment, California Law Review 64 1) 1976 108-145

Katz, JE, Public policy origins of telecommunications privacy and the emerging issues, Information Age 10(3 (1988 169-176.

Katz, JE, United States telecommunications privacy policy: socio-political responses to technological advances, Telecommunications Policy 12 4) (1988) 353-368.

Katz, JE, Social aspects of telecommunications security policy, Telecommunications Policy 14 4) (1990) 324-332.

Katz, SL, National security controls, information and communication in the United States, Government Information Quarterly 4 1) (1987) 63-81.

Keane, JG, Increasing reliance on the decennial census, Government Information Quarterly 2(4) (1985) 341 353.

Keen, J, Should the National Health Service have an information strategy?, Public Administration 72(1) (1994) 33 53.

Keene, WO, Management of information in a research and development agency, Government Information Quarterly 7(2 (1990) 127 140.

Kellner, P, The lobby, official secrets and good government, Parliamentary Affairs 36(3) (1983) 275-281

Kelly, A and Nicholas, D, The public information relay: long-term solution or half-way house?, Aslib Proceedings 48 6 (1996) 151-157.

Kennedy, BM, Confidentiality of library records: a survey of the problems, policies and laws, Law Library Journal 81 4 1989) 733-767.

Kennedy, LA, Freed m of Information Act implementation: an evaluation of USDA and HHS, Government Publications Review 9 5) (1982 453-463.

Kent, CA, The privatizing of government information: economic considerations, Government Publications Re vew 16(2 1989 113-132.

Keren, C, Policy for science information activities in small countries: case of Israel, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 28 1 (1977) 44-57.

Kettinger, WJ, Nati nal inf rmation infrastructure and the US information superhighway, Information Management 27 6 1994 357-368.

Kilg ur, FG, Public policy and national and international networks, Information Technology and Libraries 2 3 1983 239-245

Kim, JC and Ro, TS, Current policy issues in the Korean telecommunications industry, *Telecommunications Policy* 17(7 (1993) 481-492.

Kingston, W, Compulsory licensing with capital payments as an alternative to grants of m nopoly in intellectual property, Research Policy 23 6 (1994) 661-672.

Kinnel, M, Policy for secondary school library provision in England and Wales: an historical perspective, Journal of Librarianship and Information Science 27(1 (1995) 17-26.

Kirby, DG and Harvell, TA, United States government information policy and the AIDS epidemic, Government Publications Review 16(2) (1989) 157-171.

Kirby, MD, Transborder data flows and the basic rules of data privacy, Stanford Journal of International Law 16, (1980) 27 66.

Kirby, MD, Access to information and privacy: the Ten Information Commandments, Government Information Quarterly 3 4) (1986) 333-344.

Kirtley, JE, A walk down a dangerous road: British press censorship and the Spycatcher debacle, Government Information Quarterly 5(2) (1988) 117-135.

Kirtley, JE, The Law Lords take a detour: Chapter 2 of the Spycatcher saga, Government Information Quarterly 7(1) (1990) 53 58.

Kirtley, JE, The eye of the sandstorm: the erosion of First Amendment principles in wartime, Government Information Quarterly 9(4) (1992) 473-490.

Klaus, HG, STI and government information in the Federal Republic of Germany, Government Information Quarterly 7(4) (1990 441-449.

Kleinman, DL, Layers of interests, layers of influence: business and the genesis of the National Science Foundation, Science, Technology and Human Values 19(3) (1994) 259-282.

Knoppers, JVT, Transborder data flow issues and library information services, Canadian Journal of Information Science 8 1) (1983) 7-17.

Konoshima, S, Information services and trade barriers: issues and prospects for transborder data flow, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 1323 1986 143 149

Kovach, KA, Retrospective look at Privacy and Freedom of Information Acts, Labor Law Journal 27 9 (1976 548-564

Kraemer, KL, Local government, information systems and technology transfer: evaluating some comm n assertions about computer application transfer, *Public Administration Review* 37 4 1977 368-382

Kraemer, KL, Gurbaxani, V and King, JL, Economic development, government policy and the diffusi n of computing in Asia Pacific countries, *Public Administration Review 52(2)* (1992) 146-156

Kramer, VH and Weinberg, DB, Freedom of Information Act, Georgetown Law Journal 63(1) 1974 49-61

Kristiansson, M, A framework for information policy analysis based on changes in the global economic forces, International Forum on Information and Documentation 21(1) (1996) 19-29.

Kronman, AT, The privacy exemption to the Freedom of Information Act, Journal of Legal Studies 9 4 (1980) 727 774

Krupika, LA and LaFrance, ME, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act, 1984, Duke Law Journal 3, (1985) 742-792.

Lacher, DM, Freedom of Information Act amendments of 1974: analysis, Syracuse Law Review 26 3 (1975) 951-993.

Laing, WS, Information practices and policies of United Nations, Government Publications Review 2 3 (1975) 237-244.

Lamberton, DM, National information policy, Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science 412, (1974) 145-151.

Landau, HB, A secondary publisher's views on Federal information policy: a viewpoint, Government Publications Review 15(1) (1988) 1-10.

Langdale, JV, International telecommunications and trade in services: policy perspectives, Telecommunications Policy 13(3) (1989) 203-221.

Lansing, P, The conflict of patient privacy and the Freedom of Information Act, Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law 9(2) (1984) 315-322.

Larocco, L, A public policy framework for our nation's Health Information Infrastructure, MD Computing 11(3) (1994) 143 145.

Latzer, M, Japanese information infrastructure initiatives: a politico-economic approach, Telecommunications Policy 19 7) (1995) 515 529.

Lau, TY, From cable television to direct broadcasting satellite: emerging policy issues in the Asia Pacific region, *Telecommunications Policy* 16(7) (1992) 576-590.

Lau, TY and Baldwin, TF, A public policy strategy for cable development: the experience of H ng Kong, Telecommunications Policy 14 5 (1990) 412-424.

Le Coadic, YF, A science policy for information: the French scene, International Forum on Information and Documentation 16 4 (1991) 3-8.

Le Coadic, YF, A science policy for scientific information, Journal of Information Science 18 3 1992 171 177

Leacy, R, P litical policy and publishing in Washington, Special Libraries 75 2) (1984) 121-125

Lebowitz, BE, Freedom of n n free information: economic proposal for government disclosure of privately submitted commercial information, Stanford Law Review 32(2) (1980) 339-372.

Lee, JK, Towards the information superhighway, Telecommunications Policy 17(8) (1993) 631-635

Leeblundberg, A, A strategy for Sweden's information technology infrastructure, *Telecommunications Policy 18 8* (1994) 642-650.

Levin, RM, Camera inspections under Freedom of Information Act, University of Chicago Law Review 41 3 (1974 557-581.

Levine, JL, Judicial review of classified documents: amendments to Freedom of Information Act, Harvard Journal on Legislation 12 3 (1975) 415-446.

Lewin, K and Sprehe, JT, Information management in Federal agencies: the neglected piece of the IRM puzzle, Government Information Quarterly 13 1) (1996) 51-63.

Lewis, DA and Martyn, J, An appraisal of national information policy in the United Kingdom, Aslib Proceedings 38 1) (1986) 25-34.

Lewis, JRT, Reinventing (open) government: state and Federal trends, Government Information Quarterly 12 4 (1995) 427-455.

Lewy, G, Do we need an Official Secrets Act?, Policy Review 26(1) (1983) 17-29.

Lewytzkyj, B, Soviet information policy: science and technology, Osteuropa 26(6) (1976) 435-448.

Lindberg, DAB, HPCC and the National Information Infrastructure: an overview, Bulletin of the Medical Library Association 83(1) (1995) 29-31.

Lindner, RK, Privatizing the production of knowledge: promise and pitfalls for agricultural research and extension, Australian Journal of Agricultural Economics 37(3) (1993) 205-225.

Linowes, DF and Bennett, C, Privacy: its role in Federal government information policy, Library Trends 35 1) (1986) 19-42.

Lipton, GL and White, W, Freedom of information: can we afford to be anything but clinical?, Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 18 2) (1984) 158-162.

Liu, ZD, Considerations on the development of China's information industry, Aslib Proceedings 46 2 (1994) 49-54.

Loh, EI, Africa, Asia, Europe and Latin America, Journal of Government Information 21(6) (1994 613-619

Long, SM, Documenting Federal scientific and technical information (STI): a discussion of appraisal criteria and applications for the National Archives and Records Administration, *Journal of Government Information 22 4* (1995) 311-319.

Lopez, XR, Database copyright issues in the European GIS community, Government Information Quarterly 10 3 (1993) 305-318.

Love, J, Pricing government information, Journal of Government Information 22(5) (1995) 363-387

Love, JP, The marketplace and electronic government information, Government Publications Review 19 4) (1992) 397-412

Love, JP, A window on the politics of the Government Printing Office Electronic Information Access Enhancement Act of 1993, Journal of Government Information 21 1) (1994) 3-13.

Lowe, MM, The Freedom of Information Act in 1993-1994, Duke Law Journal 43(6) (1994) 1282 1316

Lund, AM, New forms of collaboration for NII usability, Social Science Computer Review 14 1 (1996 27 29.

Lynch, MH, Secrecy agreements and national security, Government Information Quarterly 1(2 (1984) 139-156.

MacLean, HI, Special libraries and development of a Canadian library system and network policy, Canadian Library Journal 31(3) (1974) 204-205.

Madans, AS, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act, 1979, Duke Law Journal 1, (1980) 139-169.

Madsen, W, Effect of transborder data flow upon information security and integrity, Information Age 11(3) (1989) 131-137.

Mahon, B, Transborder data flow: how it impinges on the information industry, Aslib Proceedings 38(8) (1986) 257-261.

Malley, I, National and international imperatives of a UK national information policy, Aslib Proceedings 42(3) (1990) 89 95.

Mansell, RE, Contradictions in national communication information policies: the Canadian experience, Media, Culture and Society 7(3) (1985) 331-353.

Mansell, RE, Strategic issues in telecommunications: unbundling the information infrastructure, *Telecommunications Policy 18(8)* (1994) 588-600.

Mansell, RE and Paltridge, S, The Earth observation market: industrial dynamics and their impact on data policy, Space Policy 9(4) (1993) 286-298.

Mansell, RE, Davies, A and Hulsink, W, The new telecommunications in The Netherlands: strategy, policy and regulation, *Telecommunications Policy* 20(4) (1996) 273-289.

Marino, RJ, New York's Freed m of Information Law, Fordham Law Review 43 1) (1974) 83-92

Martin, WJ, The information society: idea or entity?, Aslib Proceedings 40(11) (1988) 303-309.

Martyn, J, Transborder data flow: an introduction, IFLA Journal 12(4) (1986) 318-321.

Martyniuk, AO, An end to an FBI general presumption of confidentiality under Freedom of Information Act exemption 7 d: United States Department of Justice v Landano, 113-S CT-2014 (1993 *University of Cincinnati Law Review 63(1)* (1994) 523-563.

Masanz, SD, Analysis of agency compliance with the indexing requirements of the Freedom of Information Act as amended, Government Publications Review 6(3) (1979) 249-262.

Massant, EJ, The roles of libraries and the private sector: policy principles for assuring public access to United States Federal government information, *Journal of Government Information 21 5* (1994 383-390.

McCamus, JD, Freedom of information in Canada, Government Publications Review 10(1) 1983 51-60

McCamus, JD, The delicate balance: reconciling privacy protection with the freedom of information principle, Government Information Quarterly 3(1) (1986) 49-61.

McClure, CR, Improving access to and use of Federal scientific and technological information STI): perspectives from recent research projects, *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science* 24, (1987) 163-169.

McClure, CR, Promoting education for information resources management in the Federal government, Government Information Quarterly 12(1) (1995) 1-11.

McClure, CR, Libraries and Federal information policy, Journal of Academic Librarianship 22 3) (1996) 214-218.

McClure, CR; Bishop, A; Doty, P and Bergeron, P, OMB and the development of a government-wide information inventory locator system, Government Information Quarterly 8(1) (1991) 33 57.

McClure, CR; Bishop, A; Doty, P and Fellows, MO, Federal scientific and technical information (STI) policies and the management of information technology for the dissemination of STI, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 25, (1988) 19.

McClure, CR, Ryan, J and Moen, WE, Identifying and describing Federal information inventory locator systems: preliminary findings and key issues, *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 29*, (1992) 110-119.

McClure, CR, Wigand, RT, Bertot, JC; McKenna, M; Moen, WE and Ryan, J and Veeder, SB, Electronic delivery of Federal government services: policy issues and recommendations, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 30, (1993) 39-49

McConnell, BW, New wine in old wineskins: US government information in a networked world, Journal of Government Information 23 3) (1996) 217-225.

McDonald, SK, Regulating audiotex lessons for the future development and oversight of electronic information and telecommunication services, *Telecommunications Policy* 19(5) 1995 391-411

McGowan, JP, Private university libraries and a national information policy, College and Research Libraries 40(1 1979 17-21.

Mchombu, KJ and Mit, K, F rmulation of national information policies in Africa: some unlearnt lessons, International Information and Library Review 24(2) (1992) 139-171.

McLean, CD, Death and rebirth of a national information policy: what we had and what we need, Law Library Journal 85 4) (1993) 743-761.

McLoughlin, GJ, The National Information Infrastructure: the Federal role, Journal of Academic Librarianship 21 5 (1995) 390-397.

McMillan, J, Who owns government information?, Australian Journal of Public Administration 38 1) (1979) 42-50.

McMurdo, G and Simpson, E, The White House files, Journal of Information Science 20(5) 1994 305-313

McNiff, F, Judicial or political control of access to government information?, Information Privacy 3 3 (1981) 93 97.

Meadows, AJ, Government-funded information resources: critique of government policy in providing and co-ordinating information, Aslib Proceedings 29(11) (1977) 384-389.

Meenan, TG and Wyman, CR, Information systems in the United States Senate: an overview of current and projected applications, Government Information Quarterly 8(3) (1991) 273-283.

Mendelsohn, LD, Technology transfer policy: its role as a scientific and technical information policy and its impact on technological growth, *Journal of the American Society for Information Science* 43(1) (1992) 80-88.

Menou, MJ, National information policy in the less-developed countries: an educational perspective, International Library Review 23(1) (1991) 49-64.

Methyen, BE, First Amendment standards for subsequent punishment of dissemination of confidential government information, California Law Review 68(1) (1980) 83-105.

Meyriat, J, France. does it have an information policy?, Aslib Proceedings 38(6/7) (1986) 205-214

Michael, J, Official information law in the United Kingdom, Government Publications Review 10(1 (1983 61 70

Michael, J, Freedom of information: a legislative trend, Aslib Proceedings 38(8) (1986) 249-256

Mihm, JC, The United States decennial census: an agenda for change, Journal of Government Information 21 1 1994) 49-58.

Milevski, SN, Information policy through public laws of the 95th-96th Congresses, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 23, (1986) 211 219

Milevski, SN, Federal policy making and national security controls on information, Library Trends 39 1 2 (1990) 134-144

Miller, AP, Teleinformatics, transborder data flows and the emerging struggle for information an introduction to the arrival of the new information age, Columbia Journal of Law and Social Problems 20(1 1986) 89-144.

Miller, PP, Status report on the Freedom of Information Act, Political Science and Politics 21 1 1988 87 90.

Miller, R, Europe 1992. the impact on the information industry, Online 14(2) (1990) 51-55.

Million, AC and Fisher, KN, Library records: a review of confidentiality laws and policies, Journal of Academic Librarianship 11 6 (1986) 346-349.

Missen, A, The Australian Freedom of Information Act, Government Publications Review 10(1) (1983) 43-49.

Missen, A, Freedom of Information: a progress report, Government Information Quarterly 3(2 (1986) 133-139.

Mitau, GT, Comprehensive fair information standards law: commentary on data privacy issue in Minnesota, Minnesota Law Review 62(4) (1978) 649-679.

Moen, WE, Information technology standards: a component of Federal information policy, Government Information Quarterly 11(4) (1994) 357-371.

Moffat, WA, College libraries and a national information policy: whistling in the graveyard, College and Research Libraries 40(1) (1979) 22-25.

Mohamed, O, National policy for library and information services, *International Library Review 21(1)* (1989) 115 127

Monk, P, The economic significance of infrastructure IT systems, Journal of Information Technology 8(1) (1993) 14-21.

Montgomery, DB; Peters, AH and Weinberg, CB, Freedom of Information Act: strategic opportunities and threats, Sloan Management Review 19(2) (1978) 1-13.

Monty, V, Canadian government information: an update, Government Publications Review 20 3 (1993 273-282

Moore, N, How fortune can be opposed: the development of information policies, International Forum on Information and Documentation 16(1) (1991) 3-5.

Moore, N, Information policy and strategic development: a framework for the analysis of policy issues, Aslib Proceedings 45 11 (1993 281-285.

Moore, N, Policy issues in the multimedia age, Journal of Information Science 22(3) (1996) 213 218

Morehead, J, Government Information in electronic form: the Chadha decision and the Joint Committee on Printing, Serials Librarian 9 4) (1985) 33-43.

M rehead, J, The myth of public access to Federal government information as a constitutional right, Serials Librarian 26(2 (1995) 1-26.

M rin, AL, Regulating the flow of data: OMB and the control of government information, Public Administration Review 54 5 (1994) 434-443.

Morton, B, Canadian Federal government policy and Canada's electronic information industry, Government Information Quarterly 12(3 (1995) 251-295.

Morton, B and Zink, SD, Contemporary Canadian Federal information policy, Canadian Public Administration 34(2) (1991) 312-338.

Morton, B and Zink, SD, The dissemination and accessibility of Canadian government information, Government Publications Review 19(4) (1992) 385-396.

Morton, SI, Federal information policy, Government Information Quarterly 7(1) (1990) 67-72.

Mosco, V, Dinosaurs alive: toward a political economy of information, Canadian Journal of Information Science 17(1) (1992) 41-51.

Mosco, V, Rethinking and renewing the political economy of information, Canadian Journal of Information Science 20(2 (1995) 10-29.

Myers, J, Priorities and policies: private sector involvement in our fields of interest, Journal of Information Science 9(1) (1984) 1-6.

Nassimbeni, M, Constructing national library and information policy options for South Africa within the framework of educational transformation, *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science* 26(3) (1994) 149-155.

Negaard, C and Vanfossen, M, United Nations and other international organisations, Journal of Government Information 22(6) (1995) 595-618.

Nehls, GJ, Hayes, CG and Nelson, WC, Confidentiality and freedom of information for epidemiological data in government research, Environmental Research 25(1) (1981) 160-166.

Nelson, AK, The 1985 Report of the Committee on the Records of Government: an assessment, Government Information Quarterly 4 2) (1987) 143-150.

Neu, W, Neumann, KH and Schnoring, T, Trade patterns, industry structure and industrial policy in telecommunications, *Telecommunications Policy* 11(1) (1987) 31-44.

Neustadt, RM, Information policy. progress and prospects, Library Journal 104(16) (1979) 1742 1746

Newkirk, MG, Trends in state legislative information technology, Government Information Quarterly 8 3 (1991 259-271

Newman, E, The challenge of international value-added network services agreements in the Asia Pacific area, *Telecommunications Policy* 17 5 (1993) 370-375.

Nilsen, K, Canadian government electronic information policy, Government Information Quarterly 10(2 (1993 203 220

Nilsen, K., Government information policy in Canada, Government Information Quarterly 11(2 1994 191 209

Nisenoff, N, Bishop, E and Clayton, A, Privacy of computerized records: Swedish experience and possible United States policy impacts, Information Processing and Management 15(4) 1979 205-211

N votny, EJ, Transborder data flows and international law: a framework for policy-oriented inquiry, Stanford Journal of International Law 16(2) (1980) 141-180.

Nugent, PM, User objectives in the development of international telecommunications policy, Information Age 7 4) (1985 200-202.

Nweke, KMC, Legal deposit laws in Nigeria and bibliographic control of Nigeriana, Government Publications Review 18 4 (1991) 339-345.

O'Brien, DM, Freedom of information, privacy and information control: contemporary administrative dilemma, *Public Administration Review 39 4)* (1979) 323-328.

O'Reilly, JT, Regaining a confidence: protection of business confidential data through reform of the Freedom of Information Act, Administrative Law Review 34(2) (1982) 263-313.

O'Reilly, JT, Government disclosure of private secrets under Freedom of Information Act, Business Lawyer 30(4) (1975) 1125-1147.

O'Reilly, JT, Applying Federal open government laws to Congress: an explorative analysis and proposal, *Harvard Journal on Legislation 31(2)* (1994) 415-468.

O'Connell, MV, A control test for determining agency record status under the Freedom of Information Act, Columbia Law Journal 85(3) (1985) 611-631.

O Hanlon, N, Fee or free? Public interest and the Freedom of Information Act, Government Information Quarterly 1(4) (1984) 365-378.

Oberly, JW, Information policy in an era of illiteracy: the United States Pension Bureau before the Civil War, Government Publications Review 14(3) (1987) 287-294.

Ockenfeld, M and Rehfeld, W, The own position within a broader concept: requirements for future information policy, *Nachrichten für Dokumentation 34(6)* (1983) 267-273.

Ogan, C, Communications policy options in an era of rapid technological change, Telecommunications Policy 16 7) (1992) 565-575.

Okudaira, Y, Toward freedom of information: the Japanese case, Government Publications Review 10(1 (1983 71 79.

Oppenheum, C, Some legal issues for electronic information, International Forum on Information and Documentation 21 1 (1996) 10-18.

Orna, E, Full, co-operative and profitable use of China's information resources: foundations f r a policy, Aslib Proceedings 45 10 (1993) 257-259.

Ostrach, SS, Relationship between the Sunshine and Freedom of Information Acts, Federal Bar Journal 38(2 4) (1979 182-188.

Overbey, MM, Human aspects of the National Information Infrastructure, Social Science Computer Review 14 1 1996) 16-17.

Overman, ES and Cahill, AG, Information, market government and health policy: a study of health data organizations in the States, *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management 13(3)* (1994 435-453

Overman, ES and Simanton, DF, Iron triangles and issue networks of information policy, *Public Administration Review 46 1)* (1986) 584-589.

Owens, CT, Information under siege: the Reagan administration's Federal information policy, Government Information Quarterly 3 3) (1986) 275-277.

Owens, CT, Federal information policy and United States competitiveness, Government Information Quarterly 6 1 (1989) 43-46.

Owens, MR, Libraries and information industries: legislation for joint development, *Library Journal 102 16*) (1977) 1819-1822.

Park, WG and Ginarte, JC, Intellectual property rights in a North-South economic context, Science Communication 17(3) (1996) 379-387.

Parker, J and Houghton, J, The value of information: paradigms and perspectives, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 31, (1994) 26-33

Patel, SJ, Intellectual property rights and national development, Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research 52(4) (1993) 212-218.

Patten, TL and Weinstein, KW, Disclosure of business secrets under Freedom of Information Act suggested limitations, Administrative Law Review 29(2) (1977) 193-208.

Paul, JH, Scientific and technical information policy and the future of NTIS: hearings before the Sub-Committee on Science, Research and Technology, *Government Information Quarterly* 5 2 (1988) 137 146.

Peacock, JR, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act, 1981, Duke Law Journal 2, (1981, 338-376

Penn, R, Australia, Journal of Government Information 21(6) (1994) 605-611.

Perritt, HH, Commercialization of government information: comparisons between the European Union and the United States, Internet Research, Electronic Networking Applications and Policy 4(2) 1994) 7-23.

Perritt, HH, The information highway: on ramps, checkpoints and tollbooths, Government Information Quarterly 13(2) (1996) 143-158.

Pestieau, C, Protecting personal data in the public sector: a Quebec Commissioner's toolbox, Government Information Quarterly 4(2 (1987) 135-142.

Peterson, TH, After five years. an assessment of the amended United States Freedom of Information Act, American Archivist 43(2) (1980) 161-168.

Petrazzi, BA, Telecommunications policy in India: the political underpinnings of reform, *Telecommunications Policy 20 1* (1996) 39-51.

Phillips, A, Changing markets and institutional inertia: a review of United States telecommunications policy, *Telecommunications Policy* 15(1) (1991) 49-61.

Phillips, P, Are trade secrets dead? The effect of the Toxic Substances Control Act and the Freedom of Information Act on trade secrets, *Journal of the Patent Office Society* 62(11) (1980) 652-677.

Pinelli, TE, Enhancing United States competitiveness through Federal scientific and technical information: issues and opportunities, Government Information Quarterly 7(2) (1990) 219 228.

Pinelli, TE; Barclay, RO and Kennedy, JM, The United States government technical report and the transfer of Federally-funded aerospace R&D, Government Publications Review 20(4) (1993) 393-411.

Pirainio, TA, Public disclosure of confidential business information under Freedom of Information Act: toward a more objective standard, Cornell Law Review 60(1) (1974) 109-130.

Plesser, R, Freedom of Information Act: as applied to unclassified documents, Government Publications Review 1(2) (1973) 135-139.

Plesser, R, Future legislative developments concerning privacy and freedom of information, Business Lawyer 34(1) (1979) 1137-1145.

Plocher, D, Institutional elements in OMB control of government information: discussion, Government Information Quarterly 5(4) (1988) 315-322.

Plocher, D, The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995: a second chance for information resources management, Government Information Quarterly 13(1) (1996) 35-50.

Podoinitsin, VG and Winters, AA, Regional co-operation in information policy and planning, International Forum on Information and Documentation 2(2) (1977) 10-12.

Polk, VH, Publication under the Freedom of Information Act of statements of general policy and interpretations of general applicability, *University of Chicago Law Review 47(2)* (1980) 351 372

Potvin, L, Privacy issues in the information age: what corporations need to know, Government Information Quarterly 8(1) (1991) 95-99.

Preston, P, Social and industrial innovation and policy change, Aslib Proceedings 40(10) 1988 285 293

Raab, CD and Bennnett, CJ, Protecting privacy across borders: European policies and prospects, Public Administration 72 1) (1994) 95-112.

Rabinowitz, RG, The applicability of the Freedom of Information Act's disclosure requirements to intellectual property, *Notre Dame Lawyer 57(3)* (1982) 561-579.

Raby, VM, The Freedom of Information Act and the IRS Confidentiality Statute, University of Cincinnati Law Review 54(2 (1985) 605-629.

Radack, SM, More effective Federal computer systems: the role of NIST and standards, Government Information Quarterly 7 1 (1990) 37-49.

Radack, SM, The Federal government and information technology standards: building the National Information Infrastructure, Government Information Quarterly 11(4) (1994) 373-385.

Rafferty, P, Cronin, B and Carson, J, Corporate citizenship and monopolistic practices in the information industry: a study of IBM, Aslib Proceedings 40(3) (1988) 69-77.

Redmond, M, State Data Centres: improving access to census information, Government Information Quarterly 3 3 (1986) 291-303.

Reekie, AF, The European Commission's online public databases, Journal of Government Information 22(1 (1995) 33-43.

Regan, PM, Privacy, government information and technology, Public Administration Review 46(6) (1986) 629 634.

Regan, PM, The globalisation of privacy: implications of recent changes in Europe, American Journal of Economics and Sociology 52(3) (1993) 257-274.

Regan, PM, Data integrity boards: institutional innovation and Congressional oversight, Government Information Quarterly 10(4) (1993) 443-459.

Reilly, LA, The Freedom of Information Act and the witholding of documents under Section 6013 of the Internal Revenue Code, George Washington Law Review 55(4/5) (1987) 937-954.

Reitman, A, Freedom of information and privacy: civil libertarian's dilemma, American Archivist 38 4) (1975) 501-508.

Relyea, HC, Provision of government information: Federal Freedom of Information Act experience, Canadian Public Administration 20(2) (1977) 317-341.

Relyea, HC, Freedom of Information Act: its evolution and operational status, *Journalism Quarterly 54 3* (1977) 538-544.

Relyea, HC, Freedom of information and government printing, Government Publications Review 7 5 (1980 407-415

Relyea, HC, Freedom of information, privacy and official secrecy: evolution of Federal government information policy concepts, Social Indicators Research 7(1) (1980) 137-156.

Relyea, HC, Information, secrecy and atomic energy, New York University Review of Law and Social Change 10(2) (1981) 265-286.

Relyea, HC, The rise and pause of the United States Freedom of Information Act, Government Publications Review 10 1 (1983) 19-33.

Relyea, HC, Shrouding the endless frontier: scientific communication and national security: considerations for a policy balance sheet, Government Information Quarterly 1(1) (1984) 1-14.

Relyea, HC, Increased national security controls on scientific communication, Government Information Quarterly 1(2 1984) 177-207.

Relyea, HC, Public access to Congressional records: present policy and reform considerations, Government Information Quarterly 2(3 (1985) 235-256.

Relyea, HC, Freedom of information concerns, Government Information Quarterly 3(3) (1986) 271 274

Relyea, HC, Secrecy and national commercial information policy, *Library Trends 35(1)* (1986) 43 59.

Relyea, HC, Access to government information in the information age, *Public Administration* Review 46 6 (1986) 635-639.

Relyea, HC, National security and information, Government Information Quarterly 4(1) (1987) 11 28.

Relyea, HC, The coming of secret law, Government Information Quarterly 5(2) (1988) 97-116.

Relyea, HC, Information policy, national security and the Reagan administration, Government Information Quarterly 6(4) (1989) 365-382.

Relyea, HC, Security classification reform: the waiting agenda, Government Information Quarterly 10(3) (1993) 333-340.

Relyea, HC, The administration and operation of the Freedom of Information Act: a retrospective, Government Information Quarterly 11(3) (1994) 85-299.

Relyea, HC, A statutory mandate for security classification policy and procedure: is now the hour?, Government Information Quarterly 11(4) (1994) 347-355.

Richards, PS, National technical information policy in the industrialised socialist countries: the East German example, *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science* 22, (1985) 197 201.

Richardson, J and Zarnosky, MR, A state transition model of United States Congressional information, Journal of Government Information 21(1) (1994) 25-35.

Rickover, HG, Government patent policy, Journal of the Patent Office Society 60(1) (1978) 14-26

Ruley, T, Developments in freedom of information internationally, Canadian Library Journal 38 3 1981) 137 141

Ruley, T, Freedom of Information Acts: a comparative perspective, Government Publications Review 10(1 (1983 81-87.

Ringo, FS, The trade-related aspects of intellectual property rights agreement in the GATT and legal implications for sub-Saharan Africa: prospective policy issues for the World Trade Organization, Journal of World Trade 28 6 (1994) 121-139.

Robbin, A, State archives and issues of personal privacy: policies and practices, American Archivist 49(2) (1986) 163–175.

Robinson, S, Analyzing the information economy: tools and techniques, Information Processing & Management 22 3 (1986) 183-202.

Roessner, JD and Wise, A, Public policy and emerging sources of technology and technical information available to industry, *Policy Studies Journal 22(2)* (1994) 349-358.

Rose, FE, Publishing and information policies of the Government of Canada: some recent developments, Government Publications Review 5 4 (1978) 391-397.

Rose, FE, Telidon and Canadian government information, Government Publications Review 9 4 (1982) 345-350.

Rosenbaum, H, Improving access to Japanese scientific and technical literature in the United States the role of the Federal government, Government Information Quarterly 5(1) (1988) 5-26.

Rosenberg, V, National information policies, Annual Review of Information Science and Technology 17, (1982) 3-32.

Rosenberg, V, Information policies of developing countries: the case of Brazil, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 33(4) (1982) 203-207.

Rosenbloom, HD, More IRS information may become public due to amended Freedom of Information Act, Journal of Taxation 45(5) (1976) 258-263.

Rosenfeld, FA, Freedom of Information Act's privacy exemption and Privacy Act of 1974, Harvard Civil Rights and Liberties Law Review 11(3) (1976) 596-631.

Rosenfield, HN, A new look at the Constitution's Copyright Clause: copyright by government contractors is unconstitutional, Government Information Quarterly 3(1) (1986) 31-48.

Rotenberg, M, In support of a data protection board in the United States, Government Information Quarterly 8(1) (1991) 79-93.

Rotenberg, M, Electronic privacy legislation in the United States, Journal of Academic Librarianship 20 4 (1994) 227-230.

Rowlands, DG, Towards an information market model, Aslib Proceedings 40(1) (1988) 27-30.

Rowlands, I, Toward public-private synergy in the European information services market, Journal of Government Information 22 3) (1995) 227-235.

Rowlands, I, Understanding information policy: concepts, frameworks and research tools, Journal of Information Science 22 1) (1996) 13-25.

Rozkuszka, WD, Status of government documentation and publishing in the Third World, Government Publications Review 19 1) (1992 3-9.

Rubinstein, G, The quantification of information: the Paperwork Budget and the birth of the Burden Hour, Government Information Quarterly 7(1) (1990) 73-81.

Russell, JC, Trends in government information technology and private sector activities, Government Information Quarterly 5(3) (1988) 251-266.

Ryan, J, McClure, CR and Wigand, RT, Federal information resources management: new challenges for the nineties, Government Information Quarterly 11(3) (1994) 301-314.

Ryan, SM, Uncle Sam online: government information on the Internet, Communication and Education 43 2 (1994) 151-158.

Salem, S, Information infrastructure in the Arab countries: an analysis, Journal of Information Science 12 5) (1986) 217-230.

Saloschi, RL, Administrative secrecy: Freedom of Information Act: governmental perspective, *Public Administration Review 35(1)* (1975) 10-14.

Salzman, MS, Exemption 7(d) of the Freedom of Information Act: the evidentiary showing the government must make to establish that a source is confidential, *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology 84 4* (1994) 1041-1064.

Samarajiva, R and Mukherjee, R, Information services and the intelligent network: policy implications from United States and Canadian experience, *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science* 27, (1990) 326-333.

Sambharya, ARB and Phatak, A, The effect of transborder data flow restrictions on American multinational corporations, *Management International Review 30(3)* (1990) 267-289.

Samulowitz, H, Problems with the European market for information services: IMPACT II, Nachrichten fur Dokumentation 40(5) (1989) 282-292.

Sanchez, L, Dissemination of United States Federal government information on CD-ROM: an issues primer, Government Publications Review 16(2) (1989) 133-144.

Sanchez Vegas, S, David meets Goliath on the information superhighway: Venezuela in the context of the electronic communication networks, *Information Technology & Libraries 14(1)* (1995) 32–35.

Sauvant, KP, Transborder data traffic: the basis of the developing international information economy, Europa Archiv 38 9 (1983) 261 272.

Sauvant, KP, Trade in data services: the international context, Telecommunications Policy 10 4 (1986) 282 298

Sauvant, KP, Trade in services: the impact of data techniques, *Information Age 11(1)* (1989) 37 39

Savage, N and Edwards, C, Transborder data flows: the European Convention and United Kingdom legislation, *International Comparative Law Quarterly 35 (3)* (1986) 710-717.

Sayers, D, Educational equity issues in an information age, Teachers College Record 96(4) (1995 767 774

Schaaf, RW, Information policies of international organisations, Government Publications Review 17 1 1990 49-61

Schlundt, V, Transborder data flow and its importance in the international arena, Information Age 7(2 (1985) 67-70.

Schmid, U and Kubicek, H, On the information highways into civil society, Argument 36(4/5) (1994 713 723

Schnapper, MB, Privatizing government-funded information, Government Information Quarterly 1(2) (1984) 209-216.

Schoechle, TD, Privacy on the information superhighway: will my house still be my castle?, *Telecommunications Policy* 19 6 (1995) 435-452.

Schoof, H and Brown, AW, Information highways and media policies in the European Union, *Telecommunications Policy* 19 4 (1995) 325-338.

Schulman, LJ, The Freedom of Information Act and Medicare cost reports, American Journal of Law Medicine 6 4 (1981) 543-558.

Schwarzkopf, LC, The proposed National Depository Agency and transfer of the Public Documents Library to the National Archives, *Government Information Quarterly 1(1)* (1984) 27-47.

Scrivens, JE, Information technology and social policy, Social Policy & Administration 20(2) (1986) 117 124.

Sell, SK, Intellectual property protection and antitrust in the developing world: crisis, coercion and choice, *International Organization* 49(2) (1995) 315-349.

Shade, LR, Wired in the ivory tower: access and copyright issues surrounding the Internet and higher education in North America, Education for Information 13(3) (1995) 211-228.

Sharp, M, Freedom of information: have we gone too far?, Canadian Public Administration 29 4 (1986) 571 578.

Shattuck, J, Federal restrictions on the free flow of academic information and ideas, Government Information Quarterly 3(1) (1986) 5-29.

Shattuck, J, The right to know: public access to Federal information in the 1980s, Government Information Quarterly 5(4) (1988 369-375.

Sherman, AM, Statutory reform of the US Government Printing Office: a view from the GPO, Journal of Government Information 23 3) (1996) 265-279.

Sherman, B, Governing science. patents and public sector research, Science in Context 7(3) 1994 515 537

Sherwood, DE, Strategic arguments and tactical battles over Federal information policy development, Government Information Quarterly 7(1) (1990) 59-66.

Shill, HB, A basis for increasing public access to Federal electronic information, Government Information Quarterly 6(2 1989) 135-141.

Shill, HB, Information policy and the Bush administration: a first look, Government Information Quarterly 7 1 (1990) 1-7.

Shill, HB, Information publics and equitable access to electronic government information: the case of agriculture, Government Information Quarterly 9(3) (1992) 305-322.

Shill, HB, NTIS potential roles and government information policy, Journal of Government Information 23 3 (1996 287-298.

Shuler, JA, A new order of things: the political future of documents librarians and a national system of Federal depository libraries, Government Information Quarterly 11(3) (1994) 315-322

Sillince, JAA, Information policy in the European Community: balancing protection and competition, Journal of Government Information 21(3) (1994) 215-230.

Sillince, JAA, Coherence of issues and co-ordination of instruments in European information policy, Journal of Information Science 20(4) (1994) 219-236.

Sinrod, EJ, Improving access to government information in an era of budgetary constraints, *Urban Lawyer 27 1)* (1995) 105-128.

Sisodia, RS, Singapore invests in the nation corporation, Harvard Business Review 70(3) (1992) 40-42.

Slanker, BO, Public libraries and information industry, Drexel Library Quarterly 12(1/2) (1976) 139 148.

Slater, RB, The sunshine factor: Freedom of Information Act reveals black faculty and administrative employment statistics at major US universities, *Journal of Blacks in Higher Education* 7, (1995) 91-96.

Smith, D, The commercialization and privatization of government information, Government Publications Review 12(1) (1985) 45-63.

Smith, DE, Saskatchewan speaks: public documents and the study of provincial politics, Government Publications Review 20(1) (1993 21-39.

Smith, J, The Freedom of Information Act of 1966: a legislative history analysis, Law Library Journal 74(2) (1981) 231 280

Smith, RS, Government information: problems and options, Special Libraries 64(11) (1973) 516-526

Smith, TD, Measuring the effect of United States Office of Management and Budget Circular A 130 a case study, Journal of Government Information 21 5) (1994) 391-402.

Solte, EL, Library policy in the Federal Government, Zeitschrift für Bibliothekswesen und Bibliographie 38 5 (1991 425-436

Sorokin, LT, The computerization of government information: does it circumvent public access under the Freedom of Information Act and the Depository Library Program?, Columbia Journal of Law and Social Problems 24(2 (1991) 267-298.

Spero, JE, Information the policy void, Foreign Policy 48, (1982) 139-156.

Sprehe, JT, Developing a Federal policy on electronic collection and dissemination of information, Government Publications Review 11 5 (1984) 353-362.

Sprehe, JT, OMB Circular A 130, the management of Federal information resources: its origin and impact, Government Information Quarterly 4(2) (1987) 189-196.

Sprehe, JT, National policy for health information services: policy on management of Federal information resources, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 38 1) (1987) 30-33

Sprehe, JT, Policy perspectives on electronic collection and dissemination of information, Government Information Quarterly 5(3) (1988) 213-221.

Sprehe, JT, OMB source documents, Government Information Quarterly 5 3) (1988) 233-250.

Sprehe, JT, Online public access to Federal agency computers, Government Information Quarterly 9(2) (1992) 199-203.

Sprehe, JT, Issues in public access: the Solomons conferences, Government Publications Review 20 3 (1993) 251-272.

Sprehe, JT, United States Office of Management and Budget Circular A130: old and new, Journal of Government Information 21(3) (1994) 231-247.

Sprehe, JT, Ways to think about user fees for Federal information products, Government Information Quarterly 13(2) (1996) 175-186.

Sprehe, JT, US Government Printing Office, no more, Journal of Government Information 32 3) (1996) 281 285.

Srinivasan, S, Privacy and data protection in Japan, Government Information Quarterly 9(2) (1992) 121 133

Stalling, CW, Local information policy: confidentiality and public access, Public Administration Review 34 3) (1974) 197-204.

Steele, C, Satellite television and information access in Australia, *Electronic Library 8(3)* 1990 181 184

Steelevivas, RD, Creating a smart nation strategy, policy, intelligence and information, Government Information Quarterly 13(2) (1996) 159-173.

Stehmann, O and Borthwick, R, Infrastructure competition and the European Union's telecommunications policy, *Telecommunications Policy* 18 8) (1994) 601-615.

Stein, AJ, Fold and FACA. freedom of information in fifth branch, Administrative Law Review 27 1 (1975 31-81

Steinberg, MI, 1974 amendments to Freedom of Information Act: safety valve provision Section 552 a6c excusing agency compliance with statutory time limits: proposed interpretation, *Notre Dame Lawyer 52(2* (1976) 235-260.

Steinmuller, W, Information technology and information law, Information Age 5(1) (1983) 39-46

Stephen, P, Implications for NATIS policy and of international co-operative schemes for the United Kingdom, *Journal of Librarianship 9 3* (1977) 166-185.

Stevan, G and Trevisan, G, CEC policy to promote the European information services market, Aslib Proceedings 43(2 3 (1991) 27-35.

Stiles, WG, Freedom of information and our state libraries, Canadian Library Journal 37(6) (1980) 391 395

Stocker, SS, Tensions between attributes of information: public policy implications, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 17, (1980) 384-386.

Stoetzer, MW, Value-added services: problems of definition and data, Telecommunications Policy 16 5 (1992) 388-400

Stoetzer, MW, New telecommunication services: current situation and prospects in Germany, *Telecommunications Policy 18(7)* (1994) 522-537.

Stokes, JE, Federal publications cutbacks: implications for libraries, Government Information Quarterly 1(1) (1984) 49 57.

Stonier, T, Intelligence networks: overview, purposes and policies in the context of global social change, Aslib Proceedings 38(9) (1986) 269-274.

Stroetmann, KA and Schwuchow, W, Information policy in the Federal Republic of Germany: development, analysis, perspectives, *Journal of Information Science* 18(3) (1992) 161-170.

Stuck, JM and Schroeder, DL, Transborder data flows usage by United States subsidiaries in Mexico and Hispanic South America: a preliminary regional study, *Journal of International Business Studies* 25 2) (1994) 389-401.

Subramanian, A, The international economics of intellectual property right protection: a welfare theoretic trade policy analysis, World Development 19(8) (1991) 945-956.

Sugaya, M, Cable television and government policy in Japan, Telecommunications Policy 19 3 1995) 233 239

Sugimoto, Y, A comparative study of science and technological information policy in advanced countries, Library and Information Science 22, (1984) 143-159.

Sugmoto, Y, A comparative study of information policies of OECD, EC, UNESCO from the viewpoint of information policy documents, Library and Information Science Research 23, 1985 77 91

Sulzer, J, US depository librarians in reality and myth: a framework for a future government information program, Journal of Government Information 23(3) (1996) 307-325.

Supapol, AB and Swierczek, FW, The role of intellectual property rights in stimulating commercialization in ASEAN: lessons from Canada, *Technovation 14 3)* (1994) 181-195.

Swanson, DR, Evolution, libraries and national information policy, Library Quarterly 50(1) 1980 76-93

Sweeney, GP, Knowledge economy: its implications for national science and information policies, Information Scientist 11 3 (1977) 89-98.

Synder, JL, Developments on Freedom of Information Act reveal trend toward greater disclosure, Journal of Taxation 50 1 (1979) 48-53.

Tan, ZX, China's information superhighway: what it is and who controls it, Telecommunications Policy 19(9) (1995) 721-731.

Tant, AP, The Campaign for Freedom of Information: a participatory challenge to elitist British government, *Public Administration 68 4* (1990) 477-491.

Theohans, AG, The Freedom of Information Act and the intelligence agencies, Government Publications Review 9 1) (1982) 37-44.

Thomas, M, Piscitelli, A and Rholes, J, Security and the preservation of the United States Congressional serial set, *Journal of Government Information 21(4)* (1994) 351-366.

Thomas, R, The secrecy and freedom of information debates in Britain, Government and Opposition 17(3) (1982) 293 311.

Tramiyu, MA, The realities of developing modern information resources management systems in government organisations in developing countries with particular reference to Nigeria, Journal of Information Science 19(3) (1993) 189-197.

Typton, RL, The online industry and telephone deregulation in the United States: an overview, Online Review 15(1) (1991) 3-17.

Tonn, BE, Global society and information technology: social science challenges in the 21st century, Social Science Computer Review 14(1) (1996) 78-80.

Toran, J, Information disclosure in civil actions: the Freedom of Information Act and the Federal discovery rules, George Washington Law Review 49(5) (1981) 843-872.

Toth, G. Problems in Hungarian library policy, Libri 40 4) (1990) 336-349.

Trauth, EM, An integrative approach to information policy research, Telecommunications Policy 10 1 (1986 41 50

Trauth, EM, Trauth, DM and Huffman, JL, The policy implications of the use of cable television for data transmission, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 17, (1980) 106-108.

Treitler, I, Culture and the problem of universal access to electronic information systems, Social S ience Computer Review 14 1 (1996) 62-64.

Triano, C and Bass GD, The new game in town: regulation, secrecy and the Quayle Council on Competitiveness, Government Information Quarterly 9(2) (1992) 107-120.

Trigo, MJA, Correia, AMR and Wilson, T, National information policy: the Portuguese legal framework, Journal of Information Science 22(3 (1996) 219-227.

Tsanacas, D, The transborder data flow in the New World Information Order: privacy or control?, Review of Social Economy 43(3) (1985) 357-370.

Turock, BJ and Henderson, CC, A model for a new approach to Federal government information access and dissemination, *Journal of Government Information 23(3)* (1996) 227-240

Ullmann, J and List, K, An analysis of government cost estimates of Freedom of Information Act compliance, *Journalism Quarterly* 62(3) (1985) 465-473.

Ungerer, H, European policies and regulations, Telecommunications Policy 16 9) (1992) 712-716

van Rosendaal, CJ, European information policy situation, Aslib Proceedings 36(1) (1984) 15-23

Vanderlaan, A, Information policy in The Netherlands, Aslib Proceedings 38 6/7) (1986) 199-204, Varga, WR, The Freedom of Information Act: the right to access United States government files, Reference Quarterly 24(2) (1984) 169-176.

Veith, RH, Informatics and transborder data flow: question of social impact, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 31(2) (1980) 105-110.

Wait, C, Information and public policy, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 9, (1972) 205-211.

Wald, PM, The Freedom of Information Act: a short case study in the perils and paybacks of legislating democratic values, *Emory Law Journal 33(3)* (1984) 649-683.

Waldron, GJ and Israel, JA, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act 1988, Duke Law Journal 3, (1989) 686-737

Walker, CF, Telematics for development: a Caribbean perspective on National Information Infrastructure planning, International Forum on Information and Documentation 20(2) (1995) 14-28

Walker, RH, Vaughn v Rosen new meaning for Freedom of Information Act, Temple Law Review 47 2 (1974 390-402.

Walters, JS, The presuperhighway politics of US government printing and publishing, Journal of Government Information 23(2 (1996) 93-121.

Wang, VWC, Developing the information industry in Taiwan: entrepreneurial state, guerrilla capitalists and accommodative technologists, *Pacific Affairs 68(4)* (1996) 551.

Waples, E and Norris, DM, Information systems and transborder data flow, Journal of Systems Management 43 1 1992 28-31.

Waples, GL, Freedom of Information Act: seven year assessment, Columbia Law Review 74 5 1974 895-959

Weaver, PP, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act, 1978, Duke Law Journal 1, (1979 327-354

Webber, D, Rhodes, M, Richardson, JJ and Moon, J, Information technology and economic recovery in Western Europe the role of the British, French and West German governments, *Policy Sciences* 19 3 (1986) 319-346.

Webster, F and Robins, K, Plan and control: towards a cultural history of the information society, *Theory and Society 18 3* (1989) 323-351.

Weil, V, Policy incentives and constraints on scientific and technical information, Science, Technology and Human Values 13 1/2 (1988) 17-26.

Weingarten, FW and Garcia, DL, Public policy concerning the exchange and distribution of scientific information, Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science 495, (1988) 61 72

Weinreb, LL, Custom, law and public policy: the INS case as an example for intellectual property, Virginia Law Review 78 1) (1992) 141-147.

Weiss, SC, A graphic look at GPO depository distribution: 1975-1993, Journal of Government Information 22(4) (1995) 337-344.

Wenders, JT and Egan, BL, The implications of economic efficiency for United States telecommunications policy, Telecommunications Policy 10(1) (1986) 33-40.

Wennergren, B, Freedom of information in Nordic countries: recent developments, Government Publications Review 10(1) (1983) 11-17.

Westhoff, J, The EEC electronic information services market, Government Information Quarterly 10(3) (1993) 369 378.

Whitlock, E and Nyevrikel, E, The evolution of Hungarian telecommunications policy, *Telecommunications Policy* 16(3) (1992) 249-258.

Wigand, RT, Shipley, C and Shipley, D, Transborder data flow, informatics and national policies, Journal of Communication 34(1) (1984) 153-175.

Wigglesworth, B and Barnes, F, UK policies and regulations, Telecommunications Policy 16 9 (1992 721 725.

Wijasuriya, DEK, Access to information: considerations towards national policy for libraries, Libra 33 1 (1983 22 36.

Wilborn, SE, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act: 1989, *Duke Law Journal* 5, 1990) 1113-1156

Williams, RJ, Official secrets and open government: reappraisal, Political Quarterly 50(1) 1979 100-104

Williams, RV, The role of intergovernmental organizations in international information transfer and policy, Special Libraries 79 1 (1988) 1-8.

Williams, RV, Mapping and measuring the information infrastructure for planning purposes: preliminary study of South Carolina, *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science* 32, (1995) 144-151.

Wilson, DW, Culture and conflict. defining the national archives, Government Information Quarterly 13(2) (1996) 187-194.

Wilson, LJ and Almuhanna, I, The political economy of information: the impact of transborder data flows, Journal of Peace Research 22 4) (1985) 289-301.

Winters, PM, Revitalizing the sanctions provision of the Freedom of Information Act amendments of 1974, Georgetown Law Journal 84(3 (1996) 617-639.

Wion, AH, Definition of agency records under the Freedom of Information Act, Stanford Law Review 31 6 (1979) 1093-1115.

Wood, FB, Technology, public policy and the changing nature of Federal information dissemination: overview of a new Office of Technology Assessment study, Government Information Quarterly 4 1 (1987) 83-96.

Wood, FB, Title 44 and Federal information dissemination: a technology and policy challenge for Congress. a viewpoint, Government Publications Review 17(1) (1990) 1-5.

Wood, RB, Helping America compete through more effective use of scientific and technical information: an opportunity for Office of Science and Technology leadership, Government Information Quarterly 8(1) (1991) 105-112.

Worlock, D, Information policy progress: a UK-EEC update, Aslib Proceedings 39(6) (1987) 193 196

Wurzbach, PK, Freedom of information and censor: problem of democracy, Beitrage zur Konfliktforschung 8(4) (1978) 95-104.

Young, HC and Ali, SN, The Gulf War and its effect on information and library services in the Arabian Gulf with particular reference to the State of Bahrain, *Journal of Information Science* 18 6) (1992) 435-462.

Young, PR, Changing information access economics: new roles for libraries and librarians, Information Technology & Libraries 13(2) (1994) 103-114.

Yurow, J, Privacy legislation and the restriction of transborder data flow, Information Age 5 1 1983 11 15

Zeisset, PT, Making decennial census data available, Government Information Quarterly 2(4) (1985-419-431

Ziscak, S, Freedom of information in principle and practice: the Victorian experience, Australian Journal of Public Administration 47 4 (1988) 312-320.

Zoller, FE and Barry, D, A regulation in search of a rationale: an empirical study of Consumer Product Safety Act, Section 6(b) and its effect on information disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, Administrative Law Review 43 3) (1991) 455-472.

Zulu, SFC, Africa survival plan for meeting the challenges of information technology in the 1990s and beyond, Libri 44 1 (1994) 77-94.

Zwirn, J, Federal printing policies, 1789-1861, Government Publications Review 7(3) (1980) 177-187

#### Cluster 1: Information Infrastructure Analysts

Abbott-Huduski, BE, Democracy in America is best served by a multiformat Federal depository library program, Journal of Government Information 23(3), (1996), 241-252.

Abell, R, The West German information policy: results of the first and plans for the second governmental program, Aslib Proceedings 38(6/7), (1986), 193-198.

Allen, KB, Access to government information, Government Information Quarterly 9(1), (1992), 67-80.

Alley, D, Heyworth, J; Wolters, P and Crawford, H, Information policy and technological sovereignty, Canadian Journal of Information Science 3, (1978), 80-100.

Altman, E and Brown, S, From retrieval to robotics: the National Science Foundation's support of information research, Government Information Quarterly 8(4), (1991), 359-373.

Anderson, RK, Haddıx, A, McCray, JC and Wunz, TP, Developing a health information infrastructure for Arizona, Bulletin of the Medical Library Association 82(4), (1994), 396-400.

Andes, N, The commodification of government information: a summary and analysis of the Reagan administration's restrictions on Federal information, Government Publications Review 15 5, (1988, 451-461.

Armstrong, RD, The matter of printing public printing in the Western territories of the United States, Journal of Government Information 21 1), (1994), 37-47.

Bair, RR and Torrey, BB, The challenge of census taking in developing countries, Government Information Quarterly 2 4), (1985), 433-452.

Baldwin, G, Expanding public use of GPO access: the Government Printing Office perspective on the Model Gateways Program, Government Information Quarterly 12(4), (1995, 461-471

Baldwin, JN and Siminoski, D, Perceptions of the Freedom of Information Act (FoIA) and proposed amendments by the FoIA administrators, Government Information Quarterly 2(2), (1985, 131, 142.

Barrett, J, Technology and United States government information policies: catalysts for new partnerships, Government Information Quarterly 5(3, (1988), 267-278.

Barton, J, Local government information, Aslib Proceedings 43(6), (1991), 213-219.

Bass, GD and Plochard, D, Finding government information: the Federal Information Locator System (FILS), Government Information Quarterly 81(1), (1991), 11-32.

Bauer, J; Braun, E; Hartmann, B; Latzer, M; Pointner, P; Sint, PP and Bonek, E, New telecommunications services in Austria: a technology assessment, *Telecommunications Policy* 11(4), (1987), 334-346.

Beachboard, JC and McClure, CR, Managing Federal information technology: conflicting policies and competing philosophies, Government Information Quarterly 13(1), (1996), 15-33.

Bearman, TC, National information policy: an insider's view, Library Trends 35(1), (1986), 105-118.

Becker, J, Information for all or knowledge for the elite: the contours of a dissimilar European information policy, *International Forum on Information and Documentation 18(1)*, (1993), 3 10.

Bender, DR, Transborder data flow: an historical review and considerations for the future, Special Libraries 79(3), (1988), 230-235.

Bender, DR, A strategy for international information policy, Libri 43(3), (1993), 210-231.

Benjamin, R and Wigand, R, Electronic markets and virtual value chains on the information superhighway, Sloan Management Review 26(2), (1995), 62-72.

Beringer, BC, The role of the Department of Commerce in the acquisition and dissemination of foreign information, Government Information Quarterly 6(1), (1989), 39-42.

Bibas, SA, A contractual approach to data privacy, Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy 17(2, (1994, 591-611

Bing, J, Beyond 1984 the law and information technology in tomorrow's society: data protection and social policy, Information Age 8(2, (1986), 85-94.

Bishop, A, Doty, P and McClure, CR, Federal information resources management (IRM): a policy review and assessment, P5roceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 26, (1989), 40-47.

Bisogno, P and Paci, AM, Information roles and the European Community: aims, research programs and activities in the period 1994-1998, International Forum on Information and Documentation 19(2, (1994, 11-19.

Blum, LA, Bowman, JE and Sullivan, JM, Conducting the economic censuses, Government Information Quarterly 43, (1987, 283-302.

Boehlert, S, Federal information policy, Government Information Quarterly 6 1), (1989), 25-29

Boisard, B, Public access to government information: the position in France, Government Publications Review 9(3, (1982), 205-219.

Bounpane, PA, Plans and issues facing the 1990 decennial census, Government Information Quarterly 24, (1985), 369-387.

Bradsher, JG, A brief history of the growth of Federal government records, archives and information, 1789-1985, Government Publications Review 13(4), (1986), 491-505.

Branscomb, LM, United States scientific and technical information policy in the context of a diffusion-oriented national technology policy, *Government Publications Review 19(5)*, (1992), 469-482.

Brennan, TJ, Divestiture policy considerations in an information services world, Telecommunications Policy 13(3), (1989), 243-254.

Brinberg, HR, Realities and opportunities in the global information economy, Government Information Quarterly 6(1), (1989), 59-65.

Brisfjord, ILS, The Institute for International Information Programs: policy and development, Proceedings of American Society for Information Science 22, (1985), 202-206.

Broady, J and Usherwood, B, Public expenditure policies and the public library: changing the rules of the revenue game, *Journal of Librarianship 17(1)*, (1985), 17-30.

Brotman, SN, United States communications policy-making: returning power to the Presidency, *Telecommunications Policy 13(4)*, (1989), 302-308.

Brown, GE, Federal information policy: protecting the free-flow of information, Government Information Quarterly 4(4, (1987), 349-358.

Burger, RH, The analysis of information policy, Library Trends 35(1), (1986), 171-182.

Burkert, H, The legal framework of public sector information: recent legal policy developments in the EC, Government Publications Review 19(5), (1992), 483-496.

Byrd, TA, Sambamurthy, V; Zmud, RW and Hale, WM, Experiences with information technology planning in state government: a multiple-site based assessment, Government Information Quarterly 9(2, (1992, 135-150.

Campbell, B, Forming and implementing a national information policy, Canadian Library Journal 49 1, (1992, 13-27.

Caponio, JF and Geffner, J, Does privatization affect access to government information?, Government Information Quarterly 5(2, (1988, 147-154.

Casey, M, The electronic information industry in Europe, Journal of Librarianship and Information Science 23 1, (1991), 21-36.

Cate, FH, Fields, DA and McBain, JK, The right to privacy and the public's right to know: the central purpose of the Freedom of Information Act, Administrative Law Review 46(1), (1994), 41 74

Catsbaril, WL and Jelassi, T, The French videotex system, Minitel: a successful implementation of a national information technology infrastructure, MIS Quarterly 18(1), (1994), 1 20

Cawkell, AE, Privacy, security and freedom in the information society, Journal of Information Science 4 1, (1982), 3-8.

Cheney, D, Seeds of destruction: Penn State's participation in the GPO Access Model Gateway Program, Government Information Quarterly 12(4), (1995), 487-494.

Choo, CW, National computer policy management in Singapore: planning an intelligent island, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 32, (1995), 152-156.

Christian, EJ, Helping the public find information: the United States Government Information Locator Service (GILS), Journal of Government Information 21(4), (1994), 305-314.

Clark, JE; Brown, GE; Sprehe, JT; Weingarten, FW; McClure, CR; Bement, AL and Lahoud, JJA, Federal information: foundation for national competitiveness, Government Information Quarterly 5(4), (1988), 353-368.

Clemence, TG, Historical perspectives on the decennial census, Government Information Quarterly 2(4), (1985), 355-368.

Clower, TL, A review of regulatory barriers: is the information superhighway really imminent?, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for |information Science 3(3 7), (1994), 3 7.

Cooper, MD, The structure and future of the information economy, Information Processing & Management 19 1), (1983), 9 26.

Cornish, GP, Copyright issues in legal deposit and preservation, IFLA Journal 20(3), (1994), 341 349

Cotter, GA, NASA scientific and technical inflormation for the 1990s, Government Information Quarterly 7 2, (1990), 169-173.

Courtland, S, Census confidentiality: then and now, Government Information Quarterly 2(4), (1985, 407-418

Coyne, JG, Hughes, TE and Winsbro, BC, Sharing results of Federal R&D: a look at the Department of Energy's system for managing scientific and technical information, Government Information Quarterly 3 4, (1986, 363-380.

Cronin, B, Transatlantic perspectives on information policy: the search for regulatory realism, Journal of Information Science 13 3, (1987), 129-138.

Cummings, MM, The effect of United States policies on the economics of libraries, Bulletin of the Medical Library Association 73 1, (1985), 1-8.

Davenport, L and Cronin, B, Vertical integration: corporate strategy in the information industry, Online Review 10 4, (1986), 237-247.

Davenport, L and Cronin, B, Government policies and the information industry: the balance of interests, Aslib Proceedings 39 5), (1987), 159-167.

Davidson, WH, Japanese telecommunications policy: new direction and old dilemmas, Telecommunications Policy 11(2), (1987), 147-160.

Davies, G, Carter, S; McIntosh, S and Stefanescu, D, Technology and policy options for the telecommunications sector: the situation in Central and Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union, *Telecommunications Policy* 20(2), (1996), 101-123.

Day, MS, National information policy: the broader context, Government Information Quarterly 6(2), (1989), 159-163.

Dholakia, RR and Dholakia, N, Deregulating markets and fast-changing technology: public policy towards telecommunications in a turbulent setting, *Telecommunications Policy* 18(1), (1994), 21-31.

Divorski, S; Gordon, A and Heinz, JP, Public access to government information: field experiment, Northwestern University Law Review 68(2), (1973), 240-274.

Doctor, RD, Seeking equity in the National Information Infrastructure, Internet Research, Electronic Networking Applications and Policy 4(3), (1994), 9-22.

Dordick, HS, The origins of universal service: history as a determinant of telecommunications policy, *Telecommunications Policy* 14(3), (1990), 223-231.

Doty, P; Bishop, AP and McClure, CR, The National Research and Education Network NREN) an empirical study of social and behavioural issues, *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science* 27, (1990), 284-299.

Drake, WJ, The Internet religious war, Telecommunications Policy 17(9), (1993), 643-649.

Dugan, RE and Cheverie, JF, Electronic government information and the Depository Library Program paradise found?, Government Information Quarterly 9(3), (1992), 269-289.

Duncan, JW, Beresford, JC; Chartrand, RL; Day, MS and Kasputys, JE, Private versus public sector responsibility for the collection, distribution and analysis of statistical data, Review of Public Data Use 8 4, (1980, 307-325.

Dupagne, M, French and US videotex: prospects for the electronic directory, Telecommunications Policy 14 6, (1990), 489-504.

Duvall, J, Local government information services, Aslib Proceedings 40(2), (1988), 33-42.

Ebersole, JL, Competition, jobs and information policy: the case for private sector information services, Journal of Government Information 21 2), (1994), 83-104.

Emerson, TI, Public's right to know: why we don't need an Official Secrets Act, Nation 228(9), 1979, 263, 266

Erdelez, S, Computer law, informatics law or information law?, Information Age 12(4), 1990, 223-229

Fisher, L, Congressional access to executive branch information: lessons from Iran-Contra, Government Information Quarterly 6 4, (1989), 383-394.

Fletcher, PT and Bertot, JC, The central information services function in county governments from controller to enabler, Government Information Quarterly 11 1), (1994), 73-

Foss, SM, Improving the dissemination of United States government information: the report of the Public Printers Sales Publications Pricing Panel, Government Publications Review 185, (1991), 435-450.

Freides, T, Inspector General reports as instruments of accountability, Government Information Quarterly 9 1), (1992), 53-64.

Garner, D, The information policy of the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development, Government Publications Review 15(5), (1988), 421-437.

Gassmann, HP, Information technology developments and implications for national policies, Futures 23(10), (1991), 1019 1031.

Geipel, GL; Jarmoszko, AT and Goodman, SE, The information technologies and East European societies, East European Politics and Societies 5(3), (1991), 394-438.

Gershon, RA, Telephone / cable cross-ownership: a study in policy alternatives, Telecommunications Policy 16(2), (1992), 110-121.

Gey, FC, Information distribution practices of Federal statistical agencies: the Census Bureau example, Government Information Quarterly 10(3), (1993), 319-330.

Giappiconi, T, Library evaluation and public policy: a French view, Journal of Librarianship and Information Science 27(2), (1995), 99-108.

Glascock, J and Larose, R, A content analysis of 900 numbers: implications for industry regulation and self regulation, *Telecommunications Policy* 16 2), (1992), 147-155.

Gl berman, S, Foreign ownership in telecommunications: a policy perspective, *Telecommunications Policy 19 1)*, (1995), 21-28.

Goehlert, R, Information, persuasion and freedom: implications of communications technology, Information Processing & Management 16(2), (1980), 109-114.

Gold, D, Improving the impact of Federal scientific and technical information: a call for action, Government Information Quarterly 10(2, (1993), 221-234.

Goldberg, D, Executive secrecy, national security and freedom of information in the United Kingd m, Government Information Quarterly 4 1), (1987), 43-61.

Goldman, PA, The Freedom of Information Act needs no amendment to ensure access to electronic records, Government Information Quarterly 7(4), (1990), 389-402.

Goldstone, DJ, The public forum doctrine in the age of the information superhighway: where are the public forums on the information superhighway?, Hastings Law Journal 46(2), (1995, 335-402)

Gould, SB, Secrecy: its role in national scientific and technical information policy, *Library Trends* 35 1, (1986), 61-81.

Greenwood, TE, US government information and the Nicaraguan revolution, 1979-1989: an assessment of bias, Journal of Government Information 22(3), (1995), 237-252.

Grewlich, KW, Transborder data flows: a field of action for foreign trade, Information Age 11(2, (1989), 67-71.

Grupe, FH, Commercialising public information: a critical issue for government IS professionals, Information Management 28(4), (1995), 229-241.

Guptill, SC, Spatial data standards and information policy, Government Information Quarterly 11(4), (1994), 387-401.

Haag, M and Schoof, H, Telecommunications regulation and cable TV infrastructures in the European Union: current policies and future issues, *Telecommunications Policy 18(5)*, (1994), 367 377.

Hannum, M and MacLennan, B, Issues in information policy and access, Serials Librarian 25(3/4), (1995), 5 9.

Hansen, HR, Conceptual framework and guidelines for the implementation of mass information systems, Information Management 28(2), (1995), 125-142.

Harley, B, Environmental policy research and government publications, Reference Quarterly 31 4, (1992), 533 541.

Harris, K, Who pays the piper: alternatives to the state and community models of information policy, International Forum on Information and Communication 17(1), (1992), 3-7

Harris, RG, Divestiture and regulatory policies: implications for research, development and innovation in the United States telecommunications industry, *Telecommunications Policy* 14(2, (1990, 105-124)

Harris, RW, Policy-oriented view of computer technology, Information Age 11(1), (1989), 25-36

Hawkins, DT, Whither a National Information Infrastructure?, Online 15(5), (1991), 84-86.

Hill, CT, Federal technical information and United States competitiveness: needs, opportunities and issues Government Information Quarterly 6(1), (1989), 31-38.

Hill, MW, Information policies premonitions and prospects, Journal of Information Science 214, (1995), 273 282

Hills, J, Back to the future: Britain's 19th century telecommunications policy, *Telecommunications Policy* 17 3, (1993), 186-199.

Holden, SH, Managing information technology in the Federal government: assessing the development and application of agency-wide policies, Government Information Quarterly 13 1, (1996), 65-82

Hong, JH, The evolution of China satellite policy, Telecommunications Policy 19(2), (1995), 117 133

Hudson, HE and Parker, EB, Information gaps in rural America: telecommunications policies for rural development, *Telecommunications Policy* 14(3, (1990), 193-205.

Hwang, HYR, Science and technology information policy in Korea, Government Information Quarterly 7 1), (1990), 9-24.

Jones, DL, Florida's response to serving citizens in the information age, Journal of Government Information 22 1, (1995), 13 22.

Jones, R and Kinney, T, Government information in machine-readable data files: implications for libraries and librarians, Government Publications Review 15(1), (1988), 25-32.

Joseph, PA, Academic freedom vs national security: legal issues and implications for scientific research, *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science* 24, (1987), 119-126.

Jussawalla, M and Ogden, MR, The Pacific Islands: policy options for telecommunications investment, *Telecommunications Policy* 13(1), (1989), 40-50.

Kahin, B, Information policy and the Internet: toward a public information infrastructure in the United States, Government Publications Review 18(5), (1991), 451-472.

Kaiser, FM, The amount of classified information: causes, consequences and correctives of a growing concern, Government Information Quarterly 6(3), (1989), 247-266.

Kajberg, L and Kristiansson, M, An overview of the field of information policy, *International Forum on Information and Documentation 21 1*), (1996), 5-9.

Kangulu, CM and Wood, FE, An evaluation of the Pan-African Development Information System PADIS with particular reference to Zambia, Journal of Information Science 21(5), (1995, 343 357

Kapor, M and Weitzner, DJ, Developing the national communications and information infrastructure, Internet Research, Electronic Networking Applications and Policy 3(2), (1993), 21 30

Katz, SL, National security controls, information and communication in the United States, Government Information Quarterly 4 1), (1987), 63-81.

Keane, JG, Increasing reliance on the decennial census, Government Information Quarterly 2 4), (1985, 341-353

Kelly, A and Nicholas, D, The public information relay: long-term solution or half-way house?, Aslib Proceedings 48 6, (1996), 151-157.

Kennedy, BM, Confidentiality of library records: a survey of the problems, policies and laws, Law Library Journal 81 4, (1989), 733-767.

Kettinger, WJ, National information infrastructure and the US information superhighway, Information Management 27 6, (1994), 357-368.

Kilgour, FG, Public policy and national and international networks, Information Technology and Libraries 23, (1983, 239-245.

Kinnel, M, Policy for secondary school library provision in England and Wales: an historical perspective, Journal of Librarianship and Information Science 27(1), (1995), 17-26.

Kirby, DG and Harvell, TA, United States government information policy and the AIDS epidemic, Government Publications Review 16(2), (1989), 157-171.

Kirby, MD, Access to information and privacy: the Ten Information Commandments, Government Information Quarterly 3(4), (1986), 333-344.

Klaus, HG, STI and government information in the Federal Republic of Germany, Government Information Quarterly 7(4), (1990), 441-449.

Konoshima, S, Information services and trade barriers: issues and prospects for transborder data flow, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 1323, (1986), 143 149.

Kristiansson, M, A framework for information policy analysis based on changes in the global economic forces, *International Forum on Information and Documentation 21(1)*, (1996), 19-29

Kronman, AT, The privacy exemption to the Freedom of Information Act, Journal of Legal Studies 94, (1980), 727 774.

Landau, HB, A secondary publisher's views on Federal information policy: a viewpoint, Government Publications Review 15(1), (1988), 1-10.

Langdale, JV, International telecommunications and trade in services: policy perspectives, *Telecommunications Policy 13 3)*, (1989), 203-221.

Latzer, M, Japanese information infrastructure initiatives: a politico-economic approach, *Telecommunications Policy 19 7*, (1995), 515-529.

Lee, JK, Towards the information superhighway, Telecommunications Policy 17(8), (1993), 631-635

Lewin, K and Sprehe, JT, Information management in Federal agencies: the neglected piece of the IRM puzzle, Government Information Quarterly 13(1), (1996), 51-63.

Lewis, DA and Martyn J, An appraisal of national information policy in the United Kingdom, Aslib Proceedings 38 1), (1986), 25-34.

Lewis, JRT, Reinventing (open government: state and Federal trends, Government Information Quarterly 12 4, (1995), 427-455.

Lindberg, DAB, HPCC and the National Information Infrastructure: an overview, Bulletin of the Medical Library Association 83 1), (1995, 29-31.

Linowes, DF and Bennett, C, Privacy: its role in Federal government information policy, Library Trends 35 1, (1986), 19-42.

Long, SM, Documenting Federal scientific and technical information (STI): a discussion of appraisal criteria and applications for the National Archives and Records Administration, *Journal of Government Information 22 4*, (1995), 311-319.

Lopez, XR, Database copyright issues in the European GIS community, Government Information Quarterly 10 3, (1993), 305-318.

Madsen, W, Effect of transborder data flow upon information security and integrity, Information Age 11(3, (1989), 131-137.

Malley, I, National and international imperatives of a UK national information policy, Aslib Proceedings 42(3, (1990), 89-95.

Mansell, RE and Paltridge, S, The Earth observation market: industrial dynamics and their impact on data policy, Space Policy 9(4), (1993), 286-298.

Martin, WJ, The information society: idea or entity?, Aslib Proceedings 40(11), (1988), 303-309.

Martyn, J. Transborder data flow: an introduction, IFLA Journal 12(4), (1986), 318-321.

Massant, EJ, The roles of libraries and the private sector: policy principles for assuring public access to United States Federal government information, *Journal of Government Information* 21(5), (1994), 383-390.

McClure, CR; Bishop, A; Doty, P and Bergeron, P, OMB and the development of a government-wide information inventory locator system, Government Information Quarterly 81, (1991), 33-57

McClure, CR; Bishop, A; Doty, P and Fellows, MO, Federal scientific and technical information (STI) policies and the management of information technology for the dissemination of STI, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 25, (1988), 1-9.

McClure, CR, Ryan, J and Moen, WE, Identifying and describing Federal information inventory locator systems preliminary findings and key issues, *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 29*, (1992), 110-119.

McClure, CR, Wigand, RT; Bertot, JC; McKenna, M; Moen, WE and Ryan, J and Veeder, SB, Electronic delivery of Federal government services: policy issues and recommendations, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 30, (1993), 39-49

McConnell, BW, New wine in old wineskins: US government information in a networked world, Journal of Government Information 23 3, (1996), 217-225.

McDonald, SK, Regulating audiotex: lessons for the future development and oversight of electronic information and telecommunication services, *Telecommunications Policy* 19(5), (1995, 391-411

McLoughlin, GJ, The National Information Infrastructure: the Federal role, Journal of Academic Librarianship 21 5, (1995), 390-397.

McMurdo, G and Simpson, E, The White House files, Journal of Information Science 20(5), (1994, 305-313

Meenan, TG and Wyman, CR, Information systems in the United States Senate: an overview of current and projected applications, Government Information Quarterly 8(3), (1991), 273-283

Mendelsohn, LD, Technology transfer policy: its role as a scientific and technical information policy and its impact on technological growth, *Journal of the American Society for Information Science* 43 1), (1992), 80-88.

Menou, MJ, National information policy in the less-developed countries: an educational perspective, *International Library Review 23(1)*, (1991), 49-64.

Mihm, JC, The United States decennial census: an agenda for change, Journal of Government Information 21(1), (1994), 49-58.

Million, AC and Fisher, KN, Library records: a review of confidentiality laws and policies, Journal of Academic Librarianship 11(6), (1986), 346-349.

Moen, WE, Information technology standards: a component of Federal information policy, Government Information Quarterly 11(4), (1994), 357-371.

Monk, P, The economic significance of infrastructure IT systems, Journal of Information Technology 8 1), (1993), 14-21.

Monty, V, Canadian government information: an update, Government Publications Review 203, (1993), 273 282

Moore, N, Policy issues in the multimedia age, Journal of Information Science 22(3), (1996), 213 218

M rton, SI, Federal information policy, Government Information Quarterly 7(1), (1990), 67-

Myers, J. Priorities and policies private sector involvement in our fields of interest, Journal of Information Science 9 1, (1984, 1-6.

Nelson, AK, The 1985 Report of the Committee on the Records of Government: an assessment, Government Information Quarterly 4(2), (1987), 143-150.

Neu, W, Neumann, KH and Schnoring, T, Trade patterns, industry structure and industrial policy in telecommunications, *Telecommunications Policy* 11 1), (1987), 31-44.

Newkirk, MG, Trends in state legislative information technology, Government Information Quarterly 8(3, (1991, 259-271.

Nilsen, K., Government information policy in Canada, Government Information Quarterly 11(2, 1994, 191 209

Nisenoff, N, Bishop, E and Clayton, A, Privacy of computerized records: Swedish experience and possible United States policy impacts, *Information Processing and Management 15(4)*, 1979, 205-211

Oberly, JW, Information policy in an era of illiteracy: the United States Pension Bureau before the Civil War, Government Publications Review 14 3), (1987), 287-294.

Ogan, C, Communications policy options in an era of rapid technological change, Telecommunications Policy 16 7, (1992), 565-575.

Oppenheum, C, Some legal issues for electronic information, International Forum on Information and Documentation 21(1), (1996), 10-18.

Orna, E, Full, co-operative and profitable use of China's information resources: foundations for a policy, Aslib Proceedings 45(10), (1993), 257-259.

Owens, MR, Libraries and information industries: legislation for joint development, *Library Journal 102(16)*, (1977), 1819-1822.

Pestieau, C, Protecting personal data in the public sector: a Quebec Commissioner's toolbox, Government Information Quarterly 4(2), (1987), 135-142.

Phillips, A, Changing markets and institutional inertia: a review of United States telecommunications policy, Telecommunications Policy 15(1), (1991), 49-61.

Pinelli, TE; Barclay, RO and Kennedy, JM, The United States government technical report and the transfer of Federally funded aerospace R&D, Government Publications Review 20(4), (1993), 393-411.

Potvin, L, Privacy issues in the information age: what corporations need to know, Government Information Quarterly 8(1), (1991), 95-99.

Rafferty, P, Cronin, B and Carson, J, Corporate citizenship and monopolistic practices in the information industry a study of IBM, Aslib Proceedings 40(3), (1988), 69-77.

Redmond, M, State Data Centres: improving access to census information, Government Information Quarterly 3 3, (1986), 291-303.

Reckie, AF, The European Commission's online public databases, Journal of Government Information 22 1, (1995, 33-43.

Richards, PS, National technical information policy in the industrialised socialist countries: the East German example, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 22, (1985, 197-201.

Richardson, J and Zarnosky, MR, A state transition model of United States Congressional information, Journal of Government Information 21 1, (1994), 25-35.

Robbin, A, State archives and issues of personal privacy: policies and practices, American Archivist 49(2, (1986, 163-175.

Robinson, S, Analyzing the information economy: tools and techniques, Information Processing & Management 22(3, (1986), 183-202.

Rosenbaum, H, Improving access to Japanese scientific and technical literature in the United States the role of the Federal government, Government Information Quarterly 5(1), (1988), 5-26

Rosenfield, HN, A new look at the Constitution's Copyright Clause: copyright by government contractors is unconstitutional, Government Information Quarterly 3(1), (1986), 31-48.

Rowlands, I, Toward public-private synergy in the European information services market, Journal of Government Information 22(3), (1995), 227-235.

Rozkuszka, WD, Status of government documentation and publishing in the Third World, Government Publications Review 19(1), (1992), 3-9.

Rubinstein, G, The quantification of information: the Paperwork Budget and the birth of the Burden Hour, Government Information Quarterly 7(1), (1990), 73-81.

Russell, JC, Trends in government information technology and private sector activities, Government Information Quarterly 5(3), (1988), 251-266.

Ryan, J; McClure, CR and Wigand, RT, Federal information resources management: new challenges for the nineties, Government Information Quarterly 11(3), (1994), 301-314.

Samarajiva, R and Mukherjee, R, Information services and the intelligent network: policy implications from United States and Canadian experience, *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science* 27, (1990), 326-333.

Sanchez, L, Dissemination of United States Federal government information on CD-ROM: an issues primer, Government Publications Review 16(2), (1989), 133-144.

Schaaf, RW, Information policies of international organisations, Government Publications Review 17 1), (1990), 49-61.

Schoechle, TD, Privacy on the information superhighway: will my house still be my castle?, Telecommunications Policy 19 6), (1995), 435-452.

Schoof, H and Brown, AW, Information highways and media policies in the European Union, Telecommunications Policy 19 4), (1995), 325-338.

Sherman, AM, Statutory reform of the US Government Printing Office: a view from the GPO, Journal of Government Information 23 3, (1996, 265-279.

Sherwood, DE, Strategic arguments and tactical battles over Federal information policy devel pment, Government Information Quarterly 7 1), (1990), 59-66.

Shuler, JA, A new order of things the political future of documents librarians and a national system of Federal depository libraries, Government Information Quarterly 11(3), (1994), 315-322

Sillince, JAA, Information policy in the European Community: balancing protection and competition, Journal of Government Information 21 3, (1994), 215-230.

Sillince, JAA, Coherence of issues and co-ordination of instruments in European information policy, Journal of Information Science 20 4, (1994, 219-236.

Smith, D, The commercialization and privatization of government information, Government Publications Review 12 1, (1985), 45-63.

Smith, DE, Saskatchewan speaks: public documents and the study of provincial politics, Government Publications Review 20 1, (1993), 21-39.

Smith, TD, Measuring the effect of United States Office of Management and Budget Circular A 130. a case study, *Journal of Government Information* 21(5), (1994), 391-402.

Srinivasan, S, Privacy and data protection in Japan, Government Information Quarterly 9(2), (1992), 121 133.

Steelevivas, RD, Creating a smart nation: strategy, policy, intelligence and information, Government Information Quarterly 13(2), (1996), 159-173.

Stehmann, O and Borthwick, R, Infrastructure competition and the European Union's telecommunications policy, *Telecommunications Policy* 18(8), (1994), 601-615.

Stocker, SS, Tensions between attributes of information: public policy implications, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 17, (1980), 384 386.

Stonier, T, Intelligence networks: overview, purposes and policies in the context of global social change, Aslib Proceedings 38(9), (1986), 269-274.

Stroetmann, KA and Schwuchow, W, Information policy in the Federal Republic of Germany: development, analysis, perspectives, *Journal of Information Science* 18(3), (1992), 161-170.

Tant, AP, The Campaign for Freedom of Information: a participatory challenge to elitist British government, *Public Administration* 68(4), (1990), 477-491.

Thomas, M, Piscitelli, A and Rholes, J, Security and the preservation of the United States Congressional serial set, Journal of Government Information 21(4), (1994), 351-366.

Tipton, RL, The online industry and telephone deregulation in the United States: an overview, Online Review 15 1, (1991), 3-17.

Toth, G, Problems in Hungarian library policy, Libra 40 4, (1990), 336-349.

Trauth, EM, An integrative approach to information policy research, *Telecommunications Policy 10 1*, (1986, 41 50

Triano, C and Bass GD, The new game in town: regulation, secrecy and the Quayle Council on Competitiveness, Government Information Quarterly 9 2), (1992), 107-120.

Trigo, MJA, Correia, AMR and Wilson, T, National information policy: the Portuguese legal framework, Journal of Information Science 22 3, (1996), 219-227.

Turock, BJ and Henderson, CC, A model for a new approach to Federal government information access and dissemination, *Journal of Government Information 23 3*, (1996), 227-240

Walters, JS, The presuperhighway politics of US government printing and publishing, Journal of Government Information 23(2, (1996), 93-121.

Weiss, SC, A graphic look at GPO depository distribution: 1975-1993, Journal of Government Information 22 4, (1995, 337-344.

Wenders, JT and Egan, BL, The implications of economic efficiency for United States telecommunications policy, *Telecommunications Policy 10(1)*, (1986), 33-40.

Westhoff, J, The EEC electronic information services market, Government Information Quarterly 10(3, (1993), 369-378.

Whitlock, E and Nyevrikel, E, The evolution of Hungarian telecommunications policy, Telecommunications Policy 16 3), (1992), 249-258.

Wigand, RT; Shipley, C and Shipley, D, Transborder data flow, informatics and national policies, Journal of Communication 34 1), (1984), 153-175.

Wilborn, SE, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act: 1989, *Duke Law Journal* 5, (1990), 1113-1156.

Wilson, DW, Culture and conflict: defining the nation4al archives, Government Information Quarterly 13(2), (1996), 187-194.

Young, PR, Changing information access economics: new roles for libraries and librarians, Information Technology & Libraries 13(2), (1994), 103-114.

Zeisset, PT, Making decennial census data available, Government Information Quarterly 2(4), (1985), 419 431.

## **Cluster 2: International Library Community**

Aderibigbe, MR, A review of the effects of government policies on information dissemination in Nigeria, Government Publications Review 18(3), (1991), 245-252.

Akobo, DI, The effect of government policies on the acquisition of library resources in Nigeria, Library Acquisitions: Practice and Theory 16(3), (1992), 313-320.

Alston, R, National security controls on information and communication in Australia, Government Information Quarterly 4(1), (1987), 29-41.

Atwool, M, You can't eat information: government information and the information policy role of the National Library of New Zealand, Government Information Quarterly 13(3), (1996, 311, 322)

Aubrac, R, French development co-operation: a scientific and technological information policy, UNESCO Journal of Information Science, Librarianship and Archives Administration 3 4, (1981), 217 221

Barwise, TP, Possible means of stimulating investment in and improving the climate for the information industry strategies for EEC intervention in the European information industry and market, 1981-85, Aslib Proceedings 32 1), (1980), 41-55.

Becker, J, Transborder data flow between East and West: the situation of the Federal Republic of Germany, Nachrichten fur Dokumentation 38 1), (1987), 1-5.

Bergmann, M, Transborder data protection, Nachrichten fur Dokumentation 38 5), (1987), 293 296

Bohmuller, L, Cultural and library policy, 1933-1945: from the archives of the Jena University Library, Zentralblatt fur Bibliothekswesen 103(5), (1989), 205-211.

Bowonder, B, Miyqake, T and Singh TM, Emerging trends in information technology: implications for developing countries, *International Journal of Information Management* 133, 1993, 183-204

Buchwald, C, Canada in context: an overview of information policies in four industrialised countries, Canadian Journal of Information and Library Science 20 3/4), (1995), 5-33.

Burkert, H, The general right of access to government information: a regulatory task, Nachrichten fur Dokumentation 41(2, (1990), 103-108.

Busowietz, M and Schmidtreindl, KM, New trends in French information policy, Nachrichten fur Dokumentation 35 1), (1984), 7-14.

Coxon, H, The freedom of information debate in Australia, Government Publications Review 8 5, (1981), 373 379.

Crawford, JC, Policy formulation for public library provision in the Highlands of Scotland, Journal of Librarianship 16(2), (1984), 94-117.

Devilliers, IFA, Government publishing in South Africa today, Government Information Quarterly 3 4), (1986), 419-423.

Doamarl, SA, Library services for social development, IFLA Journal 21(1), (1995), 19-25.

Ebeling, T and Staber, P, Education, libraries and democracy after the boom: a comment on some consequences of the increasing crisis of social policy in the FRG for the libraries, Zentralblatt fur Bibliothekswesen 99(1), (1985), 17-21.

Ehlke, RC and Relyea, HC, The Reagan administration order on security classification: a critical assessment, Federal Bar News and Journal 30(2), (1983), 91-97.

Evensen, RH; Levering, MB, Equalizing information access by handicapped persons, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 16, (1979), 140-147

Fong, C, Government policy guidelines in Australia, Government Publications Review 9(5), (1982, 401-406

Ghosh, PK, Impact of industrial policy and trade-related intellectual property rights on biotech industries in India, *Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research* 54(4), (1995), 217-230

Gray, J, National policies for scientific and technical information (1): United Kingdom, Journal of Information Science 11, (1979, 43-47.

Hamid, OMB, Formulating a national policy on library and information services in Malaysia, Libra 41(2, 1991, 132 144

Hansen, IB, Bennum, M and Korsby, PR, Planning and development of a Danish I&D policy, Journal of Information Science 7(2, (1983), 59-65.

Hrescak, M, Telecommunication aspects of the information infrastructure development, Ekonomicky Casopis 39 12, 1991, 862-876.

Keren, C, Policy for science information activities in small countries: case of Israel, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 28 1, (1977), 44-57.

Kim, JC and Ro, TS, Current policy issues in the Korean telecommunications industry, *Telecommunications Policy* 17(7, (1993), 481-492.

Lewytzkyj, B, Soviet information policy: science and technology, Osteuropa 26 6), (1976), 435-448.

Liu, ZD, Considerations on the development of China's information industry, Aslib Proceedings 46(2), (1994), 49-54.

Mchombu, KJ and Miti, K, Formulation of national information policies in Africa: some unlearnt lessons, International Information and Library Review 24(2), (1992), 139-171.

McNiff, F, Judicial or political control of access to government information?, Information Privacy 3 3, (1981), 93-97.

Meyriat, J, France: does it have an information policy?, Aslib Proceedings 38 6/7), (1986), 205 214.

Missen, A, The Australian Freedom of Information Act, Government Publications Review 10(1), (1983), 43 49.

Mohamed, O, National policy for library and information services, International Library Review 21(1), (1989), 115-127.

Nassimbeni, M, Constructing national library and information policy options for South Africa within the framework of educational transformation, *Journal of Librarianship and Information Science* 26(3), (1994), 149 155.

Nweke, KMC, Legal deposit laws in Nigeria and bibliographic control of Nigeriana, Government Publications Review 518(4), (1991), 339-345.

Ockenfeld, M and Rehfeld, W, The own position within a broader concept: requirements for future information policy, Nachrichten für Dokumentation 34(6), (1983), 267-273.

Okudaira, Y, Toward freedom of information: the Japanese case, Government Publications Review 10 1, (1983, 71-79

Parker, J and Houghton, J, The value of information: paradigms and perspectives, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 31, (1994), 26-33

Petrazzi, BA, Telecommunications policy in India: the political underpinnings of reform, Telecommunications Policy 20(1, (1996, 39-51.

Pod initsin, VG and Winters, AA, Regional co-operation in information policy and planning, International Forum on Information and Documentation 2(2), (1977), 10-12.

Salem, S, Information infrastructure in the Arab countries: an analysis, Journal of Information Science 12 5, (1986), 217-230.

Samulowitz, H, Problems with the European market for information services: IMPACT II, Nachrichten für Dokumentation 40 5, (1989), 282-292.

Sauvant, KP, Transborder data traffic: the basis of the developing international information economy, Europa Archiv 38 9, (1983), 261-272.

Schmid, U and Kubicek, H, On the information highways into civil society, Argument 36 4 5, (1994), 713 723.

Sorokin, LT, The computerization of government information: does it circumvent public access under the Freedom of Information Act and the Depository Library Program?, Columbia Journal of Law and Social Problems 24(2, (1991), 267-298.

Steele, C, Satellite television and information access in Australia, *Electronic Library 8(3)*, (1990), 181-184.

Sugaya, M, Cable television and government policy in Japan, Telecommunications Policy 19(3), (1995), 233 239.

Sugimoto, Y, A comparative study of science and technological information policy in advanced countries, Library and Information Science 22, (1984), 143-159.

Tiamiyu, MA, The realities of developing modern information resources management systems in government organisations in developing countries with particular reference to Nigeria, Journal of Information Science 19(3), (1993), 189-197.

Walker, CF, Telematics for development: a Caribbean perspective on National Information Infrastructure planning, International Forum on Information and Documentation 20(2), (1995), 14-28.

Wijasuriya, DEK, Access to information: considerations towards national policy for libraries, Libra 33(1), (1983), 22 36.

Wurzbach, PK, Freedom of information and censor: problem of democracy, Beitrage zur Konfliktforschung 84, (1978), 95-104.

Young, HC and Ali, SN, The Gulf War and its effect on information and library services in the Arabian Gulf with particular reference to the State of Bahrain, *Journal of Information Science 18 6*, (1992, 435-462

Ziscak, S, Freedom of information in principle and practice: the Victorian experience, Australian Journal of Public Administration 47 4, (1988), 312-320.

Zulu, SFC, Africa survival plan for meeting the challenges of information technology in the 1990s and beyond, Libra 44 1, (1994, 77-94.

### **Cluster 8: Policy Mandarins**

Adler, JB, Publishers, libraries and the future use of government information, Government Publications Review 9(2), (1982), 87-90.

Allaham, G, Libraries and information infrastructure in Syria, Journal of Information Science 18(6), (1992), 497 504.

Anthony, LJ and Deunette, J, Online services, information technology and the information industry, Online 10(1, (1986), 87-93.

Appleyard, RK, The role of the European Commission in the information environment, Journal of Information Science 3 6), (1981), 257-260.

Athreya, MB, India telecommunications policy: a paradigm shift, Telecommunications Policy 20(1, (1996), 11 22.

Barker, C, Freedom of Inf rmation Act and its declassification procedures, Government Publications Review 1(2, (1973, 147-150.

Brimmer, KW, Telecommunications regulation and policy, Online Review 5 6), (1981), 481-486

Brown, R, Towards a national information policy, Aslib Proceedings 34 6/7), (1982), 317-324.

Bushkin, AA and Yurow, JH, Developing national information policies, Library Journal 104 16, 1979, 1752-1756

Canisius, P, Inf rmation policies in Western Europe: some remarks about the present situation, Aslib Proceedings 34 1, (1982), 13-24.

Chandler, J, Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Journal of Government Information 21(6), 1994, 599-603

Chartrand, RL, The role of government in the information society, Journal of Information Science 5 4, (1982, 137-142

Cole, ST, European information technology policy, Aslib Proceedings 43(2/3), (1991), 37-41.

Crawford, MH, House hearings on transborder data flows: a reflection, Information Privacy 2 4), (1980), 165-169.

Crawford, MH, Technology transfer and the computerization of South Korea and Taiwan: the public sector and high technology policy, *Information Age 9(2)*, (1987), 67-73.

Dahl, M, Scandinavian countries database policy and its implementation, International Forum on Information and Documentation 4(4), (1979), 13-15.

Davies, R, Non price competition and the structure of the online information industry: Q-analysis of medical databases and hosts, Journal of Documentation 43(3), (1987), 236-260.

de Bruine, RF, European Commission policy in the framework of the information services market, Journal of Government Information 21(1), (1994), 15-24.

Desanti, VM, A policy framework on the dissemination of government electronic information: some remarks, Government Information Quarterly 10(2), (1993), 255-260.

Egan, BL, Building value through telecommunications: regulatory roadblocks on the information superhighway, *Telecommunications Policy* 18(8), (1994), 573-587.

English, G, Electronic filing of documents with the government: new technology presents new problems, Government Information Quarterly 2(2) 183-186, (1985), 183-186.

Feather, J, National and international policies for preservation, *International Library Review* 22 4, (1990), 315-237.

Feinberg, LE and Relyea, HC, The Freedom of Information Act: a collage, Public Administration Review 46 6, (1986), 608-614.

Freese, J. Data policy in Sweden, Information Privacy 1(5), (1979, 226-229.

Gillham, V, Canada, Journal of Government Information 22(6), (1995), 559-587.

Gillick, D, Telecommunications policies and regulatory structures: new issues and trends, *Telecommunications Policy 16 9*, (1992), 726-731.

Giroud, G, The European Patent Office and its patent information policy, *Electronic Library* 9 6, 1991, 329-332.

Gore, AA, The United States government and the information society: a viewpoint, Government Publications Review 14 5, (1987), 491-494.

Griffith, JC, The use of information technology in the Secretariat of the Supreme Soviet of the USSR, Government Information Quarterly 8 3, (1991), 313-321.

Halpenny, FG, Government policy: impact on libraries, Canadian Library Journal 36(5), (1979, 237 239

Hanson, B, Can Congress take the necessary steps to ensure an informed nation?, Government Information Quarterly 6(2, (1989, 153-157.

Hernon, P and Dugan, RE, Information policy, Journal of Academic Librarianship 21(1), 1995), 47-50

Hlava, MMK, Birthing the information industry in the former Soviet Union, Online and CD-ROM Review 17 1), (1993), 25-28.

Hohns, WA, GPO 2001 vision for a new millennium: brief observations on, an reprint of, the Strategic Planning Vision for the United States Government Printing Office, Government Publications Review 19 4), (1992), 333-383.

Horton, FW, The Freedom of Information Act and the problem of access, Review of Public Data Use 8(2, (1980), 117-120.

Howe, E, The United Kingdom Data Protection Act, Government Information Quarterly 8(4), (1991), 345 357.

Huber, W, The policy of the European Commission within the framework of the information market, International Forum on Information and Documentation 18(1), (1993), 11-13.

Hugenholtz, PB, Copyright and electronic document delivery systems, Interlending & Document Supply 22(3), (1994), 8-14.

Hughes, CB, Government policy: impact on publishers, Canadian Library Journal 36(5), (1979), 241 244.

Laing, WS, Information practices and policies of United Nations, Government Publications Review 2 3), (1975), 237-244.

Leeblundberg, A, A strategy for Sweden's information technology infrastructure, *Telecommunications Policy 18 8)*, (1994), 642-650.

Loh, EI, Africa, Asia, Europe and Latin America, Journal of Government Information 21(6), (1994, 613-619

MacLean, HI, Special libraries and development of a Canadian library system and network policy, Canadian Library Journal 31 3, (1974), 204-205.

Mahon, B, Transborder data flow: how it impinges on the information industry, Aslib Proceedings 38 8, (1986, 257-261.

Miller, R, Europe 1992 the impact on the information industry, Online 14(2), (1990), 51-55.

Missen, A, Freedom of Information: a progress report, Government Information Quarterly 3(2), (1986), 133-139

Moore, N, Information policy and strategic development: a framework for the analysis of policy issues, Aslib Proceedings 45 11, (1993, 281-285.

Negaard, C and Vanfossen, M, United Nations and other international organisations, Journal of Government Information 22 6, (1995), 595-618.

Neustadt, RM, Information policy: progress and prospects, Library Journal 104(16), (1979), 1742 1746

Nugent, PM, User objectives in the development of international telecommunications policy, Information Age 74, (1985, 200-202.

Owens, CT, Information under siege: the Reagan administration's Federal information policy, Government Information Quarterly 3(3, (1986), 275-277.

Paul, JH, Scientific and technical information policy and the future of NTIS: hearings before the Sub-Committee on Science, Research and Technology, *Government Information Quarterly* 5(2, (1988), 137-146.

Penn, R, Australia, Journal of Government Information 21(6), (1994), 605-611.

Plesser, R, Freedom of Information Act: as applied to unclassified documents, Government Publications Review 1(2, (1973), 135-139.

Preston, P, Social and industrial innovation and policy change, Aslib Proceedings 40(10), (1988), 285 293.

Reitman, A, Freedom of information and privacy: civil libertarian's dilemma, American Archivist 38(4), (1975), 501 508.

Relyea, HC, Freedom of information concerns, Government Information Quarterly 3(3), (1986), 271 274

Rickover, HG, Government patent policy, Journal of the Patent Office Society 60(1), (1978), 14-26

Riley, T, Developments in freedom of information internationally, Canadian Library Journal 38 3. (1981), 137 141.

Rowlands, DG, Towards an information market model, Aslib Proceedings 40(1), (1988), 27-30.

Sanchez Vegas, S, David meets Goliath on the information superhighway: Venezuela in the context of the electronic communication networks, *Information Technology & Libraries 14(1)*, 1995, 32 35

Sauvant, KP, Trade in services the impact of data techniques, Information Age 11(1), (1989), 37 39

Schlundt, V. Transborder data flow and its importance in the international arena, Information Age 7(2, 1985, 67-70.

Smith, RS, Government inf rmation: problems and options, Special Libraries 64(11), (1973), 516-526

Steinmuller, W, Informati n technology and information law, Information Age 5(1), (1983), 39-46

Stevan, G and Trevisan, G, CEC policy to promote the European information services market, Aslib Proceedings 43(2 3, (1991), 27-35.

Supapol, AB and Swierczek, FW, The role of intellectual property rights in stimulating commercialization in ASEAN; lessons from Canada, Technovation 14 3), (1994), 181-195.

Sweeney, GP, Knowledge economy: its implications for national science and information policies, Information Scientist 11 3), (1977), 89-98.

Ungerer, H, European policies and regulations, Telecommunications Policy 16(9), (1992), 712-716

van Rosendaal, CJ, European information policy situation, Aslib Proceedings 36(1), (1984), 15-23.

Vanderlaan, A, Information policy in The Netherlands, Aslib Proceedings 38(6/7), (1986), 199 204, Wait, C, Information and public policy, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 9, (1972), 205-211.

Wennergren, B, Freedom of information in Nordic countries: recent developments, Government Publications Review 10(1), (1983), 11-17.

Wigglesworth, B and Barnes, F, UK policies and regulations, Telecommunications Policy 16(9), (1992), 721-725.

Williams, RJ, Official secrets and open government: reappraisal, Political Quarterly 50(1), (1979), 100 104.

Wood, FB, Title 44 and Federal information dissemination: a technology and policy challenge for Congress: a viewpoint, Government Publications Review 17(1), (1990), 1-5.

Worlock, D, Information policy progress: a UK-EEC update, Aslib Proceedings 39(6), (1987), 193-196

#### Cluster 4: Established LIS Professionals

Akhavanmajid, R, DBS policy-making in Japan: an interpretive history, *Telecommunications Policy 13(4)*, (1989), 363-370.

Akhavanmajid, R, Telecommunications policy-making in Japan: the 1980s and beyond, Telecommunications Policy 14(2), (1990), 159-168.

Anthony, LJ, A national information policy for the United Kingdom, Aslib Proceedings 33(3), (1981), 73 82.

Anthony, LJ, National information policy, Aslib Proceedings 34(6/7), (1982), 310-316.

Bortnick, J, National and international information policy, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 36 3, (1985), 164-168.

Bortnick, J, Support for information technology in science: the Federal role, Government Information Quarterly 3 3, (1986), 233-250.

Braman, S, Defining information: an approach for policymakers, Telecommunications Policy 13 3, (1989, 233-242

Braman, S, Trade and information policy, Media, Culture and Society 12(3), (1990), 361-385.

Braman, S, Harmonization of systems: the third stage of the information society, Journal of Communications 43(3, 1993, 133-140.

Braman, S, The autopoietic State: communication and democratic potential in the Net, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 45 6), (1994), 358-368.

Braman, S, Horizons of the State information policy and power, Journal of Communication 45 4, 1995, 4-24

Case, DO, An example of the social construction of information technologies: videotex in the United States and Europe, *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 28*, (1991), 139-149.

Case, DO, The social shaping of videotex: how information services for the public have evolved, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 45(7), (1994), 483-497.

Case, DO, Rhetoric of the ren: the myths of the information superhighway, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 32, (1995), 137-143.

Chartrand, RL, Computer technology on Capitol Hill: origins and opportunities, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 23, (1986), 48-55.

Cornwell, G, Kessler RR; Aldrich, D; Andersen, TK; Hayes, SM; Sulzer, J and Tulis, S, Problems and issues affecting the United States Depository Library Program and the GPO: the librarian's manifesto, Government Publications Review 20(2), (1993), 121-140.

Cornwell, GT, The dissemination of Federal government information: prospects for the immediate future, Journal of Government Information 23(3), (1996), 299-306.

Crews, KD, Legal deposit in four countries: laws and library services, Law Library Journal 80(4), (1988), 551 576.

Crews, KD, Copyright law and information policy planning: public rights of use in the 1990s and beyond, Journal of Government Information 22(2), (1995), 87-99.

Desanti, V, On public access to electronic information: will the public step forward, please?, Government Information Quarterly 8(1), (1991), 101-104.

Durrance, JC, Spanning the local government information gap, Reference Quarterly 25(1), (1985), 100-109

Durrance, JC, Providing access to local government information: the nature of public library activity, Government Information Quarterly 5(2), (1988), 155-167.

Durrance, JC, The White House Conference and democracy: a missed opportunity?, Government Information Quarterly 9(3, (1992, 341-346.

Egan, BL, Public policy for fiber to the home, Telecommunications Policy 14 6), (19990), 457-460

Eisenbeis, K, United States government information policy, Journal of Education for Library and Information Science 29(2, (1988), 92-98.

Eisenbeis, K, Technology assessment and federal information policy, Special Libraries 79(3), 1988, 200-206

Eisenbeis, K, Federal information policy: the changing environment, Reference Quarterly 28 3, 1989, 295-300

Elkinkoren, N, The challenges of technological change to copyright law: copyright reform and social change in cyberspace, Science Communication 17(2), (1995), 186-200.

Feinberg, LE, Managing the Freedom of Information Act and Federal information policy, Public Administration Review 46 6, (1986), 615-621.

Feinberg, LE, Managing the Freedom of Information Act and Federal information policy: the Reagan years, Government Information Quarterly 6 4, (1989), 345-363.

Flaherty, DH, The emergence of surveillance societies in the Western World: toward the year 2000, Government Information Quarterly 5(4, (1988), 377-387.

Geipel, GL, The failure and future of information technology policies in Eastern Europe, Technology in Society 13 1 2, (1991), 207-228.

Gellman, RM, Authorizing EDGAR: information policy in theory and practice, Government Information Quarterly 5 3, (1988), 199-211.

Gellman, RM, Who should publish the law?, Journal of Government Information 23(3), (1996), 253-263.

Gibbs, D, Telematics and urban economic development policies: time for caution, Telecommunications Policy 17(4), (1993), 250-256.

Gibbs, DN, Secrecy and international relations, Journal of Peace Research 32(2), (1995), 213-228.

Griffith, JC, The development of information technology in the Congressional research service of the Library of Congress, Government Information Quarterly 8(3), (1991), 293-307.

Griffith, JC and Smith, MS, The information superhighway and the National Information Infrastructure (NII), Journal of Academic Librarianship 20(2), (1994), 93-95.

Heim, KM, National information policy and a mandate for oversight by the information professions, Government Publications Review 13(1), (1986), 21-37.

Hendrick, R, An information infrastructure for innovative management of government, Public Administration Review 54(6), (1994), 543-550.

Hernon, P, The management of United States government information resources: an assessment of OMB Circular A 130, Government Information Quarterly 3(3), (1986), 279-290.

Hernon, P, The role of United States libraries and information centres in fostering competitiveness, Government Information Quarterly 6(1), (1989), 47-58.

Hernon, P, Discussion forum national information policy, Government Information Quarterly 63, (1989, 229-236

Hernon, P, Government publications and publishing during the Reagan years, Government Information Quarterly 6 4, 1989, 395-410.

Hernon, P, Discussion forum: government information safety nets, Government Information Quarterly 7(3, (1990, 249-257.

Hernon, P, Government information policy principles, Government Information Quarterly 84, (1991, 393-399

Hernon, P, Information life-cycle: its place in the management of United States government information resources, Government Information Quarterly 11(2), (1994), 143-170.

Hernon, P and McClure, CR, GPO's Depository Library Program: building for the future, Library Journal 113 6, (1988, 52-56.

Hernon, P and McClure, CR, Electronic census products and the Depository Library Program future issues and trends, Government Information Quarterly 8 1), (1991), 59-76.

Hernon, P and Relyea, HC, Government publishing: past to present, Government Information Quarterly 12(3, (1995), 309-330.

Hubbertz, A, Freedom of information and Canadian Crown corporations, Government Information Quarterly 3 1, (1986), 63-71.

Hubbertz, A, Crown Copyright and the privatization of government information in Canada, with comparisons to the United States experience, Government Publications Review 17(2), (1990), 159-165.

Irwin, MR, National security and information technology: the new regulatory option, Government Information Quarterly 4(4), (1987), 359-369.

Irwin, MR, United States telecommunications: searching for the optimum policy, *Telecommunications Policy* 12(1), (1988), 13-15.

Katz, JE, Public policy origins of telecommunications privacy and the emerging issues, Information Age 10(3), (1988), 169-176.

Katz, JE, United States telecommunications privacy policy: socio-political responses to technological advances, *Telecommunications Policy* 12(4), (1988), 353-368.

Katz, JE, Social aspects of telecommunications security policy, *Telecommunications Policy* 14(4), (1990), 324-332.

Kirtley, JE, A walk down a dangerous road: British press censorship and the Spycatcher debacle, Government Information Quarterly 5(2), (1988), 117-135.

Kirtley, JE, The Law Lords take a detour: Chapter 2 of the Spycatcher saga, Government Information Quarterly 7(1), (1990), 53-58.

Kirtley, JE, The eye of the sandstorm: the erosion of First Amendment principles in wartime, Government Information Quarterly 9(4), (1992), 473-490.

Kraemer, KL; Gurbaxani, V and King, JL, Economic development, government policy and the diffusion of computing in Asia-Pacific countries, *Public Administration Review 52(2)*, (1992), 146-156.

Lau, TY, From cable television to direct broadcasting satellite: emerging policy issues in the Asia-Pacific region, *Telecommunications Policy* 16(7), (1992), 576-590.

Lau, TY and Baldwin, TF, A public policy strategy for cable development: the experience of Hong Kong, *Telecommunications Policy 14(5)*, (1990), 412-424.

Leacy, R, Political policy and publishing in Washington, Special Libraries 75(2), (1984), 121-125.

Lebowitz, BE, Freedom of non-free information: economic proposal for government disclosure of privately submitted commercial information, *Stanford Law Review 32(2)*, (1980), 339-372.

Love, J, Pricing government information, Journal of Government Information 22(5), (1995), 363-387.

Love, JP, The marketplace and electronic government information, Government Publications Review 19(4), (1992), 397-412.

Love, JP, A window on the politics of the Government Printing Office Electronic Information Access Enhancement Act of 1993, Journal of Government Information 21(1), (1994), 3-13.

Mansell, RE, Contradictions in national communication information policies: the Canadian experience, *Media, Culture and Society 7(3)*, (1985), 331-353,, Mansell, RE, Strategic issues in telecommunications: unbundling the information infrastructure, *Telecommunications Policy 18(8)*, (1994), 588-600.

McCamus, JD, The delicate balance: reconciling privacy protection with the freedom of information principle, Government Information Quarterly 3(1), (1986), 49-61.

McClure, CR, Improving access to and use of Federal scientific and technological information (STI): perspectives from recent research projects, *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science* 24, (1987), 163-169.

McClure, CR, Promoting education for information resources management in the Federal government, Government Information Quarterly 12(1), (1995), 1-11.

McClure, CR, Libraries and Federal information policy, Journal of Academic Librarianship 22 3, (1996), 214-218.

Michael, J, Freedom of information: a legislative trend, Aslib Proceedings 38(8), (1986), 249-256

Milevski, SN, Information policy through public laws of the 95th-96th Congresses, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 23, (1986), 211 219

Milevski, SN, Federal policy-making and national security controls on information, Library Trends 39 1 2, (1990), 134-144

Moore, N, How fortune can be opposed: the development of information policies, International Forum on Information and Documentation 16(1), (1991), 3-5.

Morehead, J, Government Information in electronic form: the Chadha decision and the Joint Committee on Printing, Serials Librarian 9 4, (1985), 33-43.

Morehead, J, The myth of public access to Federal government information as a constitutional right, Serials Librarian 26(2, (1995), 1-26.

M rton, B, Canadian Federal government policy and Canada's electronic information industry, Government Information Quarterly 12 3, (1995), 251-295.

Morton, B and Zink, SD, Contemporary Canadian Federal information policy, Canadian Public Administration 34(2), (1991), 312-338.

Morton, B and Zink, SD, The dissemination and accessibility of Canadian government information, Government Publications Review 19(4, (1992), 385-396.

Mosco, V, Dinosaurs alive: toward a political economy of information, Canadian Journal of Information Science 17 1, (1992), 41-51.

Mosco, V, Rethinking and renewing the political economy of information, Canadian Journal of Information Science 20(2, (1995), 10-29.

Newman, E, The challenge of international value-added network services agreements in the Asia Pacific area, *Telecommunications Policy 17 5*, (1993), 370-375.

Nilsen, K, Canadian government electronic information policy, Government Information Quarterly 10(2), (1993), 203-220.

Overman, ES and Simanton, DF, Iron triangles and issue networks of information policy, Public Administration Review 46(1), (1986), 584-589.

Owens, CT, Federal information policy and United States competitiveness, Government Information Quarterly 6(1), (1989), 43-46.

Perritt, HH, Commercialization of government information: comparisons between the European Union and the United States, Internet Research, Electronic Networking Applications and Policy 4(2), (1994), 7-23.

Perritt, HH, The information highway: on ramps, checkpoints and tollbooths, Government Information Quarterly 13(2), (1996), 143-158.

Pinelli, TE, Enhancing United States competitiveness through Federal scientific and technical information: issues and opportunities, Government Information Quarterly 7(2), (1990, 219 228.

Plocher, D, Institutional elements in OMB control of government information: discussion, Government Information Quarterly 5(4), (1988), 315-322.

Plocher, D, The Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995: a second chance for information resources management, Government Information Quarterly 13(1), (1996), 35-50.

Radack, SM, More effective Federal computer systems: the role of NIST and standards, Government Information Quarterly 7 1, (1990, 37-49.

Radack, SM, The Federal government and information technology standards: building the National Information Infrastructure, Government Information Quarterly 11(4), (1994), 373-385

Regan, PM, Privacy, government information and technology, Public Administration Review 46 6, (1986, 629-634.

Regan, PM, Data integrity boards: institutional innovation and Congressional oversight, Government Information Quarterly 10 4, (1993), 443-459.

Relyea, HC, Public access to Congressional records: present policy and reform considerations, Government Information Quarterly 2 3, (1985), 235-256.

Relyea, HC, Secrecy and national commercial information policy, Library Trends 35(1), (1986, 43-59

Relyea, HC, Access to government information in the information age, Public Administration Review 46 6, (1986, 635-639.

Relyea, HC, National security and information, Government Information Quarterly 4(1), (1987), 11-28

Relyea, HC, The coming of secret law, Government Information Quarterly 5(2), (1988), 97-116.

Relyea, HC, Information policy, national security and the Reagan administration, Government Information Quarterly 6(4), (1989), 365-382.

Relyea, HC, Security classification reform: the waiting agenda, Government Information Quarterly 10 3), (1993), 333-340.

Relyea, HC, The administration and operation of the Freedom of Information Act: a retrospective, Government Information Quarterly 11(3), (1994), 85-299.

Relyea, HC, A statutory mandate for security classification policy and procedure: is now the hour?, Government Information Quarterly 11(4), (1994), 347-355.

Rotenberg, M, In support of a data protection board in the United States, Government Information Quarterly 8(1), (1991), 79-93.

Rotenberg, M, Electronic privacy legislation in the United States, Journal of Academic Librarianship 20(4), (1994), 227-230.

Rowlands, I, Understanding information policy: concepts, frameworks and research tools, Journal of Information Science 22(1), (1996), 13-25.

Sauvant, KP, Trade in data services: the international context, Telecommunications Policy 10 4, (1986, 282 298

Shattuck, J, Federal restrictions on the free flow of academic information and ideas, Government Information Quarterly 3 1), (1986, 5-29.

Shattuck, J, The right to know: public access to Federal information in the 1980s, Government Information Quarterly 5 4), (1988), 369-375.

Shill, HB, A basis for increasing public access to Federal electronic information, Government Information Quarterly 6(2, (1989, 135-141.

Shill, HB, Information policy and the Bush administration: a first look, Government Information Quarterly 7 1, (1990), 1-7.

Shill, HB, NTIS potential roles and government information policy, Journal of Government Information 23(3, 1996, 287-298.

Sprehe, JT, National policy for health information services: policy on management of Federal information resources, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 38(1), (1987), 30-33

Sprehe, JT, Policy perspectives on electronic collection and dissemination of information, Government Information Quarterly 5 3), (1988), 213-221.

Sprehe, JT, OMB source documents, Government Information Quarterly 5 3), (1988), 233-250

Sprehe, JT, Online public access to Federal agency computers, Government Information Quarterly 9(2, (1992), 199-203.

Sprehe, JT, Issues in public access: the Solomons conferences, Government Publications Review 20(3, (1993), 251 272.

Sprehe, JT, United States Office of Management and Budget Circular A130: old and new, Journal of Government Information 21(3), (1994), 231-247.

Sprehe, JT, Ways to think about user fees for Federal information products, Government Information Quarterly 13(2), (1996), 175-186.

Sprehe, JT, US Government Printing Office, no more, Journal of Government Information 32(3), (1996), 281 285.

Stoetzer, MW, Value-added services: problems of definition and data, *Telecommunications Policy 16(5)*, (1992), 388-400.

Stoetzer, MW, New telecommunication services: current situation and prospects in Germany, *Telecommunications Policy 18(7)*, (1994), 522-537.

Williams, RV, The role of intergovernmental organizations in international information transfer and policy, Special Libraries 79(1), (1988), 1-8.

Williams, RV, Mapping and measuring the information infrastructure for p5lanning purposes preliminary stu5dy of South Carolina, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 32, (1995), 144-151.

Wood, FB, Technology, public policy and the changing nature of Federal information dissemination overview of a new Office of Technology Assessment study, Government Information Quarterly 41, (1987), 83-96.

Wood, RB, Helping America compete through more effective use of scientific and technical information an opportunity for Office of Science and Technology leadership, Government Information Quarterly 8 1, (1991), 105-112.

#### Cluster 5: Information Regulation Academics

Akwule, RU, Telecommunications in Kenya: development and policy issues Telecommunications Policy 16(7), (1992), 603-611.

Alikhan, S, Intellectual property rights: the Paris Convention and developing countries, Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research 52(4), (1993), 219-224.

Amirahmadi, H and Wallace, C, Information technology, the organization of production and regional development, *Environment and Planning 27(11)*, (1995), 1745-1775.

Andrussier, SE, The Freedom of Information Act in 1990: more freedom for the government, less information for the public, *Duke Law Journal 3*, (1991), 753-801.

Archibald, S, The early years of the Freedom of Information Act: 1955-1974, Political Science and Politics 26 4), (1993, 726-731.

Archibald, SJ, Freedom of Information Act revisited, Public Administration Review 39(4), 1979, 311 318

Arlandis, J, Trading telecommunications challenges to European regulation policies, *Telecommunications Policy 17 3*, (1993), 171-185.

Axelrad, J, Freedom of Information Act's application, or, more accurately, lack of application to classified government information and especially to reports of military accident investigation reports, Forum of the American Bar Association 8 2), (1972), 282-285.

Bainbridge, WS, The social information infrastructure, Social Science Computer Review 13(2, 1995, 171 182

Barton, AB, United States v Nixon and Freedom of Information Act: new impetus for agency disclosure, *Emory Law Journal* 24(2, (1975), 405-424.

Bastos, MI, How international sanctions worked: domestic and foreign political constraints on the Brazilian informatics policy, *Journal of Development Studies 30(2)*, (1994), 380-404.

Bates, BJ, Information systems and society: potential impacts of alternative structures, Telecommunications Policy 14(2, (1990), 151-158.

Beesley, SL and Glover, TAN, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act, 1986, Duke Law Journal 3, (1987), 521-567.

Bell, R and Watchirs, H, Freedom of information: the Commonwealth experience, Australian Journal of Public Administration 47 4), (1988), 296-311.

Bellamy, C and Taylor, JA, Reinventing government in the information age, Public Money Management 14 3, (1994), 59-62.

Benecki, MH, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act: 1987, Duke Law Journal 2 3, (1988), 566-607.

Benko, RP, Intellectual property rights and the Uruguay Round, World Economy 11(2), (1988), 217-231.

Berner, RO, Effect of 1976 Amendment to Exemption 3 of Freedom of Information Act, Columbia Law Review 76(6), (1976), 1029-1047.

Bigelow, JA, Meeting the agency burden under the confidential source exemption to the Freedom of Information Act, Washington Law Review 60(4), (1985), 873-887.

Blanchard, MA, The crusade for worldwide freedom of information: American attempts to shape World War II peace treaties, *Journalism Quarterly* 60(4), (1983), 583-588.

Bradley, JA, Pro-SE complainants and the Freedom of Information Act: neglected not rejected, Administrative Law Review 37(1), (1985), 15-36.

Branscomb, AW, Global governance of global networks: a survey of transborder data flow in transition, Vanderbilt Law Review 36(4), (1983, 985-1043.

Brown, KW and McDuffie, RS, Access to local government financial reports, Government Information Quarterly 13(2, (1996), 133-141.

Bunker, MD, Taking care of business: confidentiality under the business exemption of the Fola, Public Relations Review 21(2), (1995), 137-149.

Burch, K, Intellectual property rights and the culture of global liberalism, Science Communication 17(2, (1995, 214-232.

Buss, MJD, Legislative threat to transborder data flow, Harvard Business Review 62(3), (1984, 111 118

Campbell, ND, Reverse Freedom of Information Act litigation: need for Congressional action, Georgetown Law Review 67 1, (1978), 103-205.

Castano, E, Disclosure of Federal officials' documents under the Freedom of Information Act: a limited application, *Houston Law Review 18(3*, (1981), 641-654.

Caudle, SL, Managing information resources in state government, Public Administration Review 50 5, (1990, 515-524

Chandran, R, Phatak, A and Sambharya, R, Transborder data flows: implications for multinational corporations, Business Horizons 30 6), (1987), 74-82.

Cheh, MM, The progressive case and the Atomic Energy Act: waking to the dangers of government information controls, George Washington Law Review 48(2), (1980), 163-210.

Cheh, MM, Judicial supervision of executive secrecy: rethinking freedom of information expression for government employees and the public right of access to government information, Cornell Law Review 69 4), (1984), 690-734.

Chiang, JT, Technology policy paradigms and intellectual property strategies (3): national models, Technological Forecasting and Social Change 49 1), (1995), 35-48.

Clement, DG, Tights of submitters to prevent agency disclosure of confidential business information: reverse Freedom of Information Act lawsuit, Texas Law Review 55(4), (1977), 587 644.

Cleveland, H, Government is information (but not vice versa), Public Administration Review 46(6), (1986), 605 607.

Collingridge, D and Margetts, H, Can government information systems be inflexible technology? The operational strategy revisited, *Public Administration* 72(1), (1994), 55-72.

Comeau, PA and Ouimet, A, Freedom of information and privacy: Quebec innovative role in North America, *Iowa Law Review* 80(3), (1995), 651-668.

Cooper, PJ, The Supreme Court, the First Amendment and freedom of information, Public Administration Review 46 6), (1986), 62-628.

Craft, AM, National Environmental Policy Act, Freedom of Information Act and Atomic Energy Commission need for environmental information, *Indiana Law Journal 47(4)*, (1972, 755 770

Curtis, L, Who owns government information?, Australian Journal of Public Administration 38 1, (1979, 34-41

Curwen, P, Telecommunications policy in the European Union: developing the information superhighway, Journal of Common Market Studies 33(3), (1995), 331-360.

Dannenberg, JC, New York's Freedom of Information Law, disclosure under the CPLR, and the common law privilege f r official information: conflict and confusion over the people's right to know, Syracuse Law Journal 33(2, (1982), 615-655.

Diesbach, V, Concept of Freedom of Information in international guarantees of human rights, Law and State 19, 1979 91-105.

Doherty, LA, The Freedom of Information Act, George Washington Law Review 56(4-5), 1988, 880-899

Dowling, SA, Information access public goods or private goods?, Social Science Computer Review 12 3, (1994, 333 350.

Drewry, G, Official Secrets Acts, Political Quarterly 44 1), (1973), 88-93.

Ducatel, K and Miles, I, Internationalization of information technology services and public policy implications, World Development 20(12), (1992), 1843-1857.

Eger, J, Brussels mandate alliance for the future of world communications and information policy, Computer Networks and ISDN Systems 3(2, (1979), 79-86.

English, G, Congressional oversight of security classification policy, Government Information Quarterly 1(2, (1984, 165-176.

Ferris, C, Freedom of information in Atlantic Canada, Government Information Quarterly 54, (1988), 341-351.

Fishman, WL, Introduction to transborder data flows, Stanford Journal of International Law 16, (1980), 1-26.

Fortner, RS, Excommunications in the information society, Critical Studies in Mass Communication 12(2), (1995, 133-154.

Gandy, OH, Toward a political economy of personal information, Critical Studies in Mass Communication 10(1), (1993), 70-97.

Gegner, KE and Veeder, SB, Standards setting and Federal information policy: the escrowed encryption standard (EES), Government Information Quarterly 11(4), (1994), 403-422.

Ginsburg, JC, Global use territorial rights: private international law questions of the global information infrastructure, *Journal of the Copyright Society of the USA 42(4)*, (1995), 318-338.

Gleditsch, NP and Hogetveit, E, Freedom of information in national security affairs: a comparative study of Norway and the United States, *Journal of Peace Research 21(1)*, (1984), 17-45.

Goetz, AM, Information capa ity and power in North-South relations: transborder data flow and the case of Brazil, Millennium Journal of International Studies 15(1), (1986), 48-72.

Goodman, SE, The inf rmati n technologies and Soviet society: problems and prospects, IEEE Transactions on Systems Management and Cybernetics 17(4), (1987), 529-552.

Goodwin, C, Privacy recogniti n of a consumer right?, Journal of Public Policy Marketing 10(1), (1991) 149 166

Greenstein, S, Markets, standards and the information infrastructure, *IEEE Micro 13(6)*, (1993), 36 51

Griffith, J. The Official Secrets Act 1989, Journal of Law and Society 16(3), (1989), 273-290.

Grunewald, MH, Freed m of Information Act dispute resolution, Administrative Law Review 40(1), (1988), 1-65

Hagins, J. The inconvenient public interest: policy challenges in the age of information, Journal of Applied Communication Research 24(2), (1996), 83-92.

Halal, WE, Beyond left and right: evolution of political economy in an information age, Futures 17(3), (1985), 202 213

Hannigan MJ and Neal n. FJ, Freedom of Information Act: parameters of exemptions, Georgetown Law Journal 62(1), (1973), 177-207.

Harvey, K. Online for the ancestors: the importance of an anthropological sensibility in information superhighway policy design, Social Science Computer Review 14(1), (1996), 65-68

Hawker, G, Administrative implications of freedom of information legislation, Australian Journal of Public Administration 36(2), (1977), 168-185.

Hazell, R, Freedom of information in Australia, Canada and New Zealand, Public Administration 67(2), (1989), 189-210.

Hazell, R, Freedom of information: the implications for the ombudsman, Public Administration 73(2), (1995), 263-270.

Hollifield, A and McCain, TA, National network in the global village: US policy goals for an international network, Social Science Computer Review 13(2), (1995), 183-206.

Horowitz, IL, Publishing, property, and the national information infrastructure, *Publishing Research Quarterly 11(1)*, (1995), 40-45.

Irwin, MR and Johnson, SC, Information economy and public policy, Science 195(4283), (1977), 1170-1174.

Jehoram, HC, From copyright law to information law, Journal of the Copyright Society of the USA 34(4), (1987), 380-388.

Jones, T, Collective bargaining in the Federal public sector: disclosing employee names under Exemption 6 of the Freedom of Information Act, Michigan Law Review 89(4), (1991), 980-1007.

Kaba, RA, Threshold requirements for the FBI under Exemption 7 of the Freedom of Information Act, Mich gan Law Review 86(3), (1987), 620-645.

Kane, MJ and Ricks DA, The impact of transborder data flow regulation on large United States based corporations C lun bia Journal of World Business 24(2), (1989), 23-29.

Karani, P and Ojwang JB, Intellectual property in international relations: technology transfer, Science Communication 17(3), (1996), 326-337.

Keen, J. Should the Nati nal Health Service have an information strategy?, Public Administration 72(1), (1994 33-53.

Keene, WO, Management of inf rmation in a research and development agency, Government Information Quarterly 7(2), (1990), 127-140.

Kellner, P, The lobby, offi ial secrets and good government, Parliamentary Affairs 36(3), (1983), 275 281

kent, CA, The privatizing f government information: economic considerations, Government Publications Review 16(2, (1989, 113-132)

Kingston, W. Compulsory licensing with capital payments as an alternative to grants of monopoly in intellectual property, Research Policy 23(6), (1994), 661-672.

Kirby, MD, Transborder data fl ws and the basic rules of data privacy, Stanford Journal of International Law 16, (1980), 27-66.

Kleinman, DL, Layers of interests, layers of influence: business and the genesis of the National Science Foundation, Science, Technology and Human Values 19(3), (1994), 259-282.

Kovach, KA, Retrospective look at Privacy and Freedom of Information Acts, Labor Law Journal 27(9), (1976), 548-564.

Kraemer, KL, Local government, information systems and technology transfer: evaluating some common assertions about computer application transfer, *Public Administration Review* 37(4), (1977), 368-382.

Krupika, LA and LaFrance, ME, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act, 1984, Duke Law Journal 3, (1985), 742-792.

Lamberton, DM, National information policy, Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science 412, (1974), 145-151.

Lansing, P. The conflict of patient privacy and the Freedom of Information Act, Journal of Health Politics, Policy and Law 9(2), (1984), 315-322.

Larocco, L, A public policy framework for our nation's Health Information Infrastructure, MD Computing 11(3), (1994), 143-145.

Lindner, RK, Privatizing the production of knowledge: promise and pitfalls for agricultural research and extension, Australian Journal of Agricultural Economics 37(3), (1993), 205-225.

Lipton, GL and White W Freedom of information: can we afford to be anything but clinical?, Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry 18(2), (1984), 158-162.

Lowe MM, The Freed m f Information Act in 1993-1994, Duke Law Journal 43(6), (1994), 1282 1316

Lund, AM, New forms of llaboration for NII usability, Social Science Computer Review 14(1), (1996), 27-29

Mansell, RE, Davies, A and Hulsink, W, The new telecommunications in The Netherlands: strategy, policy and regulation, *Telecommunications Policy* 20(4), (1996), 273-289.

Martymuk, AO, An end to an FBI general presumption of confidentiality under Freedom of Information Act exemption 7 d. United States Department of Justice v Landano, 113-S CT-2014 (1993), University of Circinnati Law Review 63(1), (1994), 523-563.

McLean, CD, Death and rebirth of a national information policy: what we had and what we need, Law Library Journal 85 4), (1993), 743-761.

McMillan, J, Who was government information?, Australian Journal of Public Administration 38(1, 1979) 42 50

Miller, AP, Teleinf rmatics, transborder data flows and the emerging struggle for information an introduction to the arrival of the new information age, Columbia Journal of Law and Social Problems 20(1), (1986), 89-144.

Miller, PP, Status report on the Freedom of Information Act, Political Science and Politics 21(1), (1988), 87-90.

Montgomery, DB; Peters, AH and Weinberg, CB, Freedom of Information Act: strategic opportunities and threats, Sloan Management Review 19(2), (1978), 1-13.

Morin, AL, Regulating the flow of data: OMB and the control of government information, Public Administration Review 54(5), (1994), 434-443.

Nehls, GJ; Hayes, CG and Nelson, WC, Confidentiality and freedom of information for epidemiological data in government research, Environmental Research 25(1), (1981), 160-166.

O'Reillly, JT, Regaining a confidence: protection of business confidential data through reform of the Freedom of Information Act, Administrative Law Review 34(2), (1982), 263-313.

O'Reilly, JT, Applying Federal open government laws to Congress: an explorative analysis and proposal, *Harvard Journal on Legislation 31(2)*, (1994), 415-468.

O'Connell, MV, A control test for determining agency record status under the Freedom of Information Act, Columbia Law Journal 85(3), (1985), 611-631.

O'Hanlon, N. Fee or free? Public interest and the Freedom of Information Act, Government Information Quarterly 1(4), (1984), 365-378.

Overbey, MM, Human aspects of the National Information Infrastructure, Social Science Computer Review 14(1), (1996), 16-17.

Overman, ES and Cahill, AG Information, market government and health policy: a study of health data organizations in the States, *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management 13(3)*, (1994), 435-453

Park, WG and Ginarte JC Intellectual property rights in a North-South economic context, Science Communication 17 3, (1996), 379-387.

Patel, SJ, Intellectual property rights and national development, Journal of Scientific and Industrial Research 52(4), (1993), 212-218.

Raab, CD and Bennnett, CJ Protecting privacy across borders: European policies and prospects, Public Administration 72(1), (1994), 95-112.

Raby, VM, The Freed m f Inf rmation Act and the IRS Confidentiality Statute, University of Cincinnati Law Review 54 2, (1985), 605-629.

Regan, PM, The gl balisati n f privacy: implications of recent changes in Europe, American Journal of Economics and Soc l gy 52(3), (1993), 257-274.

Reilly LA, The Freed m f Inf rmation Act and the witholding of documents under Section 6013 of the Internal Revenue Code, George Washington Law Review 55(4/5), (1987), 937-954.

Relyea, HC, Provisi n f government information: Federal Freedom of Information Act experience, Canadian Public Administration 20(2), (1977), 317-341.

Relyea, HC, Freedom of Inf rmation Act: its evolution and operational status, Journalism Quarterly 54(3), (1977), 538 544

Relyea, HC, Freedom of information, privacy and official secrecy: evolution of Federal government information policy concepts, Social Indicators Research 7(1), (1980), 137-156, Relyea, HC, Information, secrecy and atomic energy, New York University Review of Law and Social Change 10(2), (1981), 265-286.

Ringo, FS, The trade related aspects of intellectual property rights agreement in the GATT and legal implications for sub-Saharan Africa: prospective policy issues for the World Trade Organization, Journal of World Trade 28(6), (1994), 121-139.

Roessner, JD and Wise, A, Public policy and emerging sources of technology and technical information available to industry, *Policy Studies Journal 22(2)*, (1994), 349-358.

Ryan, SM, Uncle Sam online: government information on the Internet, Communication and Education 43(2), (1994), 151-158.

Salzman, MS, Exemption 7(d) of the Freedom of Information Act: the evidentiary showing the government must make to establish that a source is confidential, *Journal of Criminal Law and Criminology* 84(4), (1994), 1041-1064.

Sambharya, ARB and Phatak, A, The effect of transborder data flow restrictions on American multinational corporations, Management International Review 30(3), (1990), 267-289.

Savage, N and Edwards, C, Transborder data flows: the European Convention and United Kingdom legislation, International Comparative Law Quarterly 35 (3), (1986), 710-717.

Sayers, D, Educational equity issues in an information age, Teachers College Record 96(4), (1995), 767 774

Scrivens, JE, Information technology and social policy, Social Policy & Administration 20(2), 1986, 117 124

Sell, SK, Intellectual property protection and antitrust in the developing world: crisis, coercion and choice, *International Organization* 49(2, (1995), 315-349.

Shade, LR, Wired in the ivory tower: access and copyright issues surrounding the Internet and higher education in North America, Education for Information 13(3), (1995), 211-228.

Sharp, M, Freedom of information: have we gone too far?, Canadian Public Administration 29 4, (1986, 571 578

Sherman, B, Governing science: patents and public sector research, Science in Context 7(3), (1994, 515-537

Sinrod, EJ, Improving access to government information in an era of budgetary constraints, *Urban Lawyer 27 1*, (1995, 105-128.

Sisodia, RS, Singapore invests in the nation corporation, Harvard Business Review 70(3), (1992, 40-42

Slater, RB, The sunshine factor: Freedom of Information Act reveals black faculty and administrative employment statistics at major US universities, *Journal of Blacks in Higher Education* 7, (1995), 91-96.

Spero, JE, Information: the policy void, Foreign Policy 48, (1982), 139-156.

Sprehe, JT, Developing a Federal policy on electronic collection and dissemination of information, Government Publications Review 11 5), (1984), 353-362.

Stuck, JM and Schroeder, DL, Transborder data flows usage by United States subsidiaries in Mexico and Hispanic South America: a preliminary regional study, Journal of International Business Studies 25(2, (1994), 389-401.

Subramanian, A, The international economics of intellectual property right protection: a welfare theoretic trade policy analysis, World Development 19(8), (1991), 945-956.

Thomas, R, The secrecy and freedom of information debates in Britain, Government and Opposition 17(3), (1982), 293-311.

Tonn, BE, Global society and information technology: social science challenges in the 21st century, Social Science Computer Review 14(1), (1996), 78-80.

Treitler, I, Culture and the problem of universal access to electronic information systems, Social Science Computer Review 14(1), (1996), 62-64.

Tsanacas, D, The transborder data flow in the New World Information Order: privacy or control?, Review of Social Economy 43(3), (1985), 357-370.

Ullmann, J and List, K, An analysis of government cost estimates of Freedom of Information Act compliance, Journalism Quarterly 62(3), (1985), 465-473.

Waldron, GJ and Israel, JA, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act 1988, Duke Law Journal 3, (1989, 686-737.

Wang, VWC, Developing the information industry in Taiwan: entrepreneurial state, guerrilla capitalists and accommodative technologists, *Pacific Affairs* 68(4), (1996), 551.

Waples, E and Norris, DM, Information systems and transborder data flow, Journal of Sy tems Management 43 1, (1992), 28-31.

Webber, D, Rhodes, M, Richardson, JJ and Moon, J, Information technology and economic recovery in Western Europe the role of the British, French and West German governments, *Policy Sciences* 19 3, (1986, 319-346.

Webster, F and Robins, K, Plan and control: towards a cultural history of the information society, *Theory and Society 18(3*, (1989), 323-351.

Weil, V, Policy incentives and constraints on scientific and technical information, Science, Technology and Human Values 13 1/2, (1988), 17-26.

Weingarten, FW and Garcia, DL, Public policy concerning the exchange and distribution of scientific information, Annals of the American Academy of Political and Social Science 495, (1988, 6172

Weinreb, LL, Custom, law and public policy: the INS case as an example for intellectual property, Virginia Law Review 78(1, (1992), 141-147.

Wilson, LJ and Almuhanna, I, The political economy of information: the impact of transborder data flows, Journal of Peace Research 22 4), (1985), 289-301.

Winters, PM, Revitalizing the sanctions provision of the Freedom of Information Act amendments of 1974, Georgetown Law Journal 84 3), (1996), 617-639.

#### Cluster 6: Liberal Lawyers

Appleyard, RK, Information industry: what it contributes, where it is going, its impact on information provision in the public sector: general and official view from the standpoint of the operator and producer, Aslib Proceedings 31(2), (1979), 64-73.

Beresford, JC and Pomerance, DS, Federal policy about machine-readable statistical documents, Government Publications Review 8(3), (1981), 221-229.

Berninger, DE, Some reflections on Federal policy for secondary information systems and services, 1945-1981, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 33(3), (1982), 162 167

Blasingame, R, Public library and information policy, Library Journal 104(16), (1979), 1818-1822

Bonine, JE, Public interest fee waivers under the Freedom of Information Act, Duke Law Journal 2, (1981, 213-278

Bowyer, TH, National library co-ordination and the art of the possible: political and administrative factors affecting planning and policy in the United Kingdom, *Libri 31(1)*, 1981, 82 92

Brimmer, KW, United States telecommunications common carrier policy, Annual Review of Information Science and Technology 17, (1982), 33-82.

Clark, E, Holding government information accountable: amended Freedom of Information Act article in honour of Rodell, F, Yale Law Journal 84(4), (1975), 741-769.

Cole, PE, The Freedom of Information Act and the Central Intelligence Agency's paper chase: a need for Congressional action to maintain essential secrecy for intelligence files while preserving the public's right to know, Notre Dame Law Review 58(2), (1982), 350-381.

Connolly, WB and Fox, JC, Employer rights and access to documents under Freedom of Information Act, Fordham Law Review 46(2, (1977), 203-240.

Coombe, GW and Kirk, SL, Privacy, data protection and transborder data flow: a corporate response to international expectations, Business Lawyer 39(1), (1983), 33-66.

Crist, PI, Administrative law: Freedom of Information Act and equitable discretion 5 USC 552, 1970, Denver Law Journal 51(2), (1974), 263-274.

Davies, NE, The health sciences information struggle: the private information industry versus the National Library of Medicine, New England Journal of Medicine 307(3), (1982), 201 204

De Sola Pool, I and Solomon, RJ, Intellectual property and transborder data flows, Stanford Journal of International Law 16, (1980), 113-139.

Demetriades, PB, Administrative secrecy and data privacy legislation, Journal of Systems Management 27 10), (1976), 24-29

Dosa, M and Genova, BK, Policy implications of health information sharing, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 17, (1980), 109-111.

Dougherty, RM, Research libraries and a national information policy, College and Research Libraries 40(1), (1979), 8-10.

Drachsler, DA, Freedom of Information Act and right of non-disclosure, Administrative Law Review 28(1), (1976), 1 11.

Durrance, JC, A model for the selection of factors which affect the public policy information needs of citizen groups, Library Research 4(1), (1982), 23-49.

Dwyer, KC and Rush, PG, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act, 1983, Duke Law Journal 2, (1984), 377-421.

Easterbrook, FH, Privacy and the optimal extent of disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act, Journal of Legal Studies 9(4), (1980), 755-800.

Ewing, KP, Innovation and antitrust: some thoughts on government patent policy, Journal of the Patent Office Society 60 12, (1978), 736-744.

Fajans, AE, Media use of the Freedom of Information Act, Government Information Quarterly 14, 1984, 351 364

Feeley, J, Freedom of information attitudes, rights, laws and policy, Canadian Journal of Information Science 5, (1980, 1-9.

Feldman, SW, The government's commercial data privilege under Exemption 5 of the Freedom of Information Act, Military Law Review 105, (1984), 125-143.

Flaherty, DH, The need for an American Privacy Protection Commission, Government Information Quarterly 13, 1984, 235-258.

Florance, V, Presidential policy and information dissemination: an analysis of the Reagan moratorium on government publishing, Government Information Quarterly 1(3), (1984), 273-284

Furby, TE, Freedom of Information Act: survey of litigation under exemptions, Mississippi Law Journal 48 4, (1977, 784-817.

Garfinkel, S, Executive co-ordination and oversight of security classification administration, Government Information Quarterly 1(2), (1984), 157-164.

Gillham, V, Availability of Canadian government information, Government Publications Review 8 4), (1981), 337-341.

Gilson, RP, Administrative disclosure of private business records under Freedom of Information Act. analysis of alternative methods of review, Syracuse Law Journal 28(4), (1977), 923 980.

Goodale, JC, Senate Bill No 1 and Freedom of Information Act: do they conflict?, Administrative Law Review 28(3, (1976), 347-362.

Gordon, MD, Deficiencies of scientific information access and output in less developed countries, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 30(6), (1979), 340-342.

Hallewell, L, Government publishing in Brazil, Government Information Quarterly 1(3), (1984), 259 271.

Halperin, MH, Security classification and the secrecy system, Government Information Quarterly 1(2), (1984), 117-125.

Hartle, TW and Chitwood, SR, Increasing public access to government: the implementation and impact of the Government in the Sunshine Act, Government Publications Review 10(3), (1983), 269 282.

Hernon, P and McClure, CR, Impact from United States government printing on public access to information, *Drexel Library Quarterly 20(3)*, (1984), 42-62.

Hulett, M, Privacy and Freedom of Information Act, Administrative Law Review 27(3), 1975), 275-294

Johnson, IY, Dissemination of research results and a national information policy, College and Research Libraries 40(1), (1979), 11-16.

Kalo, JJ, Deterring misuse of confidential government information: proposed citizens' action, Michigan Law Review 72 8, (1974), 1577-1610.

Katz, AM, Government information leaks and First Amendment, California Law Review 64 1, (1976, 108-145

Kennedy, LA, Freed m of Information Act implementation: an evaluation of USDA and HHS, Government Publications Review 9 5, (1982, 453-463.

Knoppers, JVT, Transborder data flow issues and library information services, Canadian Journal of Information Science 8 1, (1983, 7-17.

Kramer, VH and Weinberg, DB, Freedom of Information Act, Georgetown Law Journal 63(1), 1974, 49-61

Lacher, DM, Freedom of Information Act amendments of 1974: analysis, Syracuse Law Review 26 3, 1975, 951-993.

Le Coadic, YF, A science policy for information: the French scene, International Forum on Information and Documentation 16 4), (1991), 3-8.

Le Coadic, YF, A science policy for scientific information, Journal of Information Science 18 3. (1992), 171 177.

Levin, RM, Camera inspections under Freedom of Information Act, University of Chicago Law Review 41 3, (1974), 557-581.

Levine, JL, Judicial review of classified documents: amendments to Freedom of Information Act, Harvard Journal on Legislation 12(3), (1975), 415-446.

Lewy, G. Do we need an Official Secrets Act?, Policy Review 26(1), (1983), 17-29.

Lynch, MH, Secrecy agreements and national security, Government Information Quarterly 1(2), (1984), 139-156.

Madans, AS, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act, 1979, Duke Law Journal 1, (1980), 139 169.

Marino, RJ, New York's Freedom of Information Law, Fordham Law Review 43(1), (1974), 83 92.

Masanz, SD, Analysis of agency compliance with the indexing requirements of the Freedom of Information Act as amended, Government Publications Review 6(3), (1979), 249-262.

McCamus, JD, Freedom of information in Canada, Government Publications Review 10(1), (1983), 51 60

McGowan, JP, Private university libraries and a national information policy, College and Research Libraries 40 1, (1979), 17-21.

Meadows, AJ, Government-funded information resources: critique of government policy in providing and co-ordinating information, Aslib Proceedings 29(11), (1977), 384-389.

Methven, BE, First Amendment standards for subsequent punishment of dissemination of confidential government information, California Law Review 68(1), (1980), 83-105.

Michael, J, Official information law in the United Kingdom, Government Publications Review 10(1, (1983, 6170

Mitau, GT, Comprehensive fair information standards law: commentary on data privacy issue in Minnesota, Minnesota Law Review 62 4, (1978), 649-679.

M ffat, WA, College libraries and a national information policy: whistling in the graveyard, College and Research Libraries 40 1, (1979), 22-25.

Novotny, EJ, Transborder data flows and international law: a framework for policy-oriented inquiry, Stanford Journal of International Law 16(2, (1980), 141-180.

O'Brien, DM, Freedom of information, privacy and information control: contemporary administrative dilemma, *Public Administration Review 39(4)*, (1979), 323-328.

O'Reilly, JT, Government disclosure of private secrets under Freedom of Information Act, Business Lawyer 30 4), (1975), 1125-1147.

Ostrach, SS, Relationship between the Sunshine and Freedom of Information Acts, Federal Bar Journal 38(2 4), (1979, 182-188.

Patten, TL and Weinstein, KW, Disclosure of business secrets under Freedom of Information Act. suggested limitations, Administrative Law Review 29(2), (1977), 193-208.

Peacock, JR, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act, 1981, Duke Law Journal 2, (1981), 338 376.

Peterson, TH, After five years: an assessment of the amended United States Freedom of Information Act, American Archivist 43(2), (1980), 161-168.

Phillips, P, Are trade secrets dead? The effect of the Toxic Substances Control Act and the Freedom of Information Act on trade secrets, *Journal of the Patent Office Society* 62(11), (1980), 652 677.

Pirainio, TA, Public disclosure of confidential business information under Freedom of Information Act: toward a more objective standard, Cornell Law Review 60(1), (1974), 109-130.

Plesser, R, Future legislative developments concerning privacy and freedom of information, Business Lawyer 34(1), (1979), 1137-1145.

Polk, VH, Publication under the Freedom of Information Act of statements of general policy and interpretations of general applicability, *University of Chicago Law Review 47(2)*, (1980), 351 372

Rabinowitz, RG, The applicability of the Freedom of Information Act's disclosure requirements to intellectual property, Notre Dame Lawyer 57(3), (1982), 561-579.

Relyea, HC, Freedom of information and government printing, Government Publications Review 7 5, (1980), 407-415

Relyea, HC, The rise and pause of the United States Freedom of Information Act, Government Publications Review 10(1, (1983), 19-33.

Relyea, HC, Shrouding the endless frontier: scientific communication and national security: considerations for a policy balance sheet, Government Information Quarterly 1(1), (1984), 1-14

Relyea, HC, Increased national security controls on scientific communication, Government Information Quarterly 1(2, 1984, 177-207.

Riley, T, Freedom of Information Acts: a comparative perspective, Government Publications Review 10(1, (1983, 81-87.

Rose, FE, Publishing and information policies of the Government of Canada: some recent developments, Government Publications Review 5 4, (1978), 391-397.

Rose, FE, Telidon and Canadian government information, Government Publications Review 94, 1982, 345-350

Rosenberg, V, National information policies, Annual Review of Information Science and Technology 17, (1982, 3-32

Rosenberg, V, Information policies of developing countries: the case of Brazil, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 33(4), (1982), 203-207.

Rosenbloom, HD, More IRS information may become public due to amended Freedom of Information Act, Journal of Taxation 45 5), (1976), 258-263.

Rosenfeld, FA, Freedom of Information Act's privacy exemption and Privacy Act of 1974, Harvard Civil Rights and Liberties Law Review 11 3, (1976), 596-631.

Saloschi, RL, Administrative secrecy: Freedom of Information Act: governmental perspective, *Public Administration Review 35(1)*, (1975), 10-14.

Schnapper, MB, Privatizing government-funded information, Government Information Quarterly 1(2), (1984), 209-216.

Schwarzkopf, LC, The proposed National Depository Agency and transfer of the Public Documents Library to the National Archives, Government Information Quarterly 1(1), (1984), 27-47.

Slanker, BO, Public libraries and information industry, *Drexel Library Quarterly 12(1/2)*, (1976), 139 148

Smith, J, The Freedom of Information Act of 1966: a legislative history analysis, Law Library Journal 74(2), (1981), 231-280.

Solte, EL, Library policy in the Federal Government, Zeitschrift fur Bibliothekswesen und Bibliographie 38 5), (1991), 425-436.

Sprehe, JT, OMB Circular A-130, the management of Federal information resources: its origin and impact, Government Information Quarterly 4(2), (1987), 189-196.

Stalling, CW, Local information policy: confidentiality and public access, *Public Administration Review 34 3*, (1974), 197-204.

Stein, AJ, Fold and FACA. freedom of information in fifth branch, Administrative Law Review 27 1, (1975, 31-81

Steinberg, MI, 1974 amendments to Freedom of Information Act: safety valve provision Section 552 a6c) excusing agency compliance with statutory time limits: proposed interpretation, Notre Dame Lawyer 52(2, (1976), 235-260.

Stephen, P, Implications for NATIS policy and of international co-operative schemes for the United Kingdom, *Journal of Librarianship 9 3*, (1977), 166-185.

Stiles, WG, Freedom of inf rmation and our state libraries, Canadian Library Journal 37(6), 1980, 391-395

Stokes, JE, Federal publications cutbacks: implications for libraries, Government Information Quarterly 1 1, (1984, 49-57.

Sugmoto, Y, A comparative study of information policies of OECD, EC, UNESCO from the viewpoint of information policy documents, *Library and Information Science Research 23*, (1985, 77 91.

Sulzer, J, US depository librarians in reality and myth: a framework for a future government information program, *Journal of Government Information 23(3)*, (1996), 307-325.

Swanson, DR, Evolution, libraries and national information policy, Library Quarterly 50(1), (1980), 76-93

Tan, ZX, China's information superhighway: what it is and who controls it, Telecommunications Policy 19(9), (1995), 721-731.

Theohams, AG, The Freedom of Information Act and the intelligence agencies, Government Publications Review 9 1, (1982), 37-44.

Toran, J, Information disclosure in civil actions: the Freedom of Information Act and the Federal discovery rules, George Washington Law Review 49(5), (1981), 843-872.

Trauth, EM; Trauth, DM and Huffman, JL, The policy implications of the use of cable television for data transmission, Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the American Society for Information Science 17, (1980), 106-108.

Varga, WR, The Freedom of Information Act: the right to access United States government files, Reference Quarterly 24(2), (1984), 169-176.

Veith, RH, Informatics and transborder data flow: question of social impact, Journal of the American Society for Information Science 31(2), (1980), 105-110.

Wald, PM, The Freedom of Information Act: a short case study in the perils and paybacks of legislating democratic values, *Emory Law Journal 33(3)*, (1984), 649-683.

Walker, RH, Vaughn v Rosen: new meaning for Freedom of Information Act, Temple Law Review 47(2), (1974), 390-402

Waples, GL, Freedom of Information Act: seven year assessment, Columbia Law Review 74 5, (1974, 895-959

Weaver, PP, Developments under the Freedom of Information Act, 1978, Duke Law Journal 1, (1979), 327-354

Wion, AH, Definition of agency records under the Freedom of Information Act, Stanford Law Review 31 6, (1979, 1093-1115.

Yurow, J, Privacy legislation and the restriction of transborder data flow, Information Age 5 1, (1983, 11 15

Zwirn, J, Federal printing policies, 1789-1861, Government Publications Review 7(3), (1980), 177 187

## Appendix C List of institutional authors

#### Appendix C: List of institutional authors

Access Innovations Inc.

Administrative Staff College, Hyderabad

Al Asad National Library

Allen Allen & Hemsley

American Association for the Advancement of Science

American Bar Association

American Civil Liberties Union

American Enterprise Institute of Public Policy

American Express Corp.

American Library Association

American University

AMT GmbH

Arab Centre for Medical Literature

Arizona State University Center for Public Affairs

Arthur D Little Inc.

Aslıb

Association des Documentalistes et Bibliothecaires Specialises

Association of Research Libraries

AT&T Bell Laboratories

Australian National University

Austrian Academy of Sciences

#### Baden Wurttenberg Ministry of Culture and the Arts

Bank America

Baylor University Center for Private Enterprise

Bedford College London

Bell Communications Research Inc.

Bentley College Department of Computing & Information Systems

Berzsenyi College Department of Library Science

Bibliotheque Municipale Fresnes

Bibliotheque Nationale

Birkbeck College London Department of Management

Boeing Corp.

Boston University

British Library

Brunel University Health Economics Research Group

## Calvin College

Cambridge City Council

Canadian Department of Communication

Canadian Federal Department of Justice

Caplan & Drysdale

Carleton University School of Journalism

Center for National Security Studies

CITECH Ltd.

City University

Cleveland State University Marshall College of Law

CNR Rome

**CNRS** Paris

Cole Raywid & Braverman

Columbia University
Commission of the European Communities
Commonwealth Parliamentary Office Melbourne
Communications Satellite Corp.
Computer Professionals for Social Responsibility
Concordia University
Coopers & Lybrand
Council of Library Resources
Cyber Lynx Gateway Corp.

Danish Research Administration
Danish Veterinary & Agriculture Library
Data Protection Registrar
Data Use and Access
Deutsches Bundespost
District of Columbia Bar Association
Duke University
Dutch Organisation for Information Policy

Ealing College of Higher Education
East-West Center
Economic & Social Research Council
Educational Policy Research Institute
Electronic Data Systems Corp.
Electronic Frontier Foundation
Electronic Privacy Information Center
Electronic Publishing Services Ltd
Emmanuel College Cambridge
Engineering Information Inc.
European Patent Office

Florida State University College of Business Forecasting International Ltd Foreign and Commonwealth Office London Freedom of Information Clearinghouse

GATT Secretariat
George Mason University
George Washington University
Georgetown University
Georgia Institute of Technology
Gesellschaft fur Information und Dokumentation mbH
Gesellschaft fur Mathematik und Datenverarbeitung GmbH
Glasgow College of Technology Library

Hancock Rothert & Bunshoft Harry N Rosenfield Law Office Harvard University Hays State University Herner & Co.
Hong Kong University of Science and Technology
Hopkins Sutter Mulroy Davis & Cromartie
Hudson Institute
Humbolt University

IDATE Montpellier
IIT Inc.
Indian Ministry of Science & Technology
Infoman Inc.
Information and Community Development Foundation
Information Industry Association
Information Security Engineering Inc.
Infotek Consulting Services
Institute for Industrial Research and Standards Dublin
Institute for International Information Programs
Institute of Defense Analysis
International Freedom of Information Commission
International Peace Research Institute
IVIR Inc.

John Jay College Department of Public Administration

Keio University Graduate School of Library and Information Science Komtech GmbH
Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

Legi Slate Inc.

Library of Congress Congressional Research Service
Library of Parliament Ottawa
Lincoln Benefit Life Co.
London Business School
London Research Centre
London School of Economics
Loughborough University
Louisiana State University School of Library & Information Studies

Manchester Metropolitan University
Marino Bernstein La Marca & Goldberg
Marquette University Department of History
Massachussetts Institute of Technology
McGill University Graduate Programme in Communication
Mead Data Central Inc.
Michigan State University
Minneapolis Star & Tribune
Monash University
Montana State University Library

### Nasa

National Centre for Science and Technology Tel Aviv National Development Office Chad National Library of Australia National Library of Malaysia National Library of New Zealand National Library of Venezuela National Science Library Ottawa National Taiwan University College of Management New Statesman New York State Library Center for Cultural Education New York State Senate New York Times New York University No Pipeline Agency North Carolina Legislature Northwestern University Nottingham Trent University Nuffield Foundation

Oak Ridge National Laboratory
OCLC
OECD
OFTEL
Ohio State University
Oxford Polytechnic

Pacific Telesis Research Center
Parliament of Australia
Penn State University Library
People: The American Way
Pepper Hamilton & Scheetz
Policy Studies Institute
Polytechnic of Central London Faculty of Law
Public Citizen Litigation Group
Purdue University Department of Communications

Quebec Commission on Access to Information
Queen Margaret College Edinburgh
Queen Mary College London
Queens University Belfast Department of Information Studies

Reporters' Commission into the Freedom of the Press RFP Inc. Rivers State University Royal School of Librarianship Copenhagen Rutgers State University

#### Appendix C: List of institutional authors

San Diego Public Libraries San Diego State University Library Simmons College School of LIS Slippery Rock University Slovakian Academy of Sciences Smithsonian Institute Solon Consultants South Africa Department of National Education Library Services Special Libraries Association Sprehe Information Management Associates SRA Corp. St Mary's University Halifax St Peters College Stanford University Stetson University Library Strategic Vision Inc. Suny University Albany Supreme Court New South Wales SW Missouri State University School of Accountancy Syracuse University School of Information Studies

## Taxpayers Assets Project

Temple University Department of Marketing Texas Technical University Tokyo University Institute of New Technology Trinity College Dublin School of Business Studies Twentieth Century Fund NY

## UN Food and Agriculture Organisation

UNCTAD UNESCO

Unilever Research Laboratories

University College Dublin

University of Adelaide Library

University of Alabama

University of Amsterdam Department of Media and Information Law

University of Arizona

University of Aston Technology Policy Unit

University of Bahrain

University of Botswana & Swaziland

University of Bradford Department of Science and Society

University of Brasilia

University of California Berkeley

University of California Irvine School of Management

University of California Los Angeles

University of California San Diego Department of Communications

University of Capetown School of Librarianship

University of Chicago

University of Cincinnati College of Law

University of Colorado

Appendix C: List of institutional authors University of Connecticut University of Dar Es Salaam University of Delaware University of Denver University of Durham University of Edinburgh Department of Politics University of Exeter Library University of Florida University of Glasgow Department of Jurisprudence University of Guelph Library University of Haifa School of Law University of Ibadan Africa Regional Centre for Information Science University of Idaho Department of Economics University of Illinois University of Indiana University of Iowa University of Jena Library University of Kentucky University of Kiel Department of Sociology University of Lagos Library

University of Leicester Primary Communications Research Centre University of Maine Center for Geographic Information and Analysis

University of Manchester PREST

University of Maryland Department of Information Systems

University of Massachussetts

University of Miami University of Michigan University of Minnesota

University of Nevada Department of Accounting

University of New Hampshire School of Business and Economics

University of Nigeria Department of Library Science

University of North Carolina

University of Northern Iowa Department of Political Science

University of Oklahoma Library

University of Oregon University of Osijek

University of Oslo Norwegian Centre for Computing and Law

University of Pennsylvania

University of Pittsburgh School of Library and Information Science

University of Queensland

University of Regensburg Faculty of Law

University of Rhode Island College of Business Administration

University of San Francisco University of Saskatchewan

University of Sheffield

University of South Carolina College of Business Administration

University of Southern California School of Business Administration

University of Strathclyde

University of Sussex Science Policy Research Unit

University of Sydney Library

University of Technology Sydney School of Information Studies

University of Texas

#### Appendix C: List of institutional authors

University of Tokyo Institute of Social Science

University of Toronto Faculty of Information Studies

University of Toronto Press

University of Tulsa Library

University of Utah Library

University of Utara

University of Vermont

University of Victoria Library

University of Vienna

University of Virginia

University of Western Australia School of Agriculture

University of Western Ontario

University of Western Virginia Library

University of Wisconsin

University of Zambia Dpartment of Library Studies

US Bureau of Census

US Bureau of Labor Statistics

US Court of Appeals

US Department of Commerce

US Department of Defense

US Department of Justice

US Department of Labor

US Department of the Interior

US Environmental Protection Agency

US Federal Communications Commission

US General Accounting Office

US General Service Administration

US Geological Survey

US Government Printing Office

US House of Representatives

US National Archives and Records Administration

US National Commission on Library and Information Science

US National Institute of Standards and Technology

US National Library of Medicine

US National Science Foundation

US National Technical Information Service

US National Telecommunications and Information Administration

US Nuclear Regulatory Commission

US Office of Management and Budget Watch

US Office of Scientific and Technical Information

US Office of Staff

US Office of Technology Assessment

**US** Senate

USN Inc.

Utah State University

Valparaiso University
Vancouver Public Libraries
Verband Druckindustrie
Victoria Health Commission
Villanova University School of Law

#### Appendix C: List of institutional authors

Washington & Lee University School of Law
Wayne State University Library
Western Illinois University Library
Western Michigan University Department of Communications
Western Washington University College of Business and Economics
White House Domestic Policy Staff
Wilfred Laurier University
Wissenschaftliches Institut Bonn
Wolters Kluwer Inc.
World Foundation for Environment and Development
World Intellectual Property Organisation

Yale University
York University New York

# Appendix D Content analysis: coding frame and results

## Coding frame

#### Variables 1-5: Publication details

No.	Label	Field description	Data type	Coding scheme
1	PAPR	Article identifier	nominal	n/a
2	YEAR	Year of publication	interval	last two digits, e.g. 84
3	JCAT	ISI journal category	nominal	1 - information & library science
•			•	2 - communications studies
				3 · law
				4 - public administration
				5 - politics / international relations
				6 - business and management
				7 - social sciences
				8 - other
4	GEOJ	Geographical location	nominal	1 · North America
•	GEOJ		DOMINAL	
		of journal publisher		2 - Europe
				3 - Other developed countries
_			•	4 - The South
5	LANG	Publication language	nominal	1 - English
				2 - other
Vari	ables 6-	8: Author details		
6	AUTH	Number of authors	interval	n/a
7	INST	Corporate affiliation	nominal	1 - Academic LIS department
•	1101	of first author	withia	2 - Other academic department
		Or Dist addor		3 - Research institute
				4 - Government department agency
				5 - National intenational NGO
				6 - Professional association
				7 - Private sector / trade body
				8 - Personal capacity 9 - University / government library
	GROA	Commented House		10 - North America
8	GEUA	Geographical location of first author	nominal	11 - North America 11 - United States of America
		Of Dist saffor		
				12 - Canada
				20 - Europe
				21 - United Kingdom
				22 - France
				23 - Germany 24 - Other European Union
				25 - other (non-EU)
				30 - Other developed countries
				30 - Other developed countries 31 - Australasia
				32 - Japan
				33 - other 40 - The South
				41 - Africa
				42 - Middle East
				43 - South East Asia
				44 - Latin America 45 - India
				40 - IDIII

## Variables 9-14: Bibliometric indicators

9 10	RNKJ BRDJ	Journal rank Bradford journal sone	ordinal nominal	n/a 1 - Bradford zone 1 2 - Bradford zone 2
				3 - Bradford zone 3
				4 - Bradford sone 4
				5 - Bradford zone 5
11	RNKA	Author rank	ordinal	n/a
12	BRDA	Bradford author sone	nominal	1 - Bradford sone 1
				2 - Bradford zone 2
				3 - Bradford zones 3-7
13	CITS	No. of cited works	ınterval	n/a
14	HITS	Times article cited	ınterval	n/a

## Variable 15: Subject analysis

15	SBJC	Subject analysis of article	nominal	10 - General articles 11 - Theoretical articles 12 - National and international policies 20 - Information infrastructure policies 21 - Research & development 22 - Libraries, archives and public records 23 - Telecommunications 24 - Information technology policies 30 - IRM in government 31 - Acquisition of information 32 - IRM policy and practice 33 - Dissemination policies 40 - Information access and control 41 - Freedom of access to information 42 - Confidentiality and privacy 43 - National security 50 - Information industry policies 51 - Standards and protocols 52 - Copyright and intellectual property 53 - Industry market regulation 54 - Trade in information services 55 - Public-private synergy policies
----	------	-----------------------------	---------	---

#### Variables 16-26: Intellectual perspective

16	TYPE	Type of article	nominal	1 - Based on original research 2 - Not research-based
17	MTHD	Research method	nominal	z - Not research-based 10 - Empirical research strategies
				11 - Historical research
				12 - Comparative studies
				13 - Survey methods
				14 - Case studies
				15 - Secondary data analysis
				17 - Bibliometric technique
				20 - Conceptual research strategies
				21 - Literature review
				22 - Development of new frameworks
18	POC8	Analytical focus	nominal	1 - Analysis of policy determination
				2 - Analysis of policy content
				3 - Policy monitoring and evaluation
				4 - Information for policy
				5 - Policy advocacy
19	AIMS	Main objective of	nominal	1 - Clarifying the problem
		article		2 - Screening the alternatives
				3 - Predicting the environment
				4 - Modelling the impacts
				5 - Ranking the alternatives
20	STYL	Analytical style	nominal	1 - Reactive
				2 - Anticipatory
21	SCAL	Information policy	nominal	1 - Global
	_	scale		2 - International
				3 - National
				4 - Regional
				5 - Industrial sectoral
22	HIER	Information policy	nomina)	1 - Infrastructural policies
		hierarchy		2 - Horizontal information policies
		-		3 - Vertical information policies
23	INTN	Intent of article	nominal	1 - Descriptive
				2 - Prescriptive
24	SCOP	Scope of article	nominal	1 - Particular
				2 - Integrative
25	ROWL	Information policy	nominal	1 - Classification
		research strategies		2 - Issues and options
				3 - Reductionism
				4 - Scenarios and forecasts
				5 - Case studies
26	STGE	Stage in policy life-	nominal	1 - Policy deaign
		cycle		2 - Policy implementation
				3 - Policy evaluation
				4 - Multi-stage

## Results

#### Variables 1-5: Publication details

Variable 1: Article identifier (not analysed).

Variable 2: Year of publication

year	frequency	valid percentage
1972	3	0.4
1973	6	0.8
1974	11	1.4
1975	10	1.3
1976	11	1.4
1977	16	2.1
1978	8	1.0
1979	30	3.9
1980	28	3.6
1981	18	2.3
1982	23	3.0
1983	23	3.0
1984	32	4.2
1985	31	4.0
1986	54	7.0
1987	32	4.2
1988	44	5.7
1989	39	5.1
1990	40	5.2
1991	42	5.4
1992	43	5.6
1993	41	5.3
1994	78	10.1
1995	66	8.6
1996(a)	42	5.4
	771	100.0

#### (a) Part-year only.

## Variable 3: ISI journal category

category	frequency	valid percentage
Library & information science		
	540	71.0
Law	92	12.1
Public administration	32	4.2
Politics & international		
relations	31	4.1
Communications studies	26	3.4
Social sciences	24	3.2
Business & management	15	2.0
	760	100.0
MISSING DATA	11	

## Variable 4: Geographical location of journal publisher

location	frequency	valid percentage
North America	537	69.6
Europe	211	27.4
Other developed nations	23	3.0
The South	0	0.0
	771	100.0

## Variable 5: Publication language

language	frequency	valid percentage
English	751	97.4
Non-English	20	2.6
	771	100.0

#### Variables 6-8: Author details

#### Variable 6: Number of authors

authors	frequency	valid percentage
1	630	81.7
2	106	13.7
3	25	3.2
4	6	0.8
5	1	0.1
7	3	0.4
	771	100.0

#### Variable 7: Corporate affiliation of first author

affiliation	frequency	valid percentage
Other academic department	226	30.2
Academic LIS department	111	14.9
University / government		
library	110	14.8
Government dept /agency	102	13.7
Private sector / trade body	67	9.0
Research institute	54	7.2
Personal capacity	28	3.7
National / international NGO	25	3.3
Professional association	24	3.2
	747	100.0
MISSING DATA	24	

#### Variable 8: Geographical location of first author

location	frequency	valid percentage
USA	529	68.6
UK	84	10.9
Other EU	34	4.4
Canada	33	4.3
Germany	23	3.0
Australasia	19	2.4
Africa	11	1.4
France	8	1.0
Non-EU European	8	1.0
South East Asia	6	0.8
Japan	5	0.6
Middle East	5	0.6
India	4	0.5
South America	2	0.3
	771	100.0

#### Variables 9-14: Bibliometric indicators

Variable 9: Journal rank

rank	frequency	valid percentage
1	150	19.5
2	87	11.3
3	64	8.3
4	30	3.9
5	24	3.1
6	16	2.1
7	16	2.1
8	13	1.7
9	30	3.9
11	18	2.3
13	8	1.0
14	44	5.7
21	19	2.5
24	31	4.0
33	65	8.4
55	58	7.5
85	98	12.7
	771	100.0

#### Variable 10: Bradford journal zone

zone	frequency	valid percentage
1	150	19.5
2	150	19.5
3	146	18.9
4	186	21.8
5	157	20.4
	771	100.0

#### Variable 11: Author rank

rank	frequency	valid pe	rcentage
1	18		2.3
2	12	à	1.6
3	10		1.3
4	7		0.9
5	5		0.6
6	16		2.1
10	39		5.1
23	108		14.0
77	556		72.1
	771		100.0

#### Variable 12: Bradford author zone

zone	frequency	valid percentage
1	108	14.0
2	107	13.9
3-7	556	72.1
	771	100.0

#### Variable 13: Number of cited works

Sum	16,233
Range	0 - 357
Mode	0
Median	13.00
Mean	21.05
Standard deviation	29.87

#### Variable 14: Times article cited

Sum	1,191
Range	0 - 73
Mode	0
Median	1.00
Mean	1.54
Standard deviation	3.48

## Variable 15: Subject analysis

subject	frequency	valid percentage
Freedom of information	127	16.5
Telecommunications	68	8.8
Inter/national policies	65	8.4
National security	54	7.0
Dissemination policies	53	6.9
Confidentiality and privacy	53	6.9
Libraries and archives	50	6.5
IRM policy and practice	48	6.2
Theoretical aspects	46	6.0
Industry / market regulation	43	5.6
Research & development	35	4.5
Copyright and IPRs	30	3.9
Trade in information	30	3.9
IT policies	28	3.6
Public-private synergy	23	3.0
Acquisition of information	12	1.6
Standards and protocols	6	0.8
	771	. 100.0

## Variables 16-26: Intellectual perspective

## Variable 16: Type of article

type	frequency	valid percentage
Based on original research	290	46.8
Not research-based	330	53.2
	620	100.0
NOT ASSIGNED	151	100000000000000000000000000000000000000

Variable 17: Research method

method	frequency	valid percentage
Historical method	37	12.8
Comparative study	25	8.6
Survey method	22	7.6
Case study	41	14.1
Secondary data analysis	17	5.9
Bibliometric technique	9	3.1
Literature review	82	28.3
Conceptual analysis	57	19.7
	290	100.0
NOT ASSIGNED	481	

#### Variable 18: Analytical focus

focus	frequency	valid percentage
Policy determination	50	
Policy content	40	
Policy evaluation	70	
Information for policy	80	
Policy advocacy	46	
	286	100.0
NOT ASSIGNED	485	

#### Variable 19: Main objective of article

objective	frequency	valid percentage
Clarifying the problem	150	
Screening alternatives	53	
Predicting the environment	30	
Modelling the impacts	33	
Ranking alternatives	21	
	287	100.0
NOT ASSIGNED	484	

## Variable 20: Analytical style

style	frequency	valid percentage
Anticipatory	189	. 97 0
Reactive	99	
	288	100.0
NOT ASSIGNED	483	

#### Variable 21: Information policy scale

scale	frequency	valid percentage
National	444	62.4
International	152	21.4
Industrial / sectoral	44	6.2
Global	40	5.6
Regional	31	4.4
	721	100.0
NOT ASSIGNED	60	

## Variable 22: Information policy hierarchy

scale	frequency	valid percentage
Infrastructural policies	180	63.2
Horizontal policies	83	29.1
Vertical policies	22	7.7
	285	100.0
NOT ASSIGNED	486	

# Variable 23: Intent of article

scale	frequency	valid percentage
Descriptive	203	70.2
Prescriptive	86	29.8
	289	100.0
NOT ASSIGNED	486	

#### Variable 24: Scope of article

scale	frequency	valid percentage
Particular	196	67.8
Integrative	93	32.2
	289	100.0
NOT ASSIGNED	486	

#### Variable 25: Information policy research strategies

scale	frequency	valid percentage
Classification	67	23.2
Issues and options	133	46.1
Reductionism	27	9.3
Scenarios and forecasts	22	7.6
Process-based studies	40	13.8
	289	100.0
NOT ASSIGNED	486	

#### Variable 26: Stage in policy life-cycle

scale	frequency	valid percentage
Policy design	127	45.9
Policy implementation	40	14.4
Policy evaluation	51	18.4
Multi-stage	59	21.3
	277	100.0
NOT ASSIGNED	494	······································

#### Column 1 - PAPR

#### Unique article reference

Print Format: F3 Write Format: F3

Missing Values: 772 thru \*\*\*, 0

#### Column 2 - AUTH

#### Number of authors

Print Format: F1
Write Format: F1

Missing Values: 8 thru 9, 0

#### Column 3 - GEOA

## Author country

Print Format: F2 Write Format: F2

Missing Values: 1 thru 9, 0

- 10 NORTH AMERICA
- 11 USA
- 12 Canada
- 20 EUROPE
- 21 UK
- 22 France
- 23 Germany
- 24 Other European Union
- 25 Other European
- 30 OTHER DEVELOPED COUNTRIES
- 31 Australia
- 32 New Zealand
- 33 Japan
- 34 Other OECD
- 40 THE SOUTH
- 41 Africa
- 42 Middle East
- 43 South East Asia
- 44 South America
- 45 India
- 46 Other South

#### Column 4 - GEOJ Journal title origin

Print Format: F1
Write Format: F1

Missing Values: 5 thru 9, 0

#### Value Label

- 1 North America
- 2 Europe
- 3 Other developed
- 4 The South

#### Column 5 - INST

#### Institutional affiliation

Print Format: F1
Write Format: F1

#### Value Label

- 0 Unknown
- 1 University LIS department
- 2 Other university department
- 3 Research institution
- 4 Govt department / agency
- 5 National / international NGO
- 6 Professional association
- 7 Private sector trade body
- 8 Personal capacity
- 9 Academic national libraries

#### Column 6 - YEAR

#### Year of publication

Print Format: F2
Write Format: F2

Missing Values: 0 thru 71, 97

#### Column 7 - LANG

#### Language

Print Format: F1
Write Format: F1

Missing Values: 3 thru 9, 0

Value Label

1 English

2 Non-English

## Column 8 - JCAT

## ISI journal category

Print Format: F1 Write Format: F1 Missing Values: 9, 0

## Value Label

- 1 LIS
- 2 Communications studies
- 3 Law
- 4 Public administration
- 5 Politics / international relations
- 6 Business and management
- 7 Social sciences
- 8 Other

#### Column 9 - RNKJ

#### Rank order: journal

Print Format: F2 Write Format: F2 Missing Values: 0

#### Column 10 - BRDJ

#### Bradford zone: journals

Print Format: F1 Write Format: F1

Missing Values: 6 thru 9, 0

#### Value Label

- 1 Bradford Zone 1
- 2 Bradford Zone 2
- 3 Bradford Zone 3
- 4 Bradford Zone 4
- 5 Bradford Zone 5

#### Column 11 - RNKA

#### Rank order: author

Print Format: F2 Write Format: F2 Missing Values: 0

#### Column 12 - BRDA

#### Bradford zone: author

Print Format: F1
Write Format: F1

Missing Values: 4 thru 9, 0

- Bradford Zone 1
   Bradford Zone 2
- 3 Bradford Zones 3-7

## Column 13 - SBJC Narrow subject

Print Format: F2 Write Format: F2

Missing Values: 0 thru 10

Value	Label
11	Theoretical aspects
12	National / international
21	Research & development
22	Libraries, archives & public records
23	Telecommunications
24	Information technology policies
31	Acquisition of information
32	IRM policy and practice
33	Dissemination policies
41	Freedom of information
42	Confidentiality and privacy
43	National security
51	Standards and protocols
52	Copyright and IPRs
53	Industry / market regulation
54	Trade in information services
55	Public-private synergy

#### Column 14 - SCAL Information policy scale

Print Format: F1
Write Format: F1

Missing Values: 6 thru 9

# Value Label Not applicable Global International National Regional Industrial / sectoral

#### Column 15 - HITS Times article cited

Print Format: F3 Write Format: F3

Column 16 - CITS Number of cited works

Number of cited work

Print Format: F3
Write Format: F3

#### Column 17 - SGEO

#### Author region

Print Format: F1
Write Format: F1

#### Value Label

- 1 North America
- 2 European Union
- 3 Rest of world

#### Column 18 - SSBJ

#### Broad subject

Print Format: F1
Write Format: F1

#### Value Label

- 1 General
- 2 Infrastructure
- 3 Information management
- 4 Access policies
- 5 Information industry

#### Column 19 - PRIC

#### Recent cits

Print Format: F4
Write Format: F4

#### Column 20 - INDX

#### Price's index

Print Format: F4.3 Write Format: F4.3

#### Column 21 - RATE

#### Mean annual citation rate

Print Format: F5.2 Write Format: F5.2

#### Column 22 - TYPE

#### Research papers

Print Format: F5 Write Format: F5

Missing Values: 4 thru 9, 0

- 1 Based on original research
- 2 Not research-based
- 3 Full text not obtained

## Column 23 - MTHD

#### Research method

Print Format: F5 Write Format: F5

Missing Values: 0 thru 9

Value	Label
10	EMPIRICAL RESEARCH STRATEGY
11	Historical research
12	Comparative study
13	Survey method
14	Case study
15	Secondary data analysis
16	Bibliometric technique
20	CONCEPTUAL RESEARCH STRATEGY
21	Literature review
22	New frameworks

#### Column 24 - ROWL

## Information policy research strategy

Print Format: F5 Write Format: F5

Missing Values: 7 thru 9, 0

Value	Label
1	Classification
2	Issues and options
3	Reductionism
4	Scenarios and forecasts
5	Process-based studies
6	Not assigned

## Column 25 - AIMS

#### Main objective

Print Format: F5 Write Format: F5

Missing Values: 7 thru 9, 0

Value	Label
1	Clarifying the problem
2	Screening alternatives
3	Predicting the environment
4	Modelling the impacts
5	Ranking alternatives
6	Not assigned

#### Column 26 - INTN Intent of article

Print Format: F5
Write Format: F5

Missing Values: 4 thru 9, 0

#### Value Label

- 1 Descriptive
- 2 Prescriptive
- 3 Not assigned

#### Column 27 - SCOP Scope of article

Print Format: F5

Write Format: F5

Missing Values: 4 thru 9, 0

#### Value Label

- 1 Particular
- 2 Integrative
- 3 Not assigned

#### Column 28 - STYL

#### Analytical style

Print Format: F5
Write Format: F5

Missing Values: 4 thru 9, 0

#### Value Label

- 1 Anticipatory
- 2 Reactive
- 3 Not assigned

#### Column 29 - FOCS

#### Analytical focus

Print Format: F5
Write Format: F5

Missing Values: 7 thru 9, 0

- 1 Policy determination
- 2 Policy content
- 3 Policy evaluation
- 4 Information for policy
- 5 Policy advocacy
- 6 Not assigned

#### Column 80 - STGE Stage in policy cycle

Print Format: F5 Write Format: F5

Missing Values: 6 thru 9, 0

#### Value Label

- 1 Policy design
- 2 Policy implementation
- 3 Policy evaluation
- 4 Multi-stage
- 5 Not assigned

#### Column 31 - HIER

#### Information policy hierarchy

Print Format: F5
Write Format: F5

Missing Values: 5 thru 9, 0

#### Value Label

- 1 Infrastructural policy
- 2 Horizontal policy
- 3 Vertical policy
- 4 Not assigned

#### Column 32 - ACAD

#### Academic / practitioner

Print Format: F6
Write Format: F6

#### Value Label

- 1 Academic
- 2 Practitioner
- 3 Other

#### Column 83 - LISS

#### LIS journal

Print Format: F5 Write Format: F5

Missing Values: 3 thru 9, 0

- 1 Yes, LIS journal
- 2 No, not LIS journal

#### Column 84 - HILO

High / low Price Index

Print Format: F5 Write Format: F5

Missing Values: 3 thru 9, 0

#### Value Label

Lower median Price Index
 Upper median Price Index

#### Column 85 - WIND

Windsor coefficient

Print Format: F5 Write Format: F5

Missing Values: 3 thru 9, 0

#### Value Label

1 No citations

2 One or more citations

#### Column 86 - TIME

Time period

Print Format: F5
Write Format: F5

#### Value Label

1 Before 1985 2 Since 1985

#### Column 37 - CWA6

Ward's method: 6-cluster solution

Print Format: F5
Write Format: F5

- 1 New kids on the block
- 2 International library community
- 3 Policy mandarins
- 4 Established LIS professionals
- 5 Information regulation academics
- 6 Hitch-hikers

# Appendix F Author cocitation validation questionnaire

<address>

Dear <author>

#### Information Policy Research

I am currently working on a research project which I hope will lead to a doctorate at City University. My topic is national and international information policy and I am attempting to develop a series of maps which will describe the social and intellectual structure of the information policy studies community.

I am pursuing my early objectives by means of bibliometric techniques, notably author cocitation analysis (ACA). This technique makes it possible to display complex citation patterns in the form of a simple two-dimensional display which can then serve as the starting point for an interpretation of various 'schools' or constructs within information policy. I have attached a very short background paper explaining this in a little more detail.

The starting point for any ACA study is to identify a representative group of respected authors in the field. I have already done this and included your name in the early trials. When I looked at how you had been cocited with other authors I found some striking patterns and so I judged that you should be included on my final shortlist of major contributors to the field.

I have now completed the first stage of the analysis and have made some provisional conclusions about the structure of the information policy community.

The reason I am writing to you is to ask for your help. You might have guessed even before you opened the enveloped that this was going to be yet another questionnaire, but hopefully this one will be a little more interesting than usual. It should take no more than five minutes to fill in; I'd like your most immediate impressions and ask you not to waste time thinking too deeply about the questions. They are simply designed to see whether my initial interpretation of the data holds. This allocates you to a group of authors defined by subject. A major limitation of ACA studies is that the author clusters which merge may be defined as much by social ties and affinities as by subject content. Hence some of the questions may appear to be somewhat personal. I assure you that the returned questionnaire will be shredded within minutes after the anonymised data has been extracted.

Yours sincerely

IAN ROWLANDS
Lecturer in Information Science

#### BACKGROUND PAPER

#### What are my research aims?

I'm currently registered for a PhD at City University. The aim of the research is to try to get to grips with information policy as a field of academic study. Some of my more down-to-earth colleagues tell me that there is no such construct as `information policy', What there is nothing more than a ragbag of issues, problems and dilemmas of specific concern to librarians and information scientists. They may well be right (in which case, my next career move is into market gardening!). If they're wrong, I need some pretty good arguments, and quick.

I've started to tackle the problem using bibliometrics. This is proving to be quite useful, but I really need your help to make sense of some of my results, hence the questionnaire. More specifically, I'd like you to help me to come to a more complete understanding of some author cocitation data.

#### What is author cocitation analysis?

Author cocitation analysis is a methodology used by historians and sociologists of knowledge to explore the social and intellectual structure of fields of study. Published studies include analyses of information science, particle physics, organisational behaviour, and the sociology of marriage and the family, among many others. The outputs of these studies are maps which locate authors in a two- or three-dimensional space

Within the conventions of this methodology, clusters of authors (defined by objective criteria may be identified. These may be thought of as 'schools' embracing authors sharing various traits and characteristics in common.

The basic principles are really quite simple. Usually, when someone writes a paper, they cite the work of others By examining citation databases it is possible to identify pairs of authors who are frequently cited together (i.e. heavily cocited). In extreme cases, one might almost make the claim that if you read one author, then you really should read the other as well, at least if you hold to the view that there is probably a reason why the two authors seem to converge in the collective perceptions of the scholarly community.

Typically, in a reasonably coherent discipline, the patterns of cocitations between different pairs of authors become highly patterned, and tight clusters of related authors emerge in the course of statistical analysis.

#### What do cocitation maps actually represent?

This is difficult. My research on a group of eminent information policy authors shows that the cocitation relationships within the group are highly patterned. Seven clusters have been identified; but what do they mean?

Most of the published articles in this area acknowledge the problem. Usually, author clusters are taken to represent different areas of knowledge; information science, could, for example, be resolved into bibliometrics, information retrieval and so on (as in the map by White & Griffith on the reverse). You might equally suggest that the clusters show social networks, communities of scholars bound together by informal communication. It's a chicken and egg situation: which comes first, the recognition of a paradigm, or a community of like minded people who form a social network, bound by a common set of interests? Both interpretations seem plausible for my data. Can you help me by providing some personal information?

## Appendix F: Author cocitation validation questionnaire

## **QUESTION 1: RECOGNITION**

Here are the names of six leading authors on information or communications policy who are represented in my study.

For each author, please tick any box if the answer is 'yes'.

	Is the name familiar?	Can you put a face to the name?	Are you reasonably familiar with their written work?
Robert L. Chartrand			
Blaise Cronin			
Peter Hernon			
John Martyn			
Nick Moore			
Bruce Morton			
Space for comments			

## **QUESTION 2: SOCIAL TIES**

These questions are designed to provide a rough and ready indication of any social ties between yourself and six other leading authors. Your response will be treated as highly confidential and will be shredded as soon as the (anonymised) data has been extracted. Please tick as many boxes as apply.

	Chartrand	Cronin	Hernon	Martyn	Moore	Morton
Have you ever jointly prepared a research proposal?						
Have you ever collaborated on a research project?					_	
Do you remember having cited any of these authors?		,	_			
Did you ever work for the same employer at the same time?						
Have you ever exchanged drafts, pre- prints or working papers?						
Have you ever maintained regular correspondence (post or email)?						
Have you ever acknowledged them in print? (or been acknowledged?)						
Do you have their business card or address readily available?	·					
Have you ever sought their advice or counsel? (or vice versa)						
Have you ever shared a platform or a speaking engagement?	_					3
Have you ever sat together on the same Committee?						
Have you ever jointly developed a course or a research programme?						
Do you regard these authors as direct competition?						
Do you regard these authors as real or potential allies?						

#### Appendix F: Author cocitation validation questionnaire

#### **QUESTION 3: INTELLECTUAL DISTANCE**

As I'm sure you've guessed by now, the six authors were chosen for a particular reason. According to the Social Science Citation Index, your work has been cocited at least once (sometimes very many times) with each of the six. The cocitation profiles of three of the authors correlate strongly with yours; the other three correlate only very weakly or negatively. Within the conventions of author cocitation studies, these two groups of authors might be regarded as being `close' or `distant' intellectual relations of yours.

Can you tell which is which? Please tick one box for each author.

	Most similar	Least similar	Don't know	
Robert L. Chartrand				
Blaise Cronin				
Peter Hernon				
John Martyn				
Nick Moore				
Bruce Morton				
Space for comments				

#### **QUESTION 4: AUTHOR CLUSTERS**

In my provisional analysis of the data there are seven author clusters, defined by subject specialism. These are listed and briefly described below.

I have allocated you to one of these clusters on the basis of how you have been cited. Looking back over your research career, into which cluster (if any) would you place yourself? I realise that your interests are broad and have probably changed over time, but where is centre of gravity?

Please indicate your first choice and a second choice if appropriate by ticking no more than one box in each column.

SPECIALTY A: INFORMATION MANAGEMENT IN GOVERNMENT

1st 2nd
Federal information resource management; government publications; depository
libraries, legal deposit, charging and pricing of official information; printing and
dissemination

SPECIALTY B: INFORMATION PROTECTIONISM

1st 2nd
Inf rmation policy and national security controls; official secrecy; Congressional
oversight, transborder data flows; international information issues.

SPECIALTY C: PUBLIC ACCESS TO INFORMATION

1st 2nd

Access to government information; public information needs; evaluation of
library and information services, social construction of information technologies.

SPECIALTY D: NATIONAL / INTERNATIONAL POLICY

National and international information policies and strategies; national libraries; international collaborations and agreements; scientific and technical information.

SPECIALTY E: SCI-TECH INFORMATION POLICY

Scientific, technical and medical information policy; research and development; technology transfer; online information resources in STM.

SPECIALTY F: INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE / REGULATION 1st 2nd Information industry and markets; political economy of information; manpower and training; public-private synergy; copyright and intellectual property; information law and regulation.

SPECIALITY G: SOCIAL IMPLICATIONS OF ICTs

1st 2nd
Information technology and privacy; data surveillance; freedom of information
and expression, media law and controls.

#### Space for comments

Appendix G Information policy publications: Ian Rowlands

#### Appendix G: Information policy publications: Ian Rowlands

Broadband Communications and their Implications for the Information Services Sector. DG-XIII Luxembourg (IMO Working Paper 94/1), February 1994 [with David Bawden].

The challenges and opportunities facing the European electronic information industry, <u>In</u>: Locksley, G (ed.) The Single European Market and the Information and Communication Technologies. Belhaven Press, 1990, 187-201 [with Sandra Vogel].

Cultural Trends in Scotland 1992. Policy Studies Institute in association with the Scottish Arts Council, 1992.

Electronic Information Services in the EFTA Countries. DG-XIII Luxembourg (IMO Working Paper 92/6), 1993.

Freedom of Information. British Library, 1991 (Library and Information Briefings no. 27) [with Sean Roberts].

Freedom of information: a practical perspective, *Policy Studies 12(2)* 1991, 40-51 [with Sean Roberts].

Information Policies: a Sourcebook. Taylor Graham, 1991 [with Sandra Vogel].

Online database production in the UK: a supply-side study, <u>In</u>: Online Information '89 - Proceedings of the 13th International Online Information Meeting, London, 406 December 1989. Learned Information, 1989, 525-536 [with Sandra Vogel].

The online database services sector: development and directions, In: Robins, K (ed.) Understanding Information: Business, Technology and Geography. Belhaven Press, 1992, 74-78 [with Harry East and Vicky Forrest].

Policies for an information-intensive Britain, Assignation 9(1) 1991, 8-12 [with Nick Moore].

Print Publishing Markets in the European Community. DG-XIII Luxembourg (IMO Working Paper 93/2), 1993.

Public-private synergy in the European information services market, Journal of Government Information 22(3) 1995, 227-235.

#### Appendix G: Information policy publications: Ian Rowlands

Report on Main Events and Developments in the Electronic Information Services Market 1991 [3rd Annual Report of the DG-XIII/E Information Market Observatory]. COM (93) 156 final, Brussels, April 1993.

Towards a European information policy agenda, FID News Bulletin 43(9) 1993, 215-217 [with Nick Moore].

Tradeable information: issues in public-private synergy, Assignation 9(1) 1991, 8-12.

Trading in government information, Policy Studies 14(1) 1993, 45-51.

Understanding information policy: concepts, frameworks and research tools, Journal of Information Science 22(1) 1996, 13-25.

Understanding Information Policy (editor). Bowker-Saur British Library Research series, 1997.